How to Cite:
Doshi, N. V., Saxena, P., Shukla, A. ., Sinha, A., Saojii, H. A., & Mali, S. (2022). An in vitro study of apical bacterial extrusion after root canal instrumentation using several endodontic file systems. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *6*(S5), 10447–10456.
https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS5.11141

An in vitro study of apical bacterial extrusion after root canal instrumentation using several endodontic file systems

Neel Vipul Doshi
MDS - Department of operative and conservative dentistry, (Ukrainian medical and stomatological university, Ukraine)
Corresponding author email: neeldoshi1529@gmail.com

Priyank Saxena
Junior Resident, Department of Dentistry, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Government Medical College, Vidisha, Madhya Pradesh
Email: dr.priyanksaxena@gmail.com

Aastha Shukla
Assistant professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Modern Dental College, Indore
Email: Aasthashukla1990@gmail.com

Anamika Sinha
Assistant professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Dental college and Hospital, Navi Mumbai
Email: dr.anamikas02@gmail.com

Hrishikesh Ashok Saojii
Assistant professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Dental college and Hospital, Navi Mumbai
Email: hrishikeshsaojii@gmail.com

Sheetal Mali
Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Dental college and Hospital, Navi, Mumbai
Email: dr.sheetalmali@gmail.com

***Abstract*---**Aim: In this research, the amount of apical extrusion of bacteria will be measured utilizing root canal instruments, including the K3XF, Protaper Gold, Edge taper Platinum, and Hyflex CM Rotary devices. Materials and Procedures: The saline solution contained sixty

recently removed maxillary incisor teeth. After the trenches had been dug, the mash and bacteria were removed and placed in the entry pit to be used. In order to facilitate the gathering of germs, the teeth were attached to a device. Fusobacterium Nucleatum (ATCC 25586) was used to taint and then dry the root trenches for 24 hours. Six meetings made use of various tape systems, including K3XF edge tighten platinum and Hyflex CM turning reports, while the first four made use of hand-written K-records. Group 1 lacked any instrumentation (the control pack). The number of state molding units was tallied after 24 hours of Mueller-Hinton agar testing. The Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were used to analyse the data individually. Results: The K3XF file system emitted the fewest germs as compared to the other four rotating systems. Conclusion: Bacteria from intracanal spaces were ejected apically by all instrumentation methods. However, compared to the manual method, engine-driven nickel-titanium devices extruded fewer microorganisms. Compared to previous rotary file systems, the K3XF system expelled less germs.

***Keywords*---**Fusobacterium nucleatum, edge taper platinum, Hyflex CM, and K3X

Introduction

The final debridement and cleansing of the root trench are the primary goals of the endodontic instrumentation. Chemo-mechanical debridement of the mash trench is required for successful endodontic therapy. This is due to the complex nature of the root trenches because of the many bends as well as implication and foramen regions, which necessitates the usage of unique endodontic gear as well as various water system frameworks. [1] "worm of necrotic rubbish" refers to the area around the apical foramen where decaying mash tissue, dentinal filings, bacteria, and their accoutrements may be evacuated. This substance might irritate the periapical area and produce post-surgical eruptions. [2] There are several Gram-positive, Gram-negative, and anaerobic bacteria secreted from the root channel that cause root channel drugs to fizzle. This included Enterococcus, Propionibacterium propionicum, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Propionibacterium alactolyticus, and Fusobacterium nucleatum. [3]

However, if the planning is held back and manual instrumentation generates a larger expulsion than motor-driven rotating ready, all continuing arrangement methods and devices are directly linked to microbe expulsion. Apically expelled microorganisms are a necessary byproduct of any procedure or equipment used to clean and shape the root trench architecture. [4]

Previous experiments have shown that step-back approaches evict more microorganisms than crown-down methods. In order to avoid problems like stop-ups and apical garbage ejection, the water system removes the extracted dentin, pulpal trash, and microbial cells from the root trench. [5,6] Expelled garbage may affect how peri-radicular tissues behave when utilizing crown-down procedures

with modern endodontic tools and a liberal water supply, which may help reduce the frequency of eruptions. [7]

Manual K-fie, Protaper gold, Edge fix platinum, Hyflex CM, and K3XF are the only five record systems that have been tested for their ability to minimize apical garbage with bacteria, which might potentially reduce emissions. Among the five instrumentation frameworks, there was no differentiation in the quantity of apically ejected garbage including microorganisms, according to the flawed supposition studied in this investigation.

Method and Materials

Choosing and preparing teeth

Sixty single-rooted, fully developed upper central incisor teeth from humans were chosen. Age, sexual orientation, or the rationale for the extraction were not disclosed. OSHA and the CDC have developed guidelines and recommendations on how to safely handle, clean, store, and dispose of human teeth in the workplace. Rejection criteria included teeth with a variety of roots, calcified pits with a large number of apical foramina, and other such anomalous structures. An endo access pod was attached to a rapid handpiece to create access pits (Dentsply Maillefer). Fusobacterium Nucleatum suspension was prepared by removing the mash from the root canals with a fine spiked fork (ATCC 25586). The lengths of the channels were measured by embedding a #10 K record within them. Our working length was one millimeter more than the document entry length since the record tip should have been visible at the top of the stack.

Preparation of the test equipment

The equipment used to measure bacterial extrusion was first reported by Er et al. in 2005. [8] Glass vials had holes bored in the centre of their rubber stoppers, which were then sealed with the rubber stoppers. At the cemento-enamel interface, the study tooth was fixed into the rubber stopper's centre. Two coats of nail clean were used to protect the roots from leaking via the sidelong channels or other cemental fractures. Fixing the gap in the glass vial, the elastic plug typifying a tooth was inserted into it. A vial was used to retain the apical root material that was extracted from the root foramen. a 23-check needle is used to expel an elastic plug to equalize the gaseous tension both within and outside the vial. Cleaning the complete model structure took 12 hours on an Anprolene A 74C Gas Sterilizer (Andersen Products Inc., Haw River, NC, USA). It was necessary to cut a hole in the nail paint in order to get a standard foramen and to ensure that it was completely open.

F. Nucleatum contamination

From that point on, the root canals were contaminated with an unadulterated culture of F. Nucleatum (ATCC 25586, Microbiologics, U.S.A). It was made with the addition of one milliliter of fresh, pure F. Nucleatum culture (ATCC 25586, Microbiologics, USA), which was refined anaerobically on mind-heart mixed stock for 24 hours (HIMEDIA, U.S.A). A sterile micropipette of a class I laminar wind

stream bureau was used to decontaminate the roots in order to prevent airborne contamination. The bacterial solution was then sent down the root canals using a size #10 K-record until it reached the position 1 mm before the apex. In a hatchery, the contaminated roots were air dried for 24 hours at 37°C. Last but not least, we poured 0.9 percent NaCl solution into each of the glass vials. After then, the sullied attachments were randomly divided into six groups of 10 teeth each. Individual administrators prepared and tested each example in accordance with aseptic protocols. An elastic dam sheet was placed over each sample during planning to disguise the root peak.

Getting ready for a root canal

A sterile approach was used to clean and prepare the root canals. Following irrigation with a 0.9 percent NaCl solution, the root canal was prepared using a variety of file systems in various groups according to the order in which the instruments were used. Using this collection of instruments, the sound was made:

Control subjects' root canals remained instrumented.

Dentsply Maillefer Group II files were handled by means of an antiquated manual technique. Up to K-file #25, apical preparation was carried out, and from K-file #25 to K-file #45, the step-back approach was adopted, with each decrease of one millimeter. Before moving on to the next larger instrument, a #10 K-file and regular watering were performed.

After establishing the gliding path using K-files #10 or #15 stainless steel files to the operating length, we followed the manufacturer's directions and utilized the ProTaper Gold instruments in a crown down fashion with a gentle in and out motion. sequences of files that have been utilized include When we met resistance (approximately 4 to 5 mm from W/L), we pushed S1 and S2 apically over the whole working length using SX files. After affixing the shape file to S1, it was discovered that it was 2 mm short of the working length. Working length from the apical one-third was utilized to relocate the finishing files for both F1 and F2.

To measure resistance, a #25/.12 K3XF instrument was utilized, and then 0.10 and 0.08 taper instruments were employed in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements for the K3XF G Pack system group, as stated by the manufacturer. K-files #10, #15, and #20 were used to generate the original glide route. The #25 K3XF tools and a 0.06 taper were utilized to further prepare the canals until the resistance was achieved. "On their third reconnaissance mission, the #10 Kfiles had just returned from a successful one.

The edge taper platinum tools were used crown down with a gentle in and out movement as per the manufacturer's guidelines after the glide path was built using K-files #10 or #15 stainless steel files. As with Protaper Gold's rotary files method, the biomechanical preparation was completed with the use of a series of shaping and finishing files.

This first glide path complied with the manufacturer's standards and was achieved with the use of a #25/.08 Hyflex CM tool as well as a #10, #15, and #20 K-file. Once the working length had been achieved, the Hyflex CM tools were utilized to further prepare the canals.

Typically, 10 cc of saline water system liquid was poured into each trench. "In order to count the microorganisms, 100 litres of NaCl arrangement was taken out of the trial vial. On Mueller-Hinton agar at 37°C, the suspension was incubated for 24 hours before hatching. As a result of the standard bacterial counting method[9], the number of provincial framing units was determined for each microbial stage (CFU). The inquiry was conducted using SPSS Factual Examination Program Variant 21. (IBM Statistics IBM Corp., NY, USA). In order to analyze the data, Mann-Whitney U tests and Kruskal Wallis one-way analysis of progress were used (Tables 1 and 2, independently). P 0.05 was chosen as the cutoff point for determining if a particular piece of evidence had any relevance whatsoever.

Results

Table 1 provides information on how many bacteria were eliminated. All the experimental bunches exhibited signs of bacterial growth. As a result of the step-back strategy, germs were released in the most apical manner possible using K-type treated steel hand devices. Tests comparing human vs automated control, K3XF with ProTaper gold or platinum, and Hyflex CM with ProTaper gold or platinum revealed significant differences (P 0.05). Gold manual-ProTaper, gold manual-Edge fix and platinum manual-Edge tight did not show a significant difference (P = 0.10).

Table 1
Kruskal-Wallis Test-comparison of mean number of extruded bacteria

Groups	Total (*n*)	Mean (CFU/mL)	SD
Control	10	9.22	10.02
K-File Manual	10	204.45	90.01
ProTaper Gold	10	205.76	91.23
K3XF	10	122.12	55.34
Edge Taper Platinum	10	201.34	87.22
Hyflex CM	10	132.22	63.12

Mann-Whitney U-test for intergroup comparison

Groups	K-File Manual	ProTaper gold	K3XF	Edge Taper platinum	Hyflex CM
K-File Manual	-	0.92	0.02	0.92	0.03
ProTaper Gold	0.92	-	0.02	0.92	0.03
K3XF	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	0.67

Groups	K-File Manual	ProTaper gold	K3XF	Edge Taper platinum	Hyflex CM
Edge Taper Platinum	0.92	0.92	0.03	-	0.02
Hyflex CM	0.04	0.04	0.70	0.04	-

Discussion

The major purpose of this investigation was to see how root canal shape with the Step-back and Crown-down method affects apical extrusion of intracanal bacteria. Standardized tooth models decreased the amount of variables. Single-established maxillary focus teeth were selected to minimize the influence of tooth morphology on garbage removal during instrumentation. It was predicted how long the trench would be, and each tooth had an entry hole dug into it. To shorten the trench's working length by one millimetre, engineers used a #10 record visible at the trench's tip. More rubbish would be ejected if the working length could be increased at the top or if instruments could be used beyond the summit. [11]

It was decided to focus on Fusobacterium nucleatum for this study because of the lack of prior research on its potential applicability. Prevotella intermedia, Prevotella micros and Pepto streptococcus micros are three more Gram-negative bacteria that typically appear in endodontic eruptions A periapical burning sore may diminish when these bacteria are put on display. [12,13,14,15] [8] Er and his colleagues drew developed an expulsion model that was used in the present study, unlike previous studies that focused on the quantitative quantity of rubbish expelled. Milligram or microgram-sized pieces of the ejected substance make it difficult to separate them from one other. However, this does not focus on the action's quantitative results, such as the amount of garbage that is thrown away. These tests have limitations, such as the inability to ensure that the collection devices are error-free. [16,17]

For each gathering, the record frameworks were cleansed and molded in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Apical planning was defined at ISO size #25 in order to avoid bacterial expulsion sum contrasts due to apical extension, which was free of readiness approach (step back or crown down). [18] To ensure that microorganisms within the trench and the apically ejected waste are not harmed by the water system, 0.9 percent saline solution was employed in all gatherings. As a consequence, the cleanliness and condition of tools are critical in removing microorganisms.

For the benchmark group that had no instrumentation, scientists found that bacterial ejection was increased by all instrumentation procedures (manual step-back or motor powered crown down), according to the results they obtained. The two meetings were vastly different from one another (P 0.05).

The apical microbiological garbage was eliminated by all of the record frameworks used in this investigation, putting an end to the incorrect assumption. The

number of apically ejected microorganisms might vary from one instrument to another, even though the method for usage is the same, since each instrument's cutting activity is unique. Hyflex CM and K3XF, two motor-driven hybrid and composite frameworks, use a crown-down technique, as do Protaper gold and Edge tighten platinum. Early root channel planning reduces the likelihood of microorganisms being pushed apically because the bulk of the root trench's microorganisms live in the coronal third, as per Shovelton (1964). [19] Pre-erupting the coronal section might also help enhance instrument control during the apical third's preparation. To further avoid compaction in the root trench, the borers' alternating movements compress dentinal waste into the flutes. [1,20] These hand K-records have been shown to generate more garbage when used in a stage back direct documenting movement for manual cleaning and molding systems.

There is not much space for flushing rubbish out of the apical third from the foramen, thus it acts as a kind of cylinder, forcing junk towards the periapical area. Microbe and garbage apical launch has been shown to be worsened by this. [11,21,22] When compared to Protaper Gold and Edge tighten Platinum, K3 XF's variable pitch ensures that debris is pushed more coronally.

The recordings have more power and concentration as they enter the third spiral land. Due to the improved cycle weakening resistance and good rake point of K3 XF, cutting ability is improved further. From the tip to the handle, the increasing variable helical woodwind point aids in the ejection of the dentin chips toward the hole. [23] The results of Ghogre et al. as well as Zan et al. are in agreement with this conclusion. [24,25]

Based on results from studies done on K3XF and Hyflex CM,[26] researchers believe that the expulsion of Hyflex CM will be comparable to that of K3XF.[27] Apical ejection may suffer if the Hyflex twistings lose some of their cutting and cleaning capabilities. Like manual k-documents, ProTaper Gold records emitted apical garbage. It is possible to cut dentine with ProTaper gold and general because of their extended pitch and 3-sided cross-sectional structure, but it is also possible to expel trash and irritants because of the flute plan. Mohammed et al [28], as well as Alani and Al-Huwaziz, found a similar conclusion in this request. [29]

The platinum records were used since no previous study has used edge-tightening platinum records to focus on the apical launch of debris. They were made accessible since they were produced using heat-treatment technology and featured a ProTaper Gold-like design. In this regard, the ProTaper Gold gathering's expulsion times may be similar to those of other groups.

Study limitations

A single-root tooth with a straight canal was used in an in-vitro experiment. Multi-rooted teeth, curved canals, calcifications, and other deviations from the norm are not evaluated. It is possible that fewer bacteria made it through the apex because of the lack of disinfection treatments such sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine, and ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid. In the study, just one

bacterial strain was analysed. The amount of debris ejected might have been affected by the usage of rotary files with various blade types and speeds.

Conclusion

The apical foramen is widely accepted to be a source of germs for all instruments in this examination. It was found that a step-back hand instrumentation approach was more successful than Crown-down motor powered gatherings in removing microbes. However, the quantity of CFU in the motor-driven approaches was not considerably different between K3XF, Hyflex CM, and Protaper Gold and Edge Tighten Platinum turning record frameworks. The quantity of debris removed from the root trench structure during endodontic treatment depends on a number of variables, including the instrumentation method, tool type, size, anticipated end points, and water system configurations.

References

1. Alani MA, Al-Huwaizi H. Evaluation of apically extruded debris and irrigants during root canal preparation using different rotary instrumentation systems: An in vitro comparative study. Int J Med Res Health Sci. 2019;8:21–6.
2. al-Omari MA, Dummer PM. Canal blockage and debris extrusion with eight preparation techniques. J Endod. 1995;21:154–8.
3. Baker NA, Eleazer PD, Averbach RE, Seltzer S. Scanning electron microscopic study of the efficacy of various irrigating solutions. J Endod. 1975;1:127–35.
4. Batara, R., Ardani, I. G. A. I., Wardani, I. A. K., Windiani, I. G. A. T., Adnyana, I. G. A. N. S., & Setiawati, Y. (2022). Psychogenic dysphagia in children, and the success of family-based treatment: Case report. International Journal of Health & Medical Sciences, 5(1), 163-168. https://doi.org/10.21744/ijhms.v5n1.1894
5. Beeson TJ, Hartwell GR, Thornton JD, Gunsolley JC. Comparison of debris extruded apically in straight canals: Conventional filing versus profile 0.04 Taper series 29. J Endod. 1998;24:18–22.
6. Bolstad AI, Jensen HB, Bakken V. Taxonomy, biology, and periodontal aspects of Fusobacterium nucleatum. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1996;9:55–71.
7. Capar ID, Arslan H, Akcay M, Ertas H. An in vitro comparison of apically extruded debris and instrumentation times with ProTaper Universal, ProTaper Next, Twisted File Adaptive, and HyFlex instruments. J Endod. 2014;40:1638–41.
8. Chávez de Paz Villanueva LE. Fusobacterium nucleatum in endodontic flare-ups. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2002;93:179–83.
9. Collins CH, Lyne PM, Grange JM. Counting methods. In: Collins CH, Lyne PM, Grange JM, editors. Collins‘ and Lyne‘s Microbiological Methods. 7th ed. Oxford, London: Butterworth Heinemann; 1995. pp. 149–62.
10. Engstrom B. The significance of enterococci in root canal treatment. Odontoligisk Revy. 1964;15:87–106.
11. Er K, Sümer Z, Akpinar KE. Apical extrusion of intracanal bacteria following use of two engine-driven instrumentation techniques. Int Endod J. 2005;38:871–6.

12. Fairbourn DR, McWalter GM, Montgomery S. The effect of four preparation techniques on the amount of apically extruded debris. J Endod. 1987;13:102–8.
13. Ghogre P, Chourasia HR, Agarwal M, Singh MP, Gurav S, Ghogre R. Quantitative evaluation of apical extrusion of intracanal bacteria using rotary ProTaper, K3XF, twisted and hand K-file system: An ex vivo study. Indian J Dent Res. 2015;26:406–10.
14. Goerig AC, Michelich RJ, Schultz HH. Instrumentation of root canals in molar using the step-down technique. J Endod. 1982;8:550–4.
15. Hegde MN, Thatte S. Comparison of the amount of apical extrusion of bacteria following the use of different instrumentation techniques – An in vitro study. NUJHS. 2011;1:27–32.
16. Labbaf H, Nazari Moghadam K, Shahab S, Mohammadi Bassir M, Fahimi MA. An in vitro comparison of apically extruded debris using reciproc, protaper universal, neolix and hyflex in curved canals. Iran Endod J. 2017;12:307–11.
17. Miharja, M. ., Setiawati, S. ., & Lubis, A. L. P. . (2020). How dangerous the Indonesian recession due to COVID-19 pandemic: review policy and strategy to recovery. International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 4(3), 121–129. https://doi.org/10.29332/ijssh.v4n3.470
18. Mittal R, Singla MG, Garg A, Dhawan A. A comparison of apical bacterial extrusion in manual, ProTaper rotary, and one shape rotary instrumentation techniques. J Endod. 2015;41:2040–4.
19. Mohammed N, Noushad MC, Balan B, Dhanesh N, Jayasheelan N, Revankar VD. Apical extrusion of intracanal bacteria following use of two engine-driven instrumentation techniques: An in vitro study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2016;17:939–42.
20. Moraes SR, Siqueira JF, Jr, Colombo AP, Rocas ID, Ferreira MC, Domingues RM. Comparison of the effectiveness of bacterial culture, 16S rDNA directed polymerase chain reaction, and checkerboard DNA-DNA hybridization for detection of Fusobacterium nucleatum in endodontic infections. J Endod. 2002;28:86–9.
21. Myers GL, Montgomery S. A comparison of weights of debris extruded apically by conventional filing and Canal Master techniques. J Endod. 1991;17:275–9.
22. Ruff CM, Kriewaldt LM, Webb CT. New advances in nickel-titanium rotary systems. Clinic Update. 2013;35:1–8.
23. Seltzer S, Naidorf IJ. Flare-ups in endodontics: I. Etiological factors. J Endod. 1985;11:472–8.
24. Shovelton DS. The presence and distribution of microorganisms within non-vital teeth. Br Dent J. 1964;117:101–7.
25. Sundqvist G, Fidgor D, Persson S, Sjogren U. Microbiologic analysis of teeth with failed endodontic treatment and the outcome of conservative retreatment. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1998;85:86–93.
26. Sundqvist G. Taxonomy, ecology, and pathogenicity of the root canal flora. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1994;78:522–30.
27. Suryasa, I. W., Rodríguez-Gámez, M., & Koldoris, T. (2021). Get vaccinated when it is your turn and follow the local guidelines. International Journal of Health Sciences, 5(3), x-xv. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v5n3.2938

28. Tanalp J, Güngör T. Apical extrusion of debris: A literature review of an inherent occurrence during root canal treatment. Int Endod J. 2014;47:211–21.
29. Tanalp J, Kaptan F, Sert S, Kayahan B, Bayirl G. Quantitative evaluation of the amount of apically extruded debris using 3 different rotary instrumentation systems. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2006;101:250–7. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
30. Tinaz AC, Alacam T, Uzun O, Maden M, Kayaoglu G. The effect of disruption of apical constriction on periapical extrusion. J Endod. 2005;31:533–5.
31. Vande Visse JE, Brilliant JD. Effect of irrigation on the production of extruded material at the root apex during instrumentation. J Endod. 1975;1:243–6.
32. Zan R, Topcuoglu HS, Tunc T, Ozer SG, Hubbezoglu I, Kutlu G. Apical extrusion of intracanal biofilm after root canal preparation using Revo-S, Twisted File Adaptive, One Shape New Generation, ProTaper Next, and K3XF instrumentation systems. Turk Endod J. 2016;1:73–9.