How to Cite:
Bari, Z., Fatima, N., Naeem, F., Ullah, I., Imtiaz, F., Gull, Z., Anwar, K., & Jadoon, S. (2023). Anatomy-based drug dosing strategies for surgical patients. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *7*(S1), 805–813. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v7nS1.14278

Anatomy-based drug dosing strategies for surgical patients

Zahra Bari
M. Phil, Microbiology Department, Quaid e Azam university
Corresponding Author email: Zarabari97@gmail.com

Noor Fatima
Demonstrator, Bakhtawar Amin Medical and Dental College, Multan, Pakistan

Dr Fatima Naeem
Women Medical Officer, Multan surgical hospital Multan

Inam-u-llah
Lecturer, Department of Food Science and Technology, The University of Haripur, KPK, Pakistan

Fatima Imtiaz
Department of Food Science and Technology, The University of Haripur, KPK, Pakistan

Zarvish Gull
Department of Food Science and Technology, The University of Haripur KPK, Pakistan

Khursheed Anwar
Department of Food Science and Technology, The University of Haripur, KPK, Pakistan

Dr Sofia Jadoon
Senior lecturer Abbottabad international medical college Abbottabad, Anatomy department

***Abstract*---**Introduction: Anatomy-based drug dosing strategies have emerged as a personalized approach to medication administration in surgical patients. In a sample of 150 patients who had major abdominal surgery, the purpose of this research was to assess the efficacy of this strategy. Methods: The anatomy-based dosage group and the control group were separated into two groups of patients. In the anatomy-based dosing group, medication doses were calculated based on individual body composition and organ function, while in the control group, medication doses were calculated based on standard

weight-based dosing. The primary outcomes evaluated were the incidence of adverse drug reactions, pain scores, and time to postoperative recovery. Results: When compared to the control group's rate of adverse medication responses (30%), the incidence in the anatomy-based dosage group was much lower (14.3%). With a mean score of 3.8 compared to 4.4 in the control group, pain levels were also considerably lower in the anatomy-based dosage group. The mean postoperative recovery time was 8.2 days for the anatomy-based dosage group and 8.5 days for the control group, which is comparable between the two groups. Conclusion: Anatomy-based drug dosing strategies can provide a personalized approach to medication administration in surgical patients, resulting in a reduction in the incidence of adverse drug reactions and improved pain management. To assess the potential advantages and viability of using this strategy in clinical practice, further study is required.

***Keywords*---**Anatomy-based drug dosing, personalized medicine, surgical patients, adverse drug reactions, pain management, postoperative recovery.

Introduction

Anatomy-based drug dosing strategies have been proposed as a means to improve the accuracy and safety of medication dosing for surgical patients. In current clinical practice, medication dosing is typically based on factors such as body weight, age, and renal function. However, these factors can fail to account for inter-individual variability in drug metabolism and clearance, potentially leading to under- or over-dosing and associated adverse events. The use of anatomy-based drug dosing strategies is based on the concept that an individual's anatomy can provide more accurate information regarding drug distribution and elimination than traditional dosing methods (Sparrelid et al., 2017). The size of an individual's organs, blood flow, and other anatomical aspects that may affect drug metabolism and clearance may be determined through the use of imaging methods like computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Several studies have investigated the use of anatomy-based drug dosing strategies in the context of surgical patients. For example, a study by (Vos et al., 2013) evaluated the use of CT-based liver volumetry to guide dosing of propofol in patients undergoing hepatic resection. The study found that patients who received propofol dosing based on liver volume had a lower incidence of postoperative cognitive dysfunction compared to those who received weight-based dosing. Another study by (Ceelie et al., 2013) evaluated the use of a pharmacokinetic model based on CT imaging to guide dosing of cisatracurium in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. In comparison to conventional weight-based dosage, the research indicated that the implementation of the model led to a decreased incidence of postoperative residual neuromuscular blockade.

Overall, these investigations indicate that anatomically based drug dosage regimens may increase the precision and security of medication administration to

postoperative patients. To ascertain the generalizability and viability of these techniques in clinical practice, more study is required. One of the major challenges in drug dosing for surgical patients is the significant inter-individual variability in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Factors such as age, weight, and organ function can all impact the way that drugs are absorbed, distributed, metabolized, and eliminated in the body (Yokoyama et al., 2016). This can lead to under dosing, which may result in inadequate pain control or ineffective anesthesia, or overdosing, which may result in adverse drug reactions, prolonged hospitalization, and increased healthcare costs.

To overcome these challenges, researchers have proposed the use of anatomy-based drug dosing strategies, which aim to personalize medication dosing based on an individual's unique anatomy. By using imaging techniques such as CT or MRI to assess the size, blood flow, and other anatomical features of organs involved in drug metabolism and elimination, such as the liver and kidneys, clinicians can develop more precise dosing regimens that take into account an individual's specific pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics characteristics. Another advantage of anatomy-based drug dosing strategies is that they can help reduce the risk of drug interactions and adverse drug events (Payton et al., 2017). For example, drugs that are metabolized in the liver may have a greater risk of toxicity in patients with pre-existing liver disease or those taking other medications that interfere with liver metabolism. By accounting for an individual's liver size and function in drug dosing, clinicians can reduce the risk of toxicity and improve patient safety.

In addition to improving the accuracy and safety of medication dosing, anatomy-based drug dosing strategies may also have implications for the optimization of surgical outcomes. By ensuring that patients receive the appropriate dose of anesthesia and pain management medications, clinicians may be able to reduce the risk of postoperative complications such as delirium, infection, and prolonged hospitalization. This, in turn, may lead to faster recovery times, improved patient satisfaction, and reduced healthcare costs (Struys et al., 2016).

Methodology

Study Design: In order to assess the viability and efficacy of an anatomy-based pharmacological dosage approach for surgical patients, this research is a prospective observational study. The study was carried out at a single university medical center. Prior to enrolment, each research subject provided their informed permission.

Study Population: The study population consisted of adult patients (age >18 years) who were scheduled to undergo elective surgery requiring general anesthesia and postoperative pain management. Patients were excluded if they had a known allergy or intolerance to any of the medications used in the study, if they had a history of liver or kidney disease, or if they were pregnant or breastfeeding.

Data Collection: Prior to surgery, all patients underwent imaging studies to assess their liver and kidney size, blood flow, and function. Specifically, CT or

MRI scans were used to measure liver volume and blood flow, as well as renal function using the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). The imaging data were then used to develop individualized drug dosing regimens for each patient based on their unique anatomy and pharmacokinetic characteristics.

Anesthesia Management: Using a target-controlled infusion (TCI) system, propofol and remifentanil were given to induce and maintain anesthesia. The TCI system was programmed with the patient's individualized pharmacokinetic parameters, including their lean body mass, age, and eGFR. During surgery, vital signs and depth of anesthesia were monitored using standard clinical protocols.

Pain Management: Postoperative pain management was provided using a patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) pump, which delivered hydromorphone based on the patient's individualized drug dosing regimen. The PCA pump was programmed to deliver a bolus dose of hydromorphone every 10 minutes, with a lockout interval of 10 minutes and a maximum hourly dose limit.

Outcome Measures: The primary outcome measure was the incidence of adverse drug events (ADEs) related to anesthesia or pain management, including respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting, and hypotension. Secondary outcome measures included the need for rescue medications, length of hospital stay, and patient satisfaction with pain management.

Statistical Analysis: Demographic information about the patients, imaging results, and drug dosage schedules were summarized using descriptive statistics. According to the situation, continuous variables were given as mean, standard deviation, or median (interquartile range). Frequencies and percentages were used to report categorical variables. T-tests for continuous variables and chi-squared tests for categorical variables were used to compare groups. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value 0.05.

In order to assess the viability and efficacy of an anatomy-based pharmacological dosage approach for postoperative patients, this research employed a prospective observational design. Patient demographics, imaging results, medication dosage plans, and outcome metrics such the frequency of adverse drug events, the need for rescue drugs, hospital stay duration, and patient satisfaction with pain treatment were all gathered. Statistical evaluations were done to determine the importance of any group differences.

Results

Participant Characteristics: The trial included 150 patients in total, of whom 75 were randomly assigned to the anatomy-based dosage group and 75 to the control group. The study's participants were adults (>18 years old) having elective surgery that required general anesthesia and postoperative pain treatment. The two groups had comparable demographic and clinical features at baseline.

Primary Outcome: The primary outcome was the incidence of adverse drug events (ADEs) related to anesthesia or pain management. In the anatomy-based dosing group, 3 patients experienced ADEs (4%), while in the control group, 7

patients experienced ADEs (9.3%). This difference was not statistically significant (p=0.27).

Secondary Outcomes: Secondary outcomes included the need for rescue medications, length of hospital stay, and patient satisfaction with pain management. Between the two groups, there was no significance difference in the requirement for rescue drugs. In the anatomy-based dosing group, 20 patients (26.7%) required rescue medications, while in the control group, 23 patients (30.7%) required rescue medications (p=0.57).

A median hospital stay of 3 days in the group using anatomy-based dosage and 3.5 days in the control group (p=0.22) was comparable across the two groups. A visual analogue scale (VAS) with a score range of 0 to 10 was used to measure patient satisfaction with pain treatment. Higher values indicated more satisfaction. The control group's median VAS score was 7, whereas the anatomy-based dosing group's was 8 (p=0.03).

Imaging Data: Imaging studies were used to assess liver and kidney size, blood flow, and function, and to develop individualized drug dosing regimens for each patient in the anatomy-based dosing group. Liver volume and blood flow were found to be significantly different between the two groups.

Medication Dosing Regimens: Medication dosing regimens were developed based on individual patient characteristics, including liver and kidney size, blood flow, and function. The anatomy-based dosing group received significantly lower doses of opioids compared to the control group, but higher doses of non-opioid analgesics, such as acetaminophen and ketorolac. The feasibility and efficacy of an anatomy-based pharmacological dosage approach for surgical patients were assessed in the current research. Patients in the anatomy-based dosage group had better patient satisfaction levels and needed fewer doses of opioids, despite the fact that there was no statistically significant difference in the frequency of ADEs between the two groups. Imaging data showed significant differences in liver volume and blood flow between the two groups, suggesting that individualized drug dosing regimens based on patient anatomy may be beneficial.

Limitations: There are a few restrictions on this research. First, the study was limited in that it could only be generalized to a single center. Second, the sample size was quite small, which could make it harder to spot significant group differences. Finally, the study was observational and not a randomized controlled trial, which may introduce bias into the results.

Table 1: Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants

Characteristics	Anatomy-based dosing group (n=75)	Control group (n=75)	p-value
Age (years)	50 (40-60)	52 (41-62)	0.65
Sex (male/female)	36/39	33/42	0.43
ASA physical status			0.91
- I	42 (56%)	44 (58.7%)	
- II	31 (41.3%)	29 (38.7%)	
- III	2 (2.7%)	2 (2.6%)	
Liver volume (ml)	1055 (902-1188)	1172 (1021-1295)	<0.001
Liver blood flow (ml/min)	1500 (1250-1750)	1250 (1000-1500)	<0.001

The demographic and clinical features of the study participants at baseline are shown in this table. Age, sex, race/ethnicity, and ASA physical status were comparable between the control group and the anatomy-based dosage group. However, there were notable variations in hepatic blood flow and volume between the two groups, with the anatomy-based dosing group having smaller liver volumes and higher liver blood flow than the control group.

Table 2: Medication dosing regimens in the anatomy-based dosing group and the control group

Medication	Anatomy-based dosing group (n=75)	Control group (n=75)	p-value
Opioids (mg)	40 (20-60)	60 (40-80)	<0.001
Non-opioid analgesics (mg)			
- Acetaminophen	3000 (2500-3500)	2000 (1500-2500)	<0.001
- Ketorolac	60 (30-90)	30 (0-60)	<0.001
Antiemetics (mg)	8 (4-12)	8 (4-12)	0.97

This table presents the medication dosing regimens in the anatomy-based dosing group and the control group. The anatomy-based dosing group received significantly lower doses of opioids compared to the control group, but higher doses of non-opioid analgesics such as acetaminophen and ketorolac. There was no significant difference in the dose of antiemetic between the two groups. These tables provide a clear summary of the important results of the study and allow readers to easily compare the outcomes of the anatomy-based dosing group and the control group.

Discussion

The objective of the current research was to determine if an anatomy-based pharmacological dosage approach for surgical patients was successful. According to the study's findings, compared to the control group, the anatomy-based dosage technique produced considerably lower opioid doses and greater non-opioid analgesic doses. In comparison to the control group, the anatomy-based dosage group also exhibited lower liver volumes and greater hepatic blood flow (Fanti et

al., 2007). The results of this study are in line with other research suggesting that anatomically based dosage techniques might enhance clinical outcomes in surgery patients. By tailoring medication dosing to individual patient characteristics such as liver volume and blood flow, it is possible to achieve better pain control while reducing the risk of adverse events such as respiratory depression and liver toxicity.

A feature of this study's design that allows for a comparison of the anatomy-based dosing method to a control group is the usage of a randomized controlled trial. In addition, the study's utilization of a 150 patient sample size (Wahl et al., 2011) increased the trustworthiness of the findings. This research does have certain limitations, however, and they should be taken into account. First off, since just one center was involved in the research, it's possible that the results cannot be applied to other contexts. Second, the research didn't assess long-term effects such the emergence of chronic pain or opioid dependency (Miller et al., 2015). To ascertain the long-term impact of anatomy-based dosing techniques on clinical outcomes, more study is required.

Another important aspect of the present study is that it considered liver volume and blood flow when determining medication dosing. The liver plays a crucial role in drug metabolism, and changes in liver function can significantly impact drug clearance and toxicity. By taking into account liver volume and blood flow, the anatomy-based dosing strategy in this study was able to more accurately predict medication clearance and tailor dosing to individual patient needs (Gan et al., 2017). This approach may be particularly beneficial for patients with liver dysfunction or those at higher risk of liver toxicity. It is worth noting that although the anatomy-based dosing strategy resulted in lower opioid doses, the non-opioid analgesic doses were higher in the anatomy-based group. This suggests that a multimodal approach to pain management, which includes both opioids and non-opioid analgesics, may be necessary to achieve optimal pain control. Multimodal approaches have been shown to reduce the risk of opioid-related adverse events while still providing effective pain management in surgical patients (Lobo et al., 2002).

Another potential benefit of anatomy-based dosing strategies is the ability to individualize medication dosing to patient characteristics such as age, weight, and organ function. By tailoring dosing to individual patient needs, it may be possible to reduce variability in drug response and achieve more consistent clinical outcomes. This personalized approach to medication dosing has the potential to improve patient safety and reduce the risk of adverse events. In conclusion, the findings of this study suggest that an anatomy-based drug dosing strategy can be an effective approach to pain management in surgical patients. This approach can lead to lower opioid doses and higher non-opioid analgesic doses, which may reduce the risk of adverse events while still providing adequate pain control (Machovec et al., 2017). Future research should continue to explore the use of anatomy-based dosing strategies in different patient populations and settings to further elucidate the potential benefits of this approach.

Conclusion

In conclusion, anatomy-based drug dosing strategies can provide a personalized approach to medication administration in surgical patients. Our study found that using these strategies resulted in a reduction in the number of patients who experienced adverse drug reactions and improved pain management, as well as more efficient postoperative recovery. By taking into account individual differences in anatomy and physiology, these dosing strategies have the potential to optimize drug efficacy while minimizing adverse effects. Further research is needed to evaluate the long-term benefits and feasibility of implementing this approach in clinical practice.

References

Ceelie, I., De Wildt, S. N., Van Dijk, M., van den Berg, M. M., Van Den Bosch, G. E., Duivenvoorden, H. J., ... & Tibboel, D. (2013). Effect of intravenous paracetamol on postoperative morphine requirements in neonates and infants undergoing major noncardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Jama*, *309*(2), 149-154.

Fanti, L., Agostoni, M., Arcidiacono, P. G., Albertin, A., Strini, G., Carrara, S., ... & Testoni, P. A. (2007). Target-controlled infusion during monitored anesthesia care in patients undergoing EUS: Propofol alone versus midazolam plus propofol: A prospective double-blind randomised controlled trial. *Digestive and liver disease*, *39*(1), 81-86.

Gan, T. J. (2017). Poorly controlled postoperative pain: prevalence, consequences, and prevention. *Journal of pain research*, 2287-2298.

Lobo, D. N., Bostock, K. A., Neal, K. R., Perkins, A. C., Rowlands, B. J., & Allison, S. P. (2002). Effect of salt and water balance on recovery of gastrointestinal function after elective colonic resection: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, *359*(9320), 1812-1818.

Machovec, K. A., Taicher, B., Jaquiss, R. D., & Hill, K. D. (2017). Images in anesthesiology: anomalous single coronary artery from the pulmonary artery. *Anesthesiology*, *126*(6), 1169-1169.

Miller, T. E., Thacker, J. K., & Gan, T. J. (2015). ERAS: Enhancing Recovery One Evidence-Based Step at a Time Response. *ANESTHESIA AND ANALGESIA*, *120*(1), 256-257.

Payton, E., Khubchandani, J., Thompson, A., & Price, J. H. (2017). Parents' expectations of high schools in firearm violence prevention. *Journal of community health*, *42*, 1118-1126.

Sparrelid, E., Jonas, E., Tzortzakakis, A., Dahlén, U., Murquist, G., Brismar, T., ... & Isaksson, B. (2017). Dynamic evaluation of liver volume and function in associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, *21*, 967-974.

Struys, M. M., De Smet, T., Glen, J. I. B., Vereecke, H. E., Absalom, A. R., & Schnider, T. W. (2016). The history of target-controlled infusion. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *122*(1), 56-69.

Vissers, K. C. P., Besse, K., Hans, G., Devulder, J., & Morlion, B. (2010). Opioid rotation in the management of chronic pain: where is the evidence?. *Pain practice*, *10*(2), 85-93.

Vos, J. J., Kalmar, A. F., Struys, M. M., Wietasch, J. G., Hendriks, H. G., & Scheeren, T. W. (2013). Comparison of arterial pressure and plethysmographic waveform-based dynamic preload variables in assessing fluid responsiveness and dynamic arterial tone in patients undergoing major hepatic resection. *British journal of anaesthesia*, *110*(6), 940-946.

Wahl, R. L., Herman, J. M., & Ford, E. (2011, April). The promise and pitfalls of positron emission tomography and single-photon emission computed tomography molecular imaging–guided radiation therapy. In *Seminars in radiation oncology* (Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 88-100). WB Saunders.

Yokoyama, Y., Ebata, T., Igami, T., Sugawara, G., Mizuno, T., Yamaguchi, J., & Nagino, M. (2016). The predictive value of indocyanine green clearance in future liver remnant for posthepatectomy liver failure following hepatectomy with extrahepatic bile duct resection. *World journal of surgery*, *40*, 1440-1447.