How to Cite:

Pulluru, U. R., Nagaraj, S., Chelli, S. B., Prithiviraj, E., & Sumathy, G. (2022). Correlation between placental morphometry and maternal BMI in IUGR among Telangana population. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *6*(S6), 3220–3228. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS6.10029

Correlation between placental morphometry and maternal BMI in IUGR among Telangana population

Upendhar Reddy Pulluru

Research scholar, Bharath Institute of Higher Education & Research, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

Nagaraj S.

Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, SVS Medical College, Mahbubnagar, Telangana.

Sudhakara Babu Chelli

Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, MediCiti Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad, Telangana

Elumalai Prithiviraj

Research faculty, Department of Anatomy, Sree Balaji Dental College Hospital, BIHER, Chennai.

Govindarajan Sumathy*

Govindarajan Sumathy, Professor & Head, Department of Anatomy, Sree Balaji Dental College Hospital, BIHER, Chennai. Tamil Nadu *Corresponding author

Abstract--- In newborns one of the major problems is fetal growth restriction or Intra Uterine Growth Restriction (IUGR) or morbidity and mortality. Objective of the study is to examine the correlation between the maternal BMI in IUGR and placental anthropometry. Materials and methods - Present study was conducted in OBG Department of SVS Medical College and hospital in September-2020-march-2021. Total 22 IUGR placental samplescollected and compared with normal placenta and BMI correlated in both groups. Results - mean diameter of placenta in IUGR fetuses' range is 10.66-14.44; weight of placenta is 410.60 grams; hemoglobin level is 9.60 grams in IUGR pregnant women; BMI is 21.86 kg/m² and gestational age was 35.60 wks.

Keyword---morphometry, BMI, IUGR

International Journal of Health Sciences ISSN 2550-6978 E-ISSN 2550-696X © 2022.

Manuscript submitted: 9 March 2022, Manuscript revised: 27 May 2022, Accepted for publication: 18 June 2022 3220

Introduction

In newborns one of the major problems is fetal growth restriction or Intra Uterine Growth Restriction (IUGR)or morbidity and mortality. The objective of the study is to examine the correlation between maternal parameters such as body mass index (BMI), placental weight and diameter and their effects on fetal growth by using Ultrasonography on the outcome of growth restricted pregnancies. In the present study 22 cases of IUGR were compared with 22 normal pregnancies. Growth restricted fetuses were correlated with maternal anemia and malnutrition have significant association with the fetal growth restriction. Maternal anthropometry, such as low BMI and placental diameter which in turn, adversely affected fetal weight. Thus early USG screening along with maternal BMI can assist the obstetric team in providing early diagnosis and better outcome in IUGR pregnancies.

A growth restricted fetuses is one with an estimated fetal weight of less than the 10th percentile for that gestational age [1]. Prevalence of growth restricted fetuses is known to be about 10% [2]. In developed countries the incidence is 2-5% and in developing countries it's about 6-30%. [3, 4] the highest rate of prevalence of fetal growth restriction is found in Asia, followed by Africa and America [5, 6]. The factors affecting growth restriction are the nature of the etiological agents and duration of gestation [7]. Therefore having knowledge about these extrinsic factors, diagnosis, and prompt intervention and better management can leads for better growth in IUGR. In this present study, maternal anthropometric parameters such as body mass index (BMI) placental weight, diameter effecting the fetal growth. And also see the correlation of USG parameters on outcome of IUGR pregnancies.

Materials and Methods

This present study was carried out in the department of OBG after taking the institutional ethical committee clearance from the SVS Medical College and Hospital Mahbubnagar, Telangana state, India. Period of study was conducted between September 2020 to march 2021. Out of 430 deliveries 22 cases were growth restricted fetuses.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed utilizing Microsoft Excel (Version 2010) and SPSS software (chi-square test) and p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.



Fig. measuring the placental diameter in by using the vernier caliper

Placental diameter mean values in IUGR fetus maximum diameter is 14.44 \pm 3.62cms and minimum diameter mean value is 10.66 \pm 1.26 cms (Table 1 and Graph 1).

Diameter of placenta (cms)	Normal fetus	IUGR fetus
	Mean <u>+</u> SD (n=22)	Mean <u>+</u> SD (n=22)
Maximum	16.90 <u>+</u> 2.40	14.44 <u>+</u> 3.62
minimum	12.43 <u>+</u> 2.24	10.66 <u>+</u> 1.26





Table 1 demonstrates minimum and maximum diameter of the placenta of normal fetuses and IUGR fetuses. Each vertical bar represents Mean, and error bars denoting Standard deviation. IUGR

Results

Intra Uterine Growth Restriction

Normal weight of placenta in present study observed that 540.20 ± 96 grms. And in IUGR fetus weight is 410.60 ± 80.66 grams (Table 2 and Graph 2).

	Normal fetus	IUGR fetus
	Mean <u>+</u> SD (n=22)	Mean <u>+</u> SD (n=22)
Weight of placenta (grms)	540.20 <u>+</u> 96.80	410.60 <u>+</u> 80.66

Table 2Comparison the Weight of placenta



Graph - 2	. Weight	of the	placenta	
-----------	----------	--------	----------	--

Table 2 shows weight of the placenta of normal fetuses and IUGR fetuses. The columns represent Mean, and error bars denote Standard deviation. IUGR - Intra Uterine Growth Restriction. In present study hemoglobin levels are noticeably decreased in IUGR pregnant women when compare to the normal pregnant women hemoglobin level. The hemoglobin values are presented in Table 3 and Graph 3.

Table 3 Comparison of hemoglobin in study groups

	Normal pregnant women	IUGR pregnant women
	Mean \pm SD (n=22)	Mean \pm SD (n=22)
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	11.5 <u>+</u> 1.12	9.60 + 1.26



Table 3 exhibits comparison of hemoglobin level of normal pregnant women and IUGR pregnant women. The columns represent Mean, and error bars denoting Standard deviation. IUGR - Intra Uterine Growth Restriction. Normal pregnant women BMI is $25.86 \pm 1.76 \text{ kg/m}^2$. And in IUGR pregnant women BMI is $21.86 \pm 1.96 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (Table 4 and Graph 4). The Body mass index (BMI) is reduced in IUGR pregnant women.

Table 4 Comparison of BMI in study groups

	Normal pregnant womenMean	IUGR pregnant womenMean
	+ SD (n=22)	+ SD (n=22)
Body mass index (BMI)	25.86 <u>+</u> 1.76	21.86 <u>+</u> 1.96
Kg/m ²		

Graph - 4. Body mass Index



Table 4 displays comparison of Body Mass Index (BMI) of normal Normal pregnant women and IUGR pregnant women. The columns represent Mean, and error bars denoting Standard deviation. IUGR - Intra Uterine Growth Restriction.

Table 5Comparison of gestational age (GA) using ultrasonography (USG) in study groups

	Normal fetus Mean <u>+</u>	IUGR fetus Mean <u>+</u> SD
	SD (n=22)	(n=22)
gestational age (GA) in	38.22 <u>+</u> 1.30	35.60 <u>+</u> 2.80
weeks		



Graph - 5. Gestational age

Table 5 shows comparison of gestational age (GA) of normal fetuses and IUGR fetuses using ultrasonography (USG). The columns represent Mean, and error bars denoting Standard deviation. IUGR - Intra Uterine Growth Restriction. estational age (GA) is detected by using ultrasonography (USG). In normal pregnancies GA is 38.22 ± 1.30 weeks whereas in IUGR pregnancies the GA is 35.60 ± 2.80 weeks (Table 5 and Graph 5). Gestational age (GA) of IUGR fetuses is moderately reduced when comparewith normal fetuses.

Discussion

Utilizing the USG screening early diagnosis, better management and better outcome in IUGR babies. Placental parameters like weight and diameter were significantly reduced in the present study, where the pregnant women's BMI and hemoglobin levels are low compared with normal. Previous studies showed that since USG was used as a diagnostic tool to monitor fetal growth restricted fetuses. This was reflected as number increase in the number of cases of fetal growth restriction in premature infants [13]. Hemoglobin levels in IUGR pregnancies showed a mean 9.60 % compared with mean of 11.50% normal pregnancies. In comparison with maternal BMI in the study groups mean BMI in normal pregnant women was 25.86, whereas in IUGR mother was having 21.86. BMI has a critical role in intra uterine growth. Maternal anthropometries on birth weight are likely mediated through the effects of maternal anthropometry on placental volume [16].

In this present study, we found a strong correlation between fetal weight and placental diameter as well as placental weight. Fetal and placental values are directly proportional to each other. Placental insufficiency leads to a syndrome of a fetal weight defect [16]. When the fetus is small the placenta being a fetal organ shows diminished growthalong with the reduction in the placental weight [17, 18]. According to R. Gershon and L. Strauss, placenta in growth restricted fetuses also stated that majority of placenta shows fibrin deposits, infracts, and over growth of trophoblastic tissue and also noticed that nonspecific inflammation of placental villi with loss of vascularity with the apparent site of injury being placental syncytiotrophoblast layer [16]. Found that more frequently infiltrated with leukocytes [19]. In those kinds of placentas, the blood vessels were seen occluded due to deposits leads to ischemic damage to placental tissue. Similar placental infracts were commonly found in women with hypertension [20, 21].

Conclusion

Above results stating that early screening of pregnant women for BMI, gestational age, anemia and gestational age using USG can effectively decrease Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). However, results of the present study showing the importance of early detection of USG alterations and BMI and other anthropometric parameters and anemia on fetal growth restriction. And FGR is one of the manageable obstetric complications if we detect well in advance. Therefore, the strength of the study is that the implication of USG screening in the management of FGR in terms of achieving early detection and prompt intervention, thereby reducing perinatal fetal morbidity and mortality.

Funding: NIL

Conflict of interest: The authors don't have conflicts of interest

References

- 1. R. Resnik, -Intrauterine growth restriction, *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 490–496, 2002.
- 2. J. E. Bamfo and A. O. Odibo, -Diagnosis and management offetal growth restriction,
- 3. Journal of Pregnancy, vol. 2011, ArticleID 640715, 15 pages, 2011.
- 4. T. Saleem, N. Sajjad, S. Fatima, N. Habib, S. R. Ali, and M. Qadir, -Intrauterine growth retardation—small events, bigconsequences, *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 37, no. 1, article41, 2011.
- 5. K. E. Scott and R. Usher, -Fetal malnutrition: its incidence, causes, and effects, *I The American Journal of Obstetrics andGynecology*, vol. 94, no. 7, pp. 951–963, 1966.
- 6. A. Imdad, M. Y. Yakoob, S. Siddiqui, and Z. A. Bhutta,—Screening and triage of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR)in general population and high risk pregnancies: a systematicreviewwith a focus on reduction of IUGR related stillbirths, *BMC Public Health*, vol. 11, no. 3, article S1, 2011.
- 7. M. de Onis, M. Bl[°]ossner, and J. Villar, -Levels and patterns

3226

- 8. of intrauterine growth retardation in developing countries, *European Journal* of *ClinicalNutrition*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. S5–S15,1998.
- 9. F. Torche, -The effect of maternal stress on birth outcomes:exploiting a natural experiment, *Demography*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp.1473-1491, 2011.
- K. Pike, J. J. Pillow, and J. S. Lucas, -Long term respiratoryconsequences of intrauterine growth restriction, *Seminars inFetal and Neonatal Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 92–98, 2012.
- 11. S. Muthayya, -Maternal nutrition & low birth weight—what isreally important? Indian Journal of Medical Research, vol. 130,no. 5, pp. 600–608, 2009.
- 12. U. F. Harkness and G. Mari, -Diagnosis and management of intrauterine growth restriction, *Clinics in Perinatology*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 743-764, 2004.
- 13. A. Ornoy, -Prenatal origin of obesity and their complications:gestational diabetes, maternal overweight and the paradoxicaleffects of fetal growth restriction and macrosomia, *ReproductiveToxicology*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 205–212, 2011.
- 14. R.K. Shah, K. S. Jagiwala, and P. K.Vyas, -Placentalmorphology and fetal growth in normal and abnormal pregnancies, *Journal*
- 15. of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India, vol. 35, pp. 1089-1094,1985.
- G. R. Alexander, J. H. Himes, R. B. Kaufman, J. Mor, and M.Kogan, -A United States national reference for fetal growth, *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 87, no. 2 I, pp. 163–168, 1996.
- 17. M. Ounsted, -Fetal growth, I in *Recent Advances in Paediatrics*, D. Gairdner and D. Hull, Eds., vol. 34, Churchill Livingstone, London, UK, 4th edition, 1971.
- 18. M. Thame, C. Osmond, F. Bennett, R. Wilks, and T. Forrester,-Fetal growth is directly related tomaternal anthropometry and placental volume, *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol.58, no. 6, pp. 894–900, 2004.
- 19. R. Gershon and L. Strauss, -Structural changes in human placentasassociatedwith fetal inanition or growth arrest (placentalinsufficiency syndrome), in The American Journal of Diseases and *Children*, pp. 645–646, 1961.
- 20. H. Fox, -Morphological changes in the human placenta followingfetal death, *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of theBritish Commonwealth*, vol. 75, no. 8, pp. 839–843, 1968.
- 21. H. Fox, -Basementmembrane changes in the villi of the humanplacenta, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of the BritishCommonwealth, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 302–306, 1968.
- 22. H. Fox and F. A. Langley, -Leukocytic infiltration of the placentaand umbilical cord. A clinico-pathologic study, *Obstetrics andGynecology*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 451–458, 1971.
- 23. B. L. Sheppard and J. Bonnar, -The ultrastructure of the arterialsupply of the human placenta in pregnancy complicated byfetal growth retardation, *The British Journal of Obstetrics andGynaecology*, vol. 83, no. 12, pp. 948–959, 1976.
- 24. A. V. Kher and M. P. Zawar, -Study of placental pathology intoxaemia of pregnancy and its fetal implications, *Indian Journalof Pathology and Microbiology*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 245–251, 1981.

- 25. Rinartha, K., & Suryasa, W. (2017). Comparative study for better result on query suggestion of article searching with MySQL pattern matching and Jaccard similarity. In 2017 5th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management (CITSM) (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
- 26. Fitria, F., Ahmad, M., Hatijar, H., Argaheni, N. B., & Susanti, N. Y. (2022). Monitoring combination of intermittent auscultation and palpation of contractions on oxygen saturation of newborns. International Journal of Health & Medical Sciences, 5(3), 221-227. https://doi.org/10.21744/ijhms.v5n3.1930

3228