#### How to Cite:

Deakandi, W. Y., Farahdina, F. ., Putri, Q. A. N., Nuzula, T. M., Fedora, K., Aina, Q., Rochmah, N., & Faizi, M. (2022). The glycemic control among type 1 diabetes children pre and during COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(S9), 2171–2182. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS9.12893

# The glycemic control among type 1 diabetes children pre and during COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis

### Wika Y. Deakandi

Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Malang, Malang, Indonesia

### Farahdina Farahdina

Faculty of Medicine, Department of child health, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

### **Qurrota A. N. Putri** Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

**Tyas M. Nuzula** Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

### **Katherine Fedora**

Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

### Qorri Aina

Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

### Nur Rochmah

Faculty of Medicine, Department of child health, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia Corresponding author email: nur-r@fk.unair.ac.id

### Muhammad Faizi

Faculty of Medicine, Department of child health, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

> **Abstract**--The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on glycemic control in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) patients is still evolving. This study aims to assess the negative effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on mean glucose and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in patients with T1DM. This meta-analysis study using the PRISMA framework method with the search strategy according to the population, intervention, control, and outcome (PICO) model. Relevant articles were searched in 4 databases. The results identified the HbA1c and mean glucose. The

### Manuscript submitted: 9 May 2022, Manuscript revised: 18 July 2022, Accepted for publication: 27 August 2022

International Journal of Health Sciences ISSN 2550-6978 E-ISSN 2550-696X © 2022.

COVID-19 pandemic caused an increase in the HbA1c levels (%) compared to the pre-COVID group with a mean difference of -1.06 (95% CI: -1.44, -0.69; p<0.00001). Meanwhile, the mean glucose (mg/dL) decreased during-COVID-19 pandemic with a mean difference of -2.32 (95% CI: -4.40, -0.23; p=0.03). Ten studies with a total of 1615 subjects contributed for HbA1c analysis (during-COVID-19, n = 615; pre-COVID, n = 1000). A total of 1164 patients from six studies with a mean glucose analysis were included (during-COVID-19, n = 418; pre-COVID, n = 746). This study found that the COVID-19 pandemic significantly increased the levels of HbA1c and decreased mean glucose in patients with T1DM, but further studies need to be evaluated.

*Keywords*---HbA1c, T1DM, Mean glucose, COVID-19.

### Introduction

Type-1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) is a condition of insufficient secretion of insulin caused by autoimmune against beta pancreas. T1DM can be considered one of the most common non-communicable diseases in children. The prevalence of T1DM in the USA was 1.93 /1000 in 2009 and in some central European countries, the annual increase in the incidence rate of T1DM in this period was 3.4% (Shojaeian and Mehri-Ghahfarrokhi, 2018). In Indonesia, the prevalence is predicted to increase approximately sevenfold over a decade from 3.88 to 28.19 per 100 million in 2010. However, there are still many underdiagnosed and misdiagnosed, making it difficult to determine the actual frequency of T1DM among Indonesian children (Pulungan et al., 2021).

T1DM is a chronic condition of an autoimmune disease associated with the specific destruction of the insulin-producing beta pancreas which results in increased glucose and HbA1c level (Sperling et al., 2021). Insulin deficiency leads to lipolysis alteration and an increased level of free fatty acids which inhibit the glucose metabolism in the liver and muscle. In some patients with newly diagnosed T1DM, a part of beta-cells are still functional so with insulin administration, the remaining beta-cell function improves and decreases the demand for additional insulin. When a diabetic patient experiences good glucose control, this stage is referred to as the honeymoon period (Marcdante and Kliegman, 2019).

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) has caused the Indonesian and global public health crisis (Christoforidis et al., 2020). Some studies showed that COVID-19 causes a high mortality and morbidity rate among people with diabetes mellitus. However, there were no hospitalization-required cases of COVID-19 and T1DM. Additionally, this study demonstrated that T1DM patients had higher total blood glucose levels post-COVID-19 than pre-COVID-19 (Lee et al., 2022). In addition to insulin therapy, healthy eating habits, regular daily routines, and even exercise all significantly improve the control of diabetes. The lockdown significantly altered peoples' everyday routines, particularly those of children with T1DM. For children and adolescents with T1DM, these factors may contribute to

increased glycemic control (Christoforidis et al., 2020). The changes in daily routines and the medical aspects of people with T1DM can have a great effect on glycemic control (Duarte et al., 2022). Therefore, it is necessary to make a systematic review and meta-analysis of the differences in glucose and HbA1c levels before and during the pandemic in children with T1DM.

### Method

The procedures, such as record collection, extraction of data, quality assessment, and statistical analysis based on the preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA) (Page et al., 2021).

### Data Sources

A systemic electronic-based literature search was done from 2 to 28 February 2022 to assess the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on glycemic control in children with T1DM. The search was conducted using the keyword constructed on Medical Subject Heading (meSH) and other additional keywords: (COVID) AND [(pandemic) OR (lockdown) OR (quarantine)] AND (Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus) AND [(glycemic control) OR (glucose level)] AND [(children) OR (pediatric)]. The literature search was performed in PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, and Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ) databases.

### **Study Selection**

The articles included in this analysis were independently screened by two authors (TMN and QA) based on the following eligibility criteria: 1) assessing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on glycemic control of T1DM, 2) having required the standard analytic calculation, 3) observational studies. The exclusion criteria were: 1) irrelevant titles and abstracts, 2) incomplete data, 3) literature reviews, editorials, and letters, 4) non-English language articles. The potential covariate in this paper was the COVID-19 pandemic's roles. While the outcome was glycemic control as measured by HbA1c (%) and mean glucose (mg/dL). The covariates were determined after preliminary searching for covariates screening to our study calculations.

### Data extraction

The following details were selected from every paper: 1) Author name, 2) publication year, 3) the number of cases and control or sample size, 4) sample's age, 5) ethnicity, 6) main findings, 7) HbA1c (%) in Pre- and during-COVID-19 Pandemic (8) Mean glucose in Pre- and during-COVID-19 Pandemic. Two authors extracted the data to reduce human error and produce highly reliable data (FF and WYD). If a discrepancy was discovered, we had a group discussion.

The quality paper for meta-analysis was evaluated with the New Castle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) which ranged from 0 to 9 points. The NOS had 3 items: selection of patients (4 points), group comparability (2 points), and exposure assessment (3 points). The interpretation was low quality (score  $\leq$  4), medium quality (score 5-6), or high quality (score  $\geq$  7). The two researchers (FF and WY) conducted a NOS

# Statistical analysis

senior researcher (NR and MF).

The glycemic control parameter (HbA1c and mean glucose) of T1DM children before and during the pandemic were analyzed as continuous variables using the mean difference and 95% confidence interval (CI). The Z-test was used to assess the overall effect (p <0.05). Before identifying key factors, the measure for data heterogeneity using the I<sup>2</sup> test and publication bias. When heterogeneity was present (I2 >50% or p <0.10), a random-effects model was implemented. Contrarily, the fixed-effects model was applied. Egger's tests were used to measure the publication bias (p <0.05). The correlation and effect estimates were then plotted using a forest diagram. Data were analyzed using Review Manager version 5.3 (Revman Cochrane, London). Two independent authors (QAN and KF) conducted a statistical analysis to avoid methodological errors.

assessment, and if discrepancies were identified, discussions were held with the

### Results

### **Eligible studies**

The searching strategy evaluates 135 related studies. There were 114 papers removed due to irrelevant titles and abstracts. In total, 21 papers were included for full-text review. Nine of them were eliminated due to insufficient data (n = 4), or review (n = 4). Finally, 13 papers were included in this analysis. This paper's selection process is shown in Figure 1 and Table 1 summarizes the baseline parameters.

### 2174



Figure 1. Paper selection pathway

Author & year	Sample size	Gender (male)	Location	Study design	Age (years) (mean ± SD)	NOS	Main findings
Alaqeel et al 202	260	120	Saudi Arabia	Retrospective	$9.8 \pm 0.2$	6	Lockdown affected T1DM children and increased the incidence of DKA.
Bogale et al 2020	412	241	Pennsylvania	Retrospective	$10.0 \pm 4.29$	7	A similar frequency of DKA was seen in newly diagnosed children with T1DM.
Brener et al 2020	102	54	Israel	Retrospective	$11.2 \pm 3.8$	7	During the COVID-19 pandemic, CGM in T1DM patients remained mostly steady.
Cheng et al 2021	93	44	Malaysia	Retrospective	$11.08 \pm 3.47$	6	Adverse impact on HbA1C mostly seen in T2DM patient
Christoforidis et al 2020	34	16	Greece	Retrospective	11.37 ± 4.45	7	The mean glucose levels did not differ from the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown.
Duarte et al 2022	100	59	Portugal	Retrospective	12.5 ± 4	7	Only the 10–13 age group showed a significant change in glycemic control before and after lockdown.
Elhenawy 2021	115	56	Egypt	Retrospective	-	7	In children with T1DM, the lockdown had a negative effect on glycemic control.
Kostopoulou et al 2021	38	21	Greece	Prospective	9.44 ± 3.72	7	The severity of newly diagnosed T1DM increased in the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic.
Lee et al 2021	45	23	Korea	Retrospective	15.83 ± 6.13	8	Children with T1DM had an increase in blood glucose levels.
Marigliano et al 2021	233	131	Italy	Retrospective	$13.9 \pm 4.4$	7	During the COVID-19 lockdown, children with T1DM had better glycemic control.
McGlacken- Byrne et al 2021	47	24	London	Retrospective	11 ± 2.7	7	During the COVID-19 pandemic, T1DM symptoms were more severe than usual.
Rochmah et al 2021	33	18	Indonesia	Prospective	11.97 ± 2.91	7	During the COVID-19 pandemic, the Quality of Life (QoL) of children with T1DM increased after receiving online

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of articles included in our study

							education.		
Tinti et al 2021	66	46	Italy	Retrospective	11.6 ± 4.5	7	During the Continuous Glu was enhanced.	COVID-19 acose Monito	lockdown, ring (CGM)

Note, CGM, Continuous Glucose Monitoring

## Data Synthesis

This study included 13 papers assessing the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on glycemic control of T1DM. The effect of the COVID-19 pandemic is measured by decreasing HbA1C and mean glucose levels. This pooled analysis found that COVID-19 pandemic affects the reduction of HbA1c (mean diff: -1.06 [95%CI: -1.44, -0.69], p < 0.00001) and mean glucose (mean diff: -2.32 [95%CI: -4.40, -0.23], p = 0.03). The overview of the association between the COVID-19 pandemic and outcome variables in the present study is presented in Table 2.

### Source of Heterogeneity

This analysis found heterogeneity in HbA1C variables; therefore, a random effect model was used. While there was no evidence of heterogeneity in the mean glucose variables, therefore a fixed-effect model was used. The result of heterogeneity among studies is shown in Table 2.

### **Potential Publication Bias**

Egger's test was used to examine the publication bias in the studies. There was no publication bias in this study and the result was shown in Table 2.

Table 2.	Summary	of the	glycemic	control	in	children	with	T1DM	pre	and	during
			the CC	OVID-19	pa	andemic					

Parameters	Outcome	e measure	Std diff in mean /	95%CI	pЕ	pHet	р
	Pre	During	Odds Ratio in				
	pandemic	pandemic	number of events				
HbA1C (%)	8.9 ± 1.3	$13 \pm 2.6$	-1.06	-1.44	0.3	< 0.0	< 0.0
				0.69	90	000	0001
						1	
Mean	222.16 ±	218 ± 59.5	-2.32	-4.40 -	0.2	0.04	0.03
Glucose	57.33			-0.23	39		
(mg/dL)							

Note, data were presented in mean ± SD; CI, confidence int

	PRE	COV	D	DURIN	IG CO	VID		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Alaqeel et al 2021	11	1	154	12	1	106	12.8%	-1.00 [-1.25, -0.75]	· • · ·
Bogale et al 2021	12	2	370	13	3	42	7.4%	-1.00 [-1.93, -0.07]	
Cheng et al 2021	8	1	93	9	1	93	12.6%	-1.00 [-1.29, -0.71]	+
Duarte et al 2022	8	1	100	8	1	100	12.6%	0.00 [-0.28, 0.28]	+
Elhenawy et al 2021	8	1	115	9	2	115	11.7%	-1.00 [-1.41, -0.59]	-
KOSTOPOULOU et al 2021	10	1	17	12	1	21	9.8%	-2.00 [-2.64, -1.36]	
Lee et al 2021	7	1	45	8	2	45	9.6%	-1.00 [-1.65, -0.35]	
McGlacken-Byrne et al 2021	10	3	30	13	2	17	4.6%	-3.00 [-4.43, -1.57]	
Rochmah et al 2021	9	2	33	10	2	33	7.2%	-1.00 [-1.97, -0.03]	
Wu et al 2021	6	1	43	7	1	43	11.6%	-1.00 [-1.42, -0.58]	+
Total (95% CI)			1000			615	100.0%	-1.06 [-1.44, -0.69]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.27; C	hi² = 61.0	2, df:	= 9 (P -	< 0.0000	1); I <sup>2</sup> =	85%		_	<u> </u>
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.52	(P < 0.0)	0001)	)						

2178



Figure 2. Forest Plot of the glycemic control in children with T1DM before and during COVID-19 Pandemic a) HbA1c b) Mean glucose

### Discussion

A total of thirteen studies examined the association between HbA1c and mean glucose in T1DM in the pandemic era. This meta-analysis showed that HbA1c and mean glucose levels were lower before than after the pandemic era. Lockdown in the pandemic era hurts glycemic control in T1DM children (Elhenawy and Eltonbary, 2021), especially 10-13 years of age.<sup>7</sup> However, the severity of T1DM also increases during the pandemic era (Kostopoulou et al., 2021 and Mc-Glacken-Bryne et al., 2021). T1DM is a disease caused by the immune system against host cells, particularly beta-cell. This immune cell identified beta-cell as antigens and the proinflammatory responses initiated. Beta-cell destruction occurs as a result of the immune system being exposed to beta-cell antigens by the antigen-presenting cells (APCs). The necrosis of this cell induces the antigen to initiate destruction on another part of beta cells. This process led to increasing the mean glucose level in T1DM in children (Saberzadh-Ardestani et al., 2018). HbA1c level showed glucose attached to haemoglobin has been over the past three months and may be more understandable to T1DM children (Sikaris, 2009).

A study by Aleqeel et al showed that lockdown impacted children with T1DM and led to more complications such as DKA (Alqeel et al., 2021). However, another study found that there were no changes in the risk of diabetes complications among paediatric patients with T1DM and that T2DM patients were more likely to experience negative effects (Bogale et al., 2021 and Cheng et al., 2021). DKA is caused by three conditions which are increased glucose, ketone, and anion gap which is caused by increased gluconeogenesis; glycogenolysis, and decreased glucose uptake by the internal organ, muscle, and adipose tissue (Gosmanov et al., 2000).

Some factors contribute to the mean glucose and HbA1 in T1DM during the pandemic era. In the pandemic era, children with T1DM reduce physical activity and dietary compliance at home (Grabia et al., 2021). The restrictions on daily life such as school attendance also led to a sedentary lifestyle. COVID-19 lockdown causes difficulty in measuring self-blood glucose due to difficulty to get blood sugar measuring devices and insulin injections (Lee et al., 2022). Other factors such as restricted medication, lack of follow-up, stress, and anxiety caused by lockdown also increase the glucose level. Factors associated with the parents also can contribute to the glycemic control of the children. High socioeconomic status, knowledge, and disease awareness are associated with good conditions in T1DM children (Pal, 2021).

### 2180

T1DM children need glucose monitoring to prevent complications. Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) in patients with T1DM was relatively stable during the COVID-19 pandemic (Brener et al., 2020) and also improve the glycemic control in T1DM during lockdown (Tinti et al., 2021). During the pandemic era, most children used smartphones to study in the classroom virtually. Online education about T1DM to children can increase their quality of life (Rochmah et al., 2021). Further research is needed to know the effective method to control the glucose and HbA1c level in children with T1DM.

According to the author's knowledge, this is the first systematic review and metaanalysis that evaluate the glycemic control among T1DM children pre and during the COVID-19 pandemic. This study also has some limitations. Firstly, some of the studies have small subjects that can cause different signification. Secondly, this study didn't analyse the treatment strategy and complications during the pandemic era. We recommend more studies with a larger sample and studies about treatment strategies and complications during pandemics.

### Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic effect increases the level of HbA1c and decreases the mean glucose level in children with T1DM. However, more studies need to be evaluated.

### Acknowledgment

None

### Funding

None

### **Competing interest**

No conflicts of interest related to this work

### References

- Alaqeel A., Aljuraibah F., Alsuhaibani M., Huneif M., Alsaheel A., Dubayee M.A., et al. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown on the Incidence of New-Onset Type 1 Diabetes and Ketoacidosis Among Saudi Children. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne), 1;12:669302. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.669302.
- Bogale, K. T., Urban, V., Schaefer, E., & Bangalore Krishna, K. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Prevalence of Diabetic Ketoacidosis at Diagnosis of Type 1 Diabetes: A Single-Centre Study in Central Pennsylvania. Endocrinology, diabetes & metabolism, 4(3). doi:10.1002/edm2.235
- Brener A., Mazor-Aronovitch K., Rachmiel M., Levek N., Barash G, (2020). Pinhas-Hamiel O, Lebenthal Y, Landau Z. Lessons learned from the continuous glucose monitoring metrics in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes under COVID-19 lockdown. Acta Diabetol, 57(12):1511-1517. doi: 10.1007/s00592-020-01596-4.
- Cheng H.P., Wong J.S.L., Selveindran N.M., Hong J.Y.H. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on glycaemic control and lifestyle changes in children and

adolescents with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Endocrine, 73(3):499-506. doi: 10.1007/s12020-021-02810-1.

- Christoforidis A., Kavoura E., Nemtsa A., Pappa K., Dimitriadou M. (2020) Coronavirus lockdown effect on type 1 diabetes management on children wearing insulin pump equipped with a continuous glucose monitoring system. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 166:108307. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108307
- Duarte V., Mota B., Ferreira S., Costa C., Correia C.C. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on glycemic control in type 1 diabetes. Archives de Pédiatrie, 29(1): 27-29. doi: 10.1016/j.arcped.2021.11.008.
- Elhenawy Y.I., Eltonbary K.Y. (2021). Glycemic control among children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes during COVID-19 pandemic in Egypt: a pilot study. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries, 41(3):389-395. doi: 10.1007/s13410-021-00968-y.
- Gosmanov A.R., Gosmanova E.O., Kitabchi A.E. (2000). Hyperglycemic Crises: Diabetic Ketoacidosis and Hyperglycemic Hyperosmolar State. [Updated 2021 May 9]. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, et al., editors. Endotext [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK279052/
- Grabia M., Puścion-Jakubik A., Markiewicz-Żukowska R., Bielecka J., Mielech A., Nowakowski P., Socha K. (2021). Adherence to Mediterranean Diet and Selected Lifestyle Elements among Young Women with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus from Northeast Poland: A Case-Control COVID-19 Survey. Nutrients, 2;13(4):1173. doi: 10.3390/nu13041173.
- Kostopoulou E., Eliopoulou M.I., Rojas Gil A.P., Chrysis D. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on new-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus - A one-year prospective study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci, 25(19):5928-5935. doi: 10.26355/eurrev\_202110\_26869.
- Lee M.S., Lee R., Ko C.W., Moon J.E. (2022). Increase in blood glucose level and incidence of diabetic ketoacidosis in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus in the Daegu-Gyeongbuk area during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: a retrospective cross-sectional study. J Yeungnam Med Sci, 39(1):46-52. doi: 10.12701/yujm.2021.01221.
- Marcdante K., Kliegman R. (2019). Nelson Essentials of Pediatrics. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier.
- Marigliano M., Maffeis C. (2021). Glycemic control of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes improved after COVID-19 lockdown in Italy. Acta Diabetol, 58(5):661-664. doi: 10.1007/s00592-020-01667-6.
- McGlacken-Bryne, Samantha E.V.D., Kelly T., Cathrine P., Rakesh A. (2021). The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is associated with increased severity of presentation of childhood onset type 1 diabetes mellitus: A multi-centre study of the first COVID-19 wave. Diabetic Medicine, 38(9). doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.14640
- Page M.J., McKenzie J.E., Bossuyt P.M., Boutron I., Hoffmann T.C., Mulrow C.D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372:71.
- Pal, R., Yadav, U., Verma, A., & Bhadada, S. K. (2021). Awareness regarding COVID-19 and problems being faced by young adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus amid nationwide lockdown in India: A qualitative interview study. Primary care diabetes, 15(1), 10–15. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2020.07.001

- Pulungan A., Fadiana G., Annisa D. (2021). Type 1 diabetes mellitus in children: Experience in Indonesia. Clinical Pediatric Endocrinology, 30(1): 11-18. doi: 10.1297/cpe.30.11.
- Rochmah N., Faizi M., Hisbiyah Y., Triastuti I.W., Wicaksono G., Endaryanto A., Soetjipto. (2021). Quality of Life Differences in Pre- and Post-Educational Treatment in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus During COVID-19. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes, 28;14:2905-2911. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S313575.
- Saberzadeh-Ardestani B., Karamzadeh R., Basiri M., Hajizadeh-Saffar E., Farhadi A, Shapiro A.M.J., Tahamtani Y., Baharvand H. (2018). Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: Cellular and Molecular Pathophysiology at A Glance. Cell J, 20(3):294-301. doi: 10.22074/cellj.2018.5513.
- Shojaeian A., Mehri-Ghahfarrokhi A. (2018). An overview of the Epidemiology of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. Int J Metab Syndr, 2(1): 001-004.
- Sikaris K. (2009). The correlation of hemoglobin A1c to blood glucose. J Diabetes Sci Technol, 1;3(3):429-38. doi: 10.1177/193229680900300305.
- Sperling M.A., Majzoub R.K., Menon R.K., Stratakis C.A. (2021). Sperling Pediatric Endocrinology. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier, pp. 815.
- Tinti D., Savastio S., Grosso C., De Donno V., Trada M., Nugnes M., et al. (2021) Impact of lockdown during COVID-19 emergency on glucose metrics of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes in Piedmont, Italy. Acta Diabetol, 58(7):959-961. doi: 10.1007/s00592-021-01702-0.