Comparative analysis of spinal stabilization techniques: A systematic review
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Abstract---Background: The purpose of this study was to compare different spinal stabilization techniques in terms of their effectiveness in providing stabilization and reducing pain. A systematic review was performed to identify relevant studies and analyze their findings. The studies were evaluated based on their methodological quality, sample size, and outcome measures. The results showed that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments was found to be more effective than pharmacological interventions in providing spinal stabilization and reducing pain. The evidence suggests that a combination of these treatments may be more beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention. Future research is needed to further explore the efficacy of different spinal stabilization techniques. Objective: The objective of this study was to compare and analyze the effectiveness of different spinal stabilization techniques in providing stabilization and reducing pain. Methodology: A systematic review was conducted department of orthopedic kth hospital Peshawar from Jan 2020 to Jan 2021 to identify relevant studies that evaluated the effectiveness of different spinal stabilization techniques in providing
stabilization and reducing pain. The studies were evaluated based on their methodological quality, sample size, and outcome measures. Result: The results of the systematic review showed that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments were found to be more effective than pharmacological interventions in providing spinal stabilization and reducing pain. The evidence suggests that a combination of these treatments may be more beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention. Conclusion: The results of this systematic review suggest that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments are effective in providing stabilization and reducing pain. A combination of these treatments may be more beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention. Further research is needed to further explore the efficacy of different spinal stabilization techniques.
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Introduction

Low back pain is a common condition that affects millions of people worldwide. It can affect all age groups, but is most common in those who are between ages 30 and 50. Low back pain is usually caused by a strain or sprain of the muscles and ligaments that support the spine, which can occur from overexertion, poor posture, or an injury1. While many cases of low back pain can be managed with rest, physical therapy, and over-the-counter medications, more severe cases may require surgical intervention or more intensive treatment such as spinal stabilization techniques2. Spinal stabilization techniques are treatments that are used to provide stabilization of the spine, improve posture, and reduce pain3. These techniques can include the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments. Spinal bracing is the use of an orthotic device to provide support and stabilization to the spine. Spinal manipulation is the use of manual therapy techniques such as massage, chiropractic, or osteopathic techniques to improve posture and reduce pain. Exercise-based treatments involve the use of specific exercises to improve strength, flexibility, and stability of the spine4. In some cases, pharmacological interventions may also be used to reduce pain. The purpose of this study was to compare and analyze the effectiveness of different spinal stabilization techniques in providing stabilization and reducing pain. A systematic review was conducted to identify relevant studies that evaluated the effectiveness of different spinal stabilization techniques in providing stabilization and reducing pain5. The studies were evaluated based on their methodological quality, sample size, and outcome measures. The results of the systematic review showed that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments were found to be more effective than pharmacological interventions in providing spinal stabilization and reducing pain6. The evidence suggests that a combination of these treatments may be more
beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention.

Overall, this study provides evidence that spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments are effective in providing stabilization and reducing pain. However, further research is needed to further explore the efficacy of different spinal stabilization techniques and to determine which combination of treatments is most effective for providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain.

Method

A systematic review was conducted department of orthopedic kth hospital Peshawar from jan 2020 to jan 2021 to identify relevant studies that evaluated the effectiveness of different spinal stabilization techniques in providing stabilization and reducing pain. The studies were evaluated based on their methodological quality, sample size, and outcome measures. The results of the systematic review showed that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments were found to be more effective than pharmacological interventions in providing spinal stabilization and reducing pain.

Data Collection

Data was collected from a systematic review of relevant studies that evaluated the effectiveness of different spinal stabilization techniques in providing stabilization and reducing pain. The studies were evaluated based on their methodological quality, sample size, and outcome measures.

Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed to assess the effectiveness of different spinal stabilization techniques in providing stabilization and reducing pain. The results of the systematic review showed that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments were found to be more effective than pharmacological interventions in providing spinal stabilization and reducing pain. The evidence suggests that a combination of these treatments may be more beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention.

Results

The results of the systematic review showed that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments were found to be more effective than pharmacological interventions in providing spinal stabilization and reducing pain. The evidence suggests that a combination of these treatments may be more beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention.
Table 1: Comparing Different Spinal Stabilization Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Effect on Stabilization</th>
<th>Effect on Pain Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinal Bracing</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal Manipulation</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise-Based Treatments</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacological Interventions</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Quality of Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study 1</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Pain Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Pain reduction and spinal stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 3</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Pain reduction and spinal stability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: demographic outcomes age wise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Effect on Stabilization</th>
<th>Effect on Pain Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Demographic Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Number of Studies</th>
<th>% of Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The results of this systematic review suggest that the use of spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments are effective in providing stabilization and reducing pain. A combination of these treatments may be more beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention. However, the evidence is still limited and further research is needed.
research is needed to further explore the efficacy of different spinal stabilization techniques and to determine which combination of treatments is most effective for providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain12,13.

**Conclusion**

This study provides evidence that spinal bracing, spinal manipulation, and exercise-based treatments are effective in providing stabilization and reducing pain. A combination of these treatments may be more beneficial in providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain compared to a single intervention. However, further research is needed to further explore the efficacy of different spinal stabilization techniques and to determine which combination of treatments is most effective for providing adequate stabilization and reducing pain.
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