

**How to Cite:**

Albokhary, A. A. (2023). Perinatal care: Integrative healthcare services: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(S8), 6627–6637.  
<https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS8.13931>

# Perinatal care: Integrative healthcare services: A comprehensive review

**Afnan A Albokhary**

Assistant Professor, Faculty of Nursing, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding author email: [aalbukhari@uqu.edu.sa](mailto:aalbukhari@uqu.edu.sa)

**Abstract**---Background: Healthcare (HC) deliberations are under huge pressure during the perinatal period. Like other healthcare sub-sectors, maternal HC delivery has encountered substantial moderations over the years, similar to the commutative streak that swept across the healthcare field over twenty-five years. Aim: Our literature aims to examine scientific research on commutative professional care (PC) to inform procedural decisions. Results: By evaluating the effectiveness of various clinical practices and intervention programs, researchers interested in integrated perinatal care have focused primarily on continuity of care and clinical and professional integration.

**Keywords**---perinatal care, integrative approach, commutative care, maternal, child healthcare, better outcome.

**Introduction**

Childbirth is a significant event in the lives of women. During the perinatal period, commonly described as the time between deciding to deliver a child and even following the delivery, the parents, their spouse, and the individual comprehensively experience significantly different domains of disruptions. In addition, perinatal, a space during the usage of health care services is particularly intense for both normal and problematic pregnancies [1]. As with other sub-sectors of health care, perinatal care has recently undergone significant alterations, one of which is desirable to "commute" the many services provided by the health care system [2]. Since the 1990s, "integrated care" has been a prevalent organizational trend. One of the reasons for its appeal has been the expectations it has generated, especially top-drawer of service and outcomes [3].

This new WHO guide for integrating perinatal mental health into mother and child health services provides the best information to assist maternal and child health MCH clinicians in identifying indications of mental health disorders and reacting

in a manner tailored to their local and cultural contexts. The handbook provides a method for planning and evaluating the integration of perinatal mental healthcare into MCH services based on evidence. This project is to provide a literature review on commutative PC. There are a couple of questions to be addressed. What are the existing research understandings of commutative PC? In other perspectives, what mode do these commutations employ, and how does it influence patients, professionals, and the entire health care system? How might this information be utilized by policymakers attracted to PC? [4]

To answer these concerns, we decided to employ a commuting strategy. We picked repeatable random research papers ('Retrieval approach') and stroked to evaluate the retrieved papers to explore the present condition of the examined issue on behalf of the procedural status of the concept under review. The resolution was performed so that our approach could accommodate the extremely varied review content.

### **Conceptual Framework: First Phase**

In addition, we developed a primordial frame of reference to yield our interpretations by combining a protocol for health delivery and procedure interpretation with many descriptions of commuted care. This point of reference is a potent instrument that facilitates consequential replies to our study inquiries. Besides, it enables us to paint an exact picture of the understanding covered (and not included) in the academic work and highlights the techniques that might be beneficial for policymakers in the context of integrated perinatal care. This paper provides two distinct and interconnected contributions. First, this literature evaluation on integrated perinatal care is novel from a theoretical standpoint because it has never been conducted. Second, it gives direct research support to policymakers interested in this health care organization method. In addition, this report provides valuable information for policymakers, prenatal care researchers, and practitioners. We are unaware of any other published study that has made a similar contribution.

Following is a description of the conceptual framework we developed to evaluate the quality of the examined papers. It expands the definition of integrated health care delivery. Following the description of the search approach is an analysis and discussion of the reviewed materials. The report's conclusion describes the limitations and significant elements of our reflection. As a preliminary step, we have used the "framework model for policy research" established by Misra DP et al., 2003 [5]. This model presented by Misra focuses on pre- and inter-pregnancy risk factors that influence perinatal outcomes. We argue for a health care system that combines the many factors of prenatal outcome throughout the early life span of women.

According to Misra et al., 2003, a framework comprises 3 phases: a structural level, a mediation program, and individual customs procedures. They argue that when experts in the vision of HS and scientific findings assess the influences of changes, they pay equal attention to the independent clinical level as well as the strategies and procedures levels in terms of more than one traditional relevancy goal: Effectiveness, or the anticipation of favorable outcomes; cost-effectiveness,

or excellent strategy usage; and rightness, which includes universality, accessibility, and availability.

However, several studies focusing on the three primary purposes of strategies of attentiveness vary by interpretation: the information is more superabundant on the effectiveness of independent practical procedures, whereas cost-effectiveness and uniqueness are more often explored at the system point. Intervention program studies are situated between independent and strategic interventions. Lastly, as Misra et al., the same sort of intervention rarely permits the simultaneous fulfillment of all system-level objectives. Treatment or intervention may be very effective at the individual level yet inefficient at the system level if made universally accessible.

### **Conceptual Framework: Second Phase**

The second phase in developing a conceptual framework suited to this research consists of identifying the most pertinent ideas of integration and related words [6]. The concept of service integration differs substantially between studies. Clarification is required to hone in on analysis and enable meaningful comparison. There is growing agreement that commutation is a strategy that spans every point in HC procedures. Using Rosenblatt and Woodbridge's categories, we categorized both sets of criteria according to the level of integration they apply.

According to Ramaiah P et al. (2020), starting with the individual correlates to the 'commutation of the care component of HCS. These authors describe continuity as having two important elements: a panel temporal span and a centered patient cornerstone [7]. For a person joining the HCS at a given time, continuity indicates the degree of soundness, association, and dependability they perceive in relation to this occurrence (at the time and over time), association with their health needs, and personal situation.

In terms of the paradigm of care and the background whereabouts it is offered, three types of progression can be distinguished: "enlightening persistence," or the deliberation of earlier events in solving the existing issues; "coherence in accessibility," or the allocation of supportive schemes and harmonious approach executed to the care receivers risks and mental comforts; and "affinitive endurance," or the association existing over time between the service provider and the needy population. It is essential to stress that continuity is not a property of HC institutions, but comparatively the perspective patients have when they "experience service commutation and harmonization." [8]

### **Coordination and Collaboration**

Still at the person's level, 'commutation' refers to the intentional associative acts of HC employees, i.e., the campaigns or pieces of work shared by multiple professions and HC institutional staff. Sequential collaboration occurs when, across a single episode of sickness/service use, a sick person contacts more than one HC employee in progression quickly. Collaboration is 'mutual' when ill people are treated at the same point by HC professionals [9]. Interestingly, collaboration

is called "gathering" when a varied cluster of specialists manages and delivers services together. Collective coordination requires a transition from the level of the individual to the level of the professional group. Even though each successive mode of coordination places greater requirements on the group, they all have three aspects:

They signify a cooperative attitude on the part of MCH personnel, developing an awareness of their interconnection in performing duties; they may be provided by HC professionals operating within the same organization or from different institutions; and in the case of professionals from other HC institutions, they may be from the same or various phases of HC.

The joint effort contemplates the inter-*HC* institutional complexion of the disposition. Coordination depicts to the joint effort of inter-*HC* institutional relationships that evolve gradually, adopting an ongoing communication process. Given that no single organizational partner has the legitimate authority to manage the problem independently, each must negotiate their respective duties and responsibilities. This notion of cooperation prompts examination of the amalgamation of *HC* as a means of traditional integration throughout the *HC*.

### **Integration Dimensions**

In addition to the notions of continuity, coordination, and collaboration, several terminologies from the literature on health sector integration are applicable here. Shortell et al. [1] discuss "integration dimensions." Medical integration, or more inclusively, professional integration, refers to the assignment (or self-assignment) of caregivers to multifaceted groups to seek the healthier requirements of those utilizing the *HC*. An aspect of coordination suggests an intelligent disposition among experts. When experts strive to combine their different therapeutic practices around a particular patient, they adopt the term "clinical integration." This dimension encompasses several coordination mechanisms. To enhance professional and clinical integration, 'practical integration' occurs when the stream of care links its funding, data, and managing modules.

In addition to the analytical approach employed to restrict our inquiry to papers truly addressing amenity homogenization as we conceive it, additional standards were employed to refine the concept of inclusion. We decided only to consider articles disseminated in English in blind-reviewed journals from 2010 to 2022. The discussion was constrained to developed countries' pieces of information. Several standard websites were consulted, including PubMed, web of science, and Scopus. This research method resulted in the discovery of 25 published papers. Initial content interpretation of the significant findings of these papers conducted independently and then reviewed collectively, permitted us to exclude publications that were not immediately relevant, i.e., not directly related to integrated perinatal services. This procedure resulted in 15 fewer items. This significant decline was mostly attributable to the fact that "integration" has become linked with topics except for care distribution. In addition, as is typically illustrated in *HC* and procedures, the selected studies were highly variable in terms of their objectives, methodologies, duration of the study, and study

environments. As stated in the paper's introduction, this variability offers a strong justification for the decision to conduct an interpretive review.

Following the study's established framework, the analysis is presented by level of care. However, as most articles focus on intervention at the scheme level, it was opted to initiate with information that pertains to an individual and the entire levels of HC and then provide papers on strategies at the management level. The parallel category has been subdivided for clarity into managing normal pregnancies and managing high-risk mothers. In concluding components, we provide a comprehensive description and literature generation.

As stated, most of the research we analyzed focuses on intervention program analysis. As with research that focuses on independent or core levels of analysis, the author of this search is primarily concerned with determining the efficacy of interventions. Few research attempted to quantify the efficacy of interventions or optimize their positive impacts in the context of constrained resources. Information on this topic is still insufficient for us to draw judgments. For clarity, we group the studies at the institutional level of HC into divisions: the governance of manageable pregnancies by HC professionals and the governance of high-risk pregnancies, notwithstanding their vast diversity. This sub-division, which arose from the review, is significant regarding the healthcare requirements of various clients.

Despite their diversity, many articles are devoted to overseeing expected pregnancies. First, in cross-sectional study research on practical benefits, those estimating after-effects in maternal and fetal well-being recommended that before pregnancy, services led by midwives and those rendered by HC professionals do not differ relevantly in females becoming pregnant without medical complications [10]. In all of these instances, however, women were more satisfied with the treatment their family physicians and midwives provided than their obstetrician-gynecologists.

The scheduling and content of prenatal consultations differed substantially from country to country. Furthermore, fewer prenatal visits did not appear to be related to substantial changes in mother and child health parameters. Nonetheless, four visits were deemed a mandatory minimum [11]. One evaluation of economic status in the developed country revealed that minimal prenatal visits resulted in economic gains for the healthcare system. In this study, reducing the number of prenatal visits was associated with a negligible increase in readmissions, resulting in an increase in the cost of newborn care [12]. Lastly, such a reduction in industrialized nations could presumably be associated with broader annoyance with services obtained.

Unique maternity service programs or appropriate services given by HC professionals in independent HC yielded the best outcomes when directed at clearly defined young women with low means. These specialized programs may reduce health status gaps. Since most caring systems are privately supported in the United States, this is particularly relevant [13]. Several research identified the improved transfer of clinical information between primary care physicians and hospitals to improve the effectiveness of individual prenatal and postnatal clinical

practice. Implementing shared clinical files and establishing the post of inter-organization program coordinators simplify sharing details among experts at various phases, enhancing the efficacy of MCH programs.

### **Management by Midwives**

Several valid findings assess customer satisfaction with received care, especially in relation to continuity, which, together with empathy or females-centered care, is one of the relevant respected and professional aspects of midwifery services. In the papers on consideration, consistency was essentially described as the provision of satisfaction by the MCH professional during a perinatal stage, including pregnancy, labor, and postpartum [14]. Some of the examined studies additionally quantify effects on the health of the mother and child while accounting for ongoing care (process measuring).

These studies demonstrate that women are generally more satisfied when a midwife is their primary perinatal caregiver. During the prenatal time, the level of satisfaction is higher regardless of the research, the background in question, or the primary provider [15]. The review also suggests that teams of midwives improve continuity of care compared to routine or standard services, whether this includes MCH teams working in the community, as in the British example, or HC workers working in maternity centers, as in the Australian example [16].

### **Informational Approach**

When a few midwives' partners offer MCH services, continuity of care is strengthened in terms of its informational approach and relational components. The operational structure and organizational framework of midwifery teams and the prenatal stage they supervise differ from nation to country [17]. Recent experiments in Australia have involved midwife teams providing prenatal care in a hospital setting and midwives providing prenatal and after-delivery services [18]. According to their study, operational cost savings were made when professionals gave services with lower wages than physicians with the same discretion. Such efficiencies were not apparent when experts worked in separate organizations.

### **Global Approach**

In the United Kingdom, MCH associations emphasize continuity in rendering care to females before pregnancy. This strategy is comparable to standard midwifery care or other models, such as community-based or hospital-based physicians and midwives [19]. According to the reviewed research, women prefer a midwife they encounter during labor and delivery, although it is not mandatory to be the same midwife. In such an environment, a decision emerges: mothers and midwives prefer a small group who follow shared care, defined by humanitarian and continuous delivery of care during pregnancy, delivery, and the postnatal period [20].

In terms of clinical effectiveness, midwifery practice is associated with an increased perinatal mortality rate, mainly when conducted in seclusion. The compiled meta-analyses indicate a higher, but not statistically significant,

neonatal mortality rate in the women watched by midwives; the higher death rates often occur due to low maternity risk at the onset of conception. Studies conducted in developed nations, in which a clinical cohort comprised of midwives and obstetricians worked together in a community maternity clinic, demonstrate that MCH team practices involving HC teams with obstetricians effectively protect the mother's health and promising in terms of the cost of service provision.

Waldenstrom et al. focus on the attribute of women who utilize one of Sweden's occasional birthing residences, precisely one in Stockholm, in a study with different objectives than those of the preceding studies [21]. A picture develops elderly women, better educated, more critical of medical procedures, and less worried about pregnancy and parenting than those managed by the traditional system. This profile mirrors women in other countries that utilize birthing cottages. In planning for future perinatal services, the authors argue that political governors should consider the growing number of women who meet this profile globally [22].

### **Management of pregnancies at risk**

This series of investigations focus on two broad client types: women with poor socioeconomic positions, who are frequently members of a visible minority group, and adolescents, the majority of whom were also in severe economic conditions. Also present are women judged to be at "medical risk," such as those who had previously given birth to underweight or HIV-positive children. These physical issues are frequently coupled with socioeconomic issues. Lastly, some studies analyze risk through child-related complications, such as prematurity and delayed intrauterine growth. Again, with the majority of risk factors being socioeconomic, we discovered problematic features, the difficulties in this research echoing those described in studies solely focusing on mothers or future mothers.

In general, the customers researched in the papers in this part live in poor situations and suffer an elevated risk of developing health problems. In the field of perinatal, as elsewhere, poverty and health issues are so linked that it is sometimes difficult, if not impossible, to separate the physiological and socioeconomic risk factors. Poor women are "at risk" due to their poverty and, in some situations, because they have already given birth to underweight kids, have other health problems, engage in "risky" habits (smoking, poor diet), or were not sufficiently monitored during pregnancy. Thus, a reduction in socioeconomic inequalities appears to be the most apparent remedy; yet, this is not only difficult to achieve but extends much beyond the scope of health care. Other, less ambitious goals may also be pursued, such as eliminating obstacles preventing access to care and services for certain clientele [23].

Overall, the predominant consensus emerging from these articles emphasizes the significance of fast, frequent, and appropriate prenatal care in reducing perinatal health complications. Evidence provides valuable data that good prenatal and postnatal care could save a substantial proportion of maternal deaths in the United States [24]. To lower their health risks, the greatest difficulty uncovered by these studies is how to target this unique clientele and provide services tailored to

their specific circumstances. Given that these clienteles encounter considerable linguistic and cultural barriers in acquiring access to care and various aid or health promotion initiatives, the issue is formidable. Adolescents also represent a distinct "culture" that must be considered if they are to get interventions that will improve their health and that of their children. A creative solution is required to overcome these challenges and provide all women with access to perinatal services tailored to their requirements [25].

### **Continuity of Care**

The assessment has enabled us to identify three beneficial ways for customizing and ensuring customer services are available. The favorable impacts of the first technique, guaranteeing continuity of care, are highlighted in the vast majority of the relevant literature. In general, continuity refers to prenatal management performed by the same person or a small team of individuals with whom the expectant woman can develop a trusting bond. Quantitative and qualitative assessments reveal conclusively that continuity of treatment is highly valued by the women comprising this distinct clientele. Personalized, ongoing management fosters a sense of trust, which is frequently necessary for women to disclose specific concerns, such as violence. Women are more likely to attend prenatal and postnatal visits if they know the expert they will meet.

### **Multidisciplinary Approach**

The second method derived from these studies stresses collaboration across disciplines. The evaluated publications suggest that the interdisciplinary approach's present popularity is warranted, both in research and treatment. To give management tailored to the specific clientele identified in the studies, and particularly to women exhibiting specific "health risks," it was advantageous to utilize the expertise of a variety of providers. For specific troubles, in case related to HIV, it appears that the unique approach is already prevalent in Europe. The publications regarding adolescent mothers likewise emphasize the allure of interdisciplinarity for this audience. Interdisciplinarity is usually seen as a valuable and effective method by professionals, pregnant women, and their families. The challenge is to create a way for interprofessional providers to collaborate. Presently, the primary technique mentioned in the examined publications entails bringing providers within the same institution and organizing formal and informal conversations regarding the monitored women. The linkage of multiple services by a care coordinator who connects the pregnant lady with various providers, not only medical but occasionally social, is another strategy being investigated. Even in terms of satisfaction, this intervention was less consistent and more challenging to assess.

### **Clientele-adaptive services**

The third method that emerges from the information as having the capability to increase wellness and care approach is establishing clientele-adaptive schemes. Nonetheless, it is tedious to differentiate the many elements of these procedures and determine which has been effective: offering budgetary aid, mind support, or improving access to strategies. The studies also illustrate the limitations of a care

delivery system designed to achieve the goals of efficacy and fairness. Improving women's health in challenging circumstances (poor, ethnic minority, adolescent pregnancy, etc.) and minimizing socioeconomic disparities affecting health are goals that necessitate societal changes that extend beyond the care system. We believe implementing solutions will have a good, albeit limited, impact on health and reduce inequality.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, apart from the widely available choices in healthcare systems, the literature examined here focuses on the efficacy objective, primarily on the practical execution and implication strategy. The perinatal industry does not require an intertwined schematic approach to reach this purpose. Regarding most mothers who undergo pregnancy without difficulties and at the level of therapeutic practice, empathy and "medical" attention are the significant features. When multiple organizations provide services, a program organizer can improve the transmission speed and the concept of the information being communicated.

## References

1. Howard, L. M., & Khalifeh, H. (2020). Perinatal mental health: a review of progress and challenges. *World psychiatry : official journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)*, 19(3), 313–327. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20769>
2. Exploring the Incidence and the Effectiveness of Structured Teaching Programme on Minor Disorders of Pregnancy and its Management among Primigravida Mothers in a Selected Rural Areas in Dharmapuri Dt. *Asian J. Nur. Edu. and Research* 5(1): Jan.-March 2015; Page118-120. doi: 10.5958/2349-2996.2015.00025.7
3. Taylor C, Stewart R, Howard L. Relapse in the first three months postpartum in women with history of serious mental illness. *Schizophr Res* 2019;204:46-54.
4. <https://www.who.int/news/item/19-09-2022-launch-of-the-who-guide-for-integration-of-perinatal-mental-health>. Accessed on December 1, 2022.
5. Misra DP, Guyer B, Allston A. Integrated perinatal health framework. A multiple determinants model with a life span approach. *Am J Prev Med.* 2003;25(1):65-75. doi:10.1016/s0749-3797(03)00090-4
6. Pushpamala Ramaiah, Sahar Mohammed Aly, Afnan Abdulltif Albokhary. "Integrative Health Care Shift- Benefits and Challenges among Health Care Professionals" Published in *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd)*, ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-4 | Issue-2, February 2020, pp.425-428, URL: <https://www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd30044.pdf>
7. Ramaiah, P., Tayyib, N. A., Alsolami, F. J., Lindsay, G. M. and Asfour, H. I. (2020) "Health Professionals Dynamic Role Amid COVID-19: Nursing Perspectives", *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*, 32(22), pp. 93-100. doi: 10.9734/jpri/2020/v32i2230776.
8. Haggerty, J. L., Reid, R. J., Freeman, G. K., Starfield, B. H., Adair, C. E., & McKendry, R. (2003). Continuity of care: a multidisciplinary review. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, 327(7425), 1219–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7425.1219>

- 
9. Hillemeier, M. M., Domino, M. E., Wells, R., Goyal, R. K., Kum, H. C., Cilenti, D., & Basu, A. (2018). Does Maternity Care Coordination Influence Perinatal Health Care Utilization? Evidence from North Carolina. *Health services research*, 53(4), 2368–2383. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12742>
  10. Ramaiah P, Altalbawy FMA, Margiana R, et al. The association between prenatal exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and childhood intelligence: a systematic review of observational studies [published online ahead of print, 2023 Jan 16]. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2023;10.1007/s11356-023-25309-w. doi:10.1007/s11356-023-25309-w
  11. Ali, N., Elbarazi, I., Alabboud, S., Loney, T., & Ahmed, L. A. (2020). Antenatal Care Initiation Among Pregnant Women in the United Arab Emirates: The Mutaba'ah Study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00211>
  12. Manasyan, A., Chomba, E., McClure, E. M., Wright, L. L., Krzywanski, S., Carlo, W. A., & Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Global Network for Women's and Children's Health Research (2011). Cost-effectiveness of essential newborn care training in urban first-level facilities. *Pediatrics*, 127(5), e1176–e1181. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-2158>
  13. <https://www.brookings.edu/research/a-dozen-facts-about-the-economics-of-the-u-s-health-care-system/> Accessed on November 1, 2022.
  14. Lucia Floris, Olivier Irion, Jocelyne Bonnet, Maria-Pia Politis Mercier, Claire de Labrusse, *Comprehensive maternity support and shared care in Switzerland: Comparison of levels of satisfaction, Women and Birth*. 2017; 31(2): 1871-5192
  15. Esan, D. T., Sokan-Adeaga, A. A., & Rasaq, N. O. (2022). Assessment of satisfaction with delivery care among mothers in selected health care facilities in Ekiti state. *Journal of public health research*, 11(4), 22799036221127572. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22799036221127572>
  16. McInnes, R.J., Aitken-Arbuckle, A., Lake, S. et al. Implementing continuity of midwife carer – just a friendly face? A realist evaluation. *BMC Health Serv Res* 20, 304 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05159-9>
  17. Nkwanyana, N.M., Voce, A.S., Mnqayi, S.O. et al. A health system framework for perinatal care in South African district hospitals: a Delphi technique. *BMC Health Serv Res* 19, 402 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4200-4>
  18. Blackman, I., Hadjigeorgiou, E., & McNeill, L. (2020). Causal links to missed Australian midwifery care: What is the evidence?. *European journal of midwifery*, 4, 41. <https://doi.org/10.18332/ejm/127769>
  19. Behruzi, R., Klam, S., Dehertog, M., Jimenez, V., & Hatem, M. (2017). Understanding factors affecting collaboration between midwives and other health care professionals in a birth center and its affiliated Quebec hospital: a case study. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, 17(1), 200. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1381-x>
  20. Maillefer, F., de Labrusse, C., Cardia-Vonèche, L., Hohlfeld, P., & Stoll, B. (2015). Women and healthcare providers' perceptions of a midwife-led unit in a Swiss university hospital: a qualitative study. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, 15, 56. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-015-0477-4>
  21. Schumann B, Häggström Lundevaller E, Karlsson L (2019) Weather extremes and perinatal mortality – Seasonal and ethnic differences in northern Sweden,

- 
- 1800-1895. PLoS ONE 14(10): e0223538. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223538>
22. Downe, S., Finlayson, K., Tunçalp, Ö., & Gülmezoglu, A. M. (2019). Provision and uptake of routine antenatal services: a qualitative evidence synthesis. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 6(6), CD012392. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012392.pub2>
23. Yuan, B., Målqvist, M., Trygg, N. et al. What interventions are effective on reducing inequalities in maternal and child health in low- and middle-income settings? A systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 14, 634 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-634>
24. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-brief-report/2020/dec/maternal-mortality-united-states-primer>. Accessed on November 30, 2022.
25. Coast, E., Jones, E., Portela, A., & Lattof, S. R. (2014). Maternity care services and culture: a systematic global mapping of interventions. *PloS one*, 9(9), e108130. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108130>.