How to Cite:

Sale Madhuri, S., Patil Chetan, A., Yaqoob, A., Rao, K., Mrunal, S., Abhyankar Purva, R., & Sharif, R. A. (2022). Comparative assessment of chlorhexidine, autoclave, aleo vera and microwave irradiation for disinfection of impression materials. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *6*(S8), 3542–3547. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS8.12890

Comparative assessment of chlorhexidine, autoclave, aleo vera and microwave irradiation for disinfection of impression materials

Dr. Sale Madhuri S.

Assistant Professor, Dept of Oral Pathology and Microbiology Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Dental College & Hospital, Sangli Maharashtra. India Correspondence author email: drmadhurisp@gmail.com

Dr. Patil Chetan A.

Assistant Professor, Dept of Prosthodontics, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Dental College & Hospital, Sangli, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Ashfaq Yaqoob

Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, College of Dentistry King Khalid University, Abha Saudi Arabia

Dr. Kumuda Rao

Nitte (Deemed to be University), AB Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences (ABSMIDS), Reader, Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, Deralakatte, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

Dr. Shinde Mrunal

Assistant Professor, Dept of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontic Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Dental College & Hospital, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Abhyankar Purva R.

Senior Lecturer, Dept of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow, UP, India

Dr. Rania A Sharif

Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, College of Dentistry King Khalid University, Abha Saudi Arabia

Abstract---Objectives: The present research was done to assess the disinfection of impression materials with chlorhexidine, autoclave, aleo vera and microwave irradiation. Materials & Methods: The present research was done in the department of Prosthodontic. The

International Journal of Health Sciences ISSN 2550-6978 E-ISSN 2550-696X © 2022.

Manuscript submitted: 9 May 2022, Manuscript revised: 18 July 2022, Accepted for publication: 27 August 2022 3542

study consists of 48 alginate impression materials which were disinfectant with chlorhexidine, autoclave, aleo vera and microwave irradiation for disinfection of impression materials. The pre and post bacterial count was evaluated. Results: The average post disinfection of microbial contamination showed that; Group 1 with chlorhexidine was more effective (434.1 at pre and 21.5at post) with lesser microbial count followed by group III with autoclave (411.1at pre and 27.4 at post), Group-II with microwave irradiation (321.4at pre and 13.3 at post) and least effective with group IV: Aleo vera (278.6at pre and 11.1 at post). One-way ANOVA test was applied which revealed significant difference (P< 0.05) in colony forming unit (CFU) in all groups. Conclusion: Authors found that chlorhexidine, is the better method of sterilization along with autoclave, whereas microwave irradiation and Aleo vera were also effective as disinfectant.

Keywords---aleo vera, autoclave, disinfection, chlorhexidine, impression materials, microwave irradiation.

Introduction

Dental impression materials are often contaminated with oral saliva and blood. Hence dentist and laboratory person handling impression materials are always susceptible to get infected.¹ Proposal by Guidelines for infection control in dental health care comprise that disinfection, cleaning, disinfection, and rinsing of all dental prostheses and prosthodontic items should be finished before they are handling in the laboratory using an active hospital disinfectant.²

There are several disinfection methods such as soaking in chemical disinfectant, autoclave, radiation, herbal etc. ³ The disinfectant solution should show greater efficacy in the reduction of pathogenic microorganisms without interfering with the dimensional stability or ability to replicate particulars of the material. Sterilization is a method that removes all microorganisms unlike disinfection. ⁴

Disinfection is considered into three groups such as high level disinfection, which consists of bacterial spore and other microbial forms inactivity, intermediate level disinfection contains, destruction of microorganisms like tubercle bacilli and low level disinfection possesses narrow antimicrobial activity. ⁵ The present study was done to assess the chlorhexidine, autoclave, aleo vera and microwave irradiation for disinfection of impression materials.

Materials & Methods

The current study was done in the Department of Prosthodontics, after attaining ethical clearance form institutional ethics committee. It comprised of 48 alginate impression materials, divided equally into 4 groups. The study was done by two trained investigators. Four groups were made. In Group-1: chlorhexidine, Group-II: microwave irradiation, group-III: Autoclave, and group IV: aleo vera for disinfection of impression materials. Subsequent to disinfection and autoclaving, all the impressions were exposed for microbial valuation. To find the growth of

3544

micro-organisms, nutrient agar was included as a media. On the Petri plates containing the nutrient agar, poured plate technique was used to equally distribute the diluted samples These Petri plates were then inoculated and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Total number of colony forming units (CFU's) of the viable micro-organisms subsequent to incubation were inspected and noted using a digital colony counter on petri dish. The pre disinfectant count was associated with post disinfectant count. The obtained data statistically evaluated with SPSS version 20 (IBM. Chicago, USA). The total viable count was expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) using One-way ANOVA and t test to associate pre and post disinfectant CFU in all groups with p significance at 0.05.

Results

Table 1 and 2 indicates average pre and post disinfection of microbial contamination in various groups. The average post disinfection of microbial contamination showed that; Group 1 with chlorhexidine was more effective (434.1 at pre and 21.5at post) with lesser microbial count followed by group III with autoclave (411.1at pre and 27.4 at post), Group-II with microwave irradiation (321.4at pre and 13.3 at post) and least effective with group IV: Aleo vera (278.6at pre and 11.1 at post). One-way ANOVA test was applied which revealed significant difference (P< 0.05) in CFU in all groups All the tested group had disinfection capacity but chlorhexidine was more effective (Table-2). One-way ANOVA test was applied which revealed significant difference (P< 0.05) in CFU in all groups.

Discussion

Cross infection is the conversion of an infectious origin from one individual to another in a clinical situation. ⁶ Infection transmission may be seen in process of carrying impression material. Dental staff including hygienists is at higher risk to getting exposed to infectious agents such as AIDS, hepatitis, herpes simplex and cytomegalovirus etc. ⁷ Worldwide it has been observed that around 300-400 million people are chronic hepatitis B carriers. ⁸ For dental practitioners, spread of hepatitis virus is the main occupational hazards. Moreover, HIV can be transmitted by transfusions, needle stick injury or contact of mucous membrane with the blood or body fluids of a carrier. Dentists are very prone to such detriments due to their scenery of work. The current study was done to assess the chlorexidine, autoclave, aleo vera and microwave irradiation for disinfection of impression materials.

Ganavadiya et al found that autoclaved instruments resulted in complete elimination of viable micro-organisms. In decreasing order H2O2 group displayed higher decrease in microbial count followed by glutaraldehyde, ethyl alcohol and distilled water. ¹⁰ Jha et al stted that, significant decreases in bacterial count in sterilized area with Ecosan® as related to water. ¹¹ Ecosan® is developing as a powerful herbal disinfectant which hold characteristic and structure of honey with primary active ingredient as natural polymer of glucosamine. The occurrence of quaternary ammonium compound is used as an emulsifying agent. The natural anthraquinones in the form of alo in from Aloe Vera also boosts its antimicrobial property. This natural polymer of glucosamine in mixture with Aloe Vera has bioactive properties, wound healing, haemostatic, and tissue regeneration. ¹² Samra and Bhide observed better result for dimensional stability and acceptable disinfection using ultra violet chamber and sodium hypochlorite disinfection method. ¹³ Trivedi et al concluded that Spraying with aloe vera for 7 minutes was proved to be the most effective disinfection procedure without altering dimensional stability.¹⁴ In contrast to our findings Goel et al evaluated Sodium Hypochlorite and Microwave Irradiation as a disinfectant and found that microwave irradiated Kala stone casts showed an improved disinfection system linked with 0.07% sodium hypochlorite. ¹⁵ The drawback of the study was limited sample size using lesser disinfectant types. Further studies are necessary to assess on larger sample size with different disinfecting methods.

Conclusion

Authors found that chlorhexidine, is the better method of sterilization along with autoclave. Whereas microwave irradiation and Aleo vera were also effective as disinfectant.

Acknowledgment: Nil Conflict of interest: Nil Financial support: NIL

References

- 1. Aeran H, Sharma S, Kumar V, Gupta N. Use of Clinical UV Chamber to Disinfect Dental Impressions: A Comparative Study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2015 Aug;9(8):ZC67-70.Pubmed PMID: 26436051.
- 2. Al Mortadi N, Al-Khatib A, Alzoubi KH, Khabour OF. Disinfection of dental impressions: knowledge and practice among dental technicians. Clin Cosmet Investig Dent.2019;11:103.-108.
- 3. Badrian H, Davoudi A, Molazem M, Zare MH. The effect of spraying different disinfectants on condensational silicone impressions; an in vitro study. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2015 Jul-Sep;15(3):263-7.Pubmed PMID: 26929523.
- 4. Chidambaranathan AS, Balasubramanium M. Comprehensive Review and Comparison of the Disinfection Techniques Currently Available in the Literature. J Prosthodont. 2017 Apr 19:1-8.Pubmed PMID: 28422353.
- 5. Demajo JK, Cassar V, Farrugia C, Millan-Sango D, Sammut C, Valdramidis V, et al. Effectiveness of Disinfectants on Antimicrobial and Physical Properties of Dental Impression Materials. Int J Prosthodont. 2016;29(1):63.
- 6. Ganavadiya R, Chandra Shekar BR, Saxena V, Tomar P, Gupta R, Khandelwal G. Disinfecting efficacy of three chemical disinfectants on contaminated diagnostic instruments: A randomized trial. J Basic Clin Pharm. 2014 Sep;5(4):98-104.Pubmed PMID: 25316989.
- 7. Godbole SR, Dahane TM, Patidar NA, Nimonkar SV. Evaluation of the Effect of Ultraviolet Disinfection on Dimensional Stability of the Polyvinyl Silioxane Impressions. an in-Vitro Study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2015 Sep;8(9):73-76.
- 8. Goel K, Gupta R, Solanki J, Nayak M. A Comparative Study Between Microwave Irradiation and Sodium Hypochlorite Chemical Disinfection: A Prosthodontic View. J Clin Diagn Res. 2014 Apr; 8(4): ZC42–ZC46.
- 9. Jha P, Shetty AK, Anandakrishna L. Efficiency of an organic disinfectant on alginate impressions-A Pilot Study. JDOR.2019;17(18):19-20.

- Khinnavar PK, Kumar BH, Nandeeshwar DB. An in vitro study to evaluate the effect on dimensional changes of elastomers during cold sterilization. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2015 Apr-Jun;15(2):131-7.Pubmed PMID: 26929499.
- 11. Maller SV, Karthik KS, Maller US, Abraham MC, Kumar RN, Manikandan R. Drug and dental impression materials. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2012 Aug;4(Suppl 2): S316–S318.
- 12. Nassar U, Chow AK. Surface Detail Reproduction and Effect of Disinfectant and Long-Term Storage on the Dimensional Stability of a Novel Vinyl Polyether Silicone Impression Material. J Prosthodont. 2015 Aug;24(6):494-8. Pubmed PMID: 25522249.
- 13. Sahar Elkholy and Walid lofty. Sacred Lotus as an impression disinfectant and its effect on the dimensional stability of an elastomeric impression material. Acta sci. dent. sci.2018:39-44.
- 14. Samra RK, Bhide SV. Comparative evaluation of dimensional stability of impression materials from developing countries and developed countries after disinfection with different immersion disinfectant systems and ultraviolet chamber. Saudi Dent J. 2018 Apr;30(2):125-141.Pubmed PMID: 29628736.
- 15. Trivedi R, Sangur R,Bathala LR,Srivastava S1,Madhav S,Chaubey P. Evaluation of efficacy of Aloe Vera as a Disinfectant by Immersion and Spray methods on Irreversible Hydrocolloid Impression Material and its Effect on the Dimensional Stability of Resultant Gypsum Cast - An in Vitro Study. Journal of Medicine and Life.2019; 12(4):395-402

Legends for illustrations

Tables

Groups	Mean (10 ⁶ CFU/ml)	P value
Pre-disinfection		
Group I Chlorhexidine	434.1	0.081
Group II microwave	321.4	
Group III Autoclave	411.1	
Group IV aleo vera	278.6	
Post-disinfection		
Group I Chlorhexidine	21.5	0.001
Group II microwave	13.3	
Group III Autoclave	27.4	
Group IV aleo vera	11.1	

 Table 1: Microbial contamination during pre disinfection

One-way ANOVA, p< 0.05, significant

Table 2: Assessment of the pre- and post-disinfection microbial contamination in various groups

Groups	Pre	Post	t	df	Р
Group I Chlorhexidine	434.1	21.5	12.13	2	0.012
Group II microwave	321.4	13.3	9.23	2	0.042

3547

Group III Autoclave	411.1	27.4	8.71	2	0.025		
Group IV aleo vera	278.6	11.1	11.03	2	0.001		
One way ANOVA no 0.05 significant							

One-way ANOVA, p< 0.05, significant