How to Cite:

Al terkawi, M. M. (2023). Role of MR enterography versus ileo-olonoscopy in the assessment of inflammatory bowel diseases. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 7(S1), 2416–2421. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v7nS1.14523

Role of MR enterography versus ileo-colonoscopy in the assessment of inflammatory bowel diseases

Madian Mohamed Al terkawi

Radiologist, Work in Saudi German hospital Dubai Corresponding author email: madianterkawi@hotmail.com

> Abstract---Background: Chronic idiopathic inflammation of GI tract is the hallmark of inflammatory bowel diseases. Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis have been the two conditions that IBD studied cases most frequently experience. Aim: To diagnose IBD characteristics, specifically Crohn's disease & ulcerative colitis, in addition to identifying disease aggravation (Activity), present research compared MRE results with those from ileo-colonoscopy. Summary: For identification of inflammatory bowel disease, MRE has been a sensitive, reasonably priced, noninvasive, & radiation-free method. Unlike ileo-colonoscopy, it might evaluate entire small intestine, measure afflicted loop exactly, & look for activity indications like lymphadenopathy & mural thickening. Nevertheless, ileocolonoscopy had been more effective at spotting mucosal erosions & superficial ulcers, & it helps evaluate large intestine & terminal ileum in IBD-studied cases. MRE must be incorporated into IBD treatment regimens for small-bowel, colonic, & extra-enteric assessment, disease activity monitoring, & anti-inflammatory therapy response.

Keywords---MR Enterography, ileo-colonoscopy, inflammatory bowel diseases.

Introduction

In emerging nations, incidence of inflammatory bowel disease is both widespread & increasing quickly. With a prevalence of more than 0.3%, it has been still a significant problem in Western civilization. Before itwas discovered that various people with IBD continue to have disease activity even in lack of clinical symptoms, the main goal of treatment was symptom control. Determining clinical remission, steroid-free remission, & mucosal healing are thus the current therapy objectives, and transmural healing in cross-sectional imaging techniques may someday be added as a supplement. (1)

Staging of intramural lesions & extramural effects in CD, as well as the severity & activity of the illness, can now be accomplished with the help of computed

International Journal of Health Sciences ISSN 2550-6978 E-ISSN 2550-696X © 2023.

Manuscript submitted: 09 April 2023, Manuscript revised: 18 June 2023, Accepted for publication: 27 July 2023 2416

tomography enterography, small-bowel ultrasound, & magnetic resonance enterography. inclusion of (MRE) for periodic reevaluation of IBD studied cases has recently been recommended by literature because it provides small-bowel, colonic, & extra-enteric evaluation & tracks the effectiveness of anti-inflammatory therapy. To diagnose IBD symptoms, specifically Crohn's disease & ulcerative colitis, in addition to identifying illness aggravation, the present research compared MRE results with those from ileo-colonoscopies (2)

The necessity to examine sections of the small bowel that optical endoscopy could not reach gave rise to the use of imaging in studied cases with CD. The small bowel has previously been assessed using barium fluoroscopic techniques like enteroclysis & small bowel series to show the distinctive characteristics of CD. However, the ability of these modalities to assess extraluminal and extraintestinal disease presentations as well as critically unwell individuals is constrained. During use of a high volume of neutral oral contrast & image capture during enteric phase of intravenous contrast enhancement, CT enterography has been specialized crosssectional imaging technique designed to analyze small bowels. (3)

CTE has developed into standard imaging tool for CD assessment due to its wide availability in emergency rooms, quick image capture, & capacity to assess mural, extraluminal, & extraintestinal CD symptoms. The hazards of ionizing radiation from CT scans, however, have come under scrutiny recently, especially for the CD population, who will likely need several imaging tests throughout their illness. According to a meta-analysis, up to ten percent dCD-studied cases may have received less than fifteen millisieverts of ionizing radiation through imaging tests, largely from CT scans. Above this level, nonzero radiation risk is hypothesized. (4)

In several institutes, MR enterography has largely supplanted CTE as main crosssectional imaging approach for both adult & paediatric CD-studied cases. MRE was developed as alternative imaging method to CTE for small bowel imaging. The intestine can be scanned with MRE at various time intervals without use of ionizing radiation, allowing for acquisition of cinematic images to assess peristalsis & dynamic contrast-enhanced images to define mural improvement. MRE can offer anatomical & functional information thanks to these methods. MRE may be used to characterize the tissue composition of the intestinal wall & offers higher soft tissue contrast resolution compared toCT. Cross-sectional imaging techniques like CTE & MRE may assist clinicians in evaluating areas of small bowel that are inaccessible by standard ileocolonoscopy, ruling out problems like strictures & abscesses that require immediate intervention, & gauging disease activity because at least seventy percent of CD studied cases have small bowel involvement. (1)





Figure 1: characteristics of active Crohn's disease on MRenterography. (a) Wall thickening is shown on the coronalT2-weighted picture (arrow), and intestinalT1weighted fat-suppressed postcontrast images show (b) & delayed (c) phases show early mucosal development ((b), progressive transmural (arrowhead) ((c), coronal balanced steady-state free precession picture; arrow) improvement (d) demonstrates hypervascularity of mesentery (arrowhead). (5)

Inflammatory bowel disease, which involves Crohn'sdisease, has been becoming more common in the US; since 1991, the prevalence of Crohn'sdisease is thought to have increased by 31%. Inaccurate diagnosis or assessment of Crohn'sdisease studied cases raises risk of morbidity & mortality due to either prolonged immunosuppression from medicinal treatment or gastrointestinal issues resulting directly from the disease process. This heightened risk necessitates the development of precise and trustworthy technologies for accurately estimating the scope and severity of disease activity. (6)

In imaging of Crohn's disease studied cases, cross-sectional imaging methods are becoming more important. In the past, reference standard for current studies measuring performance features of cross-sectional enterography included ileoscopy & biopsy of the terminal ileum. a current prospective, blinded, 4-way head-to-head trial comparing wireless capsule endoscopy, ileocolonoscopy, CTenterography, & smallbowel follow-through found no variation among sensitivity of CT enterography & wireless capsule endoscopy for a finding of active inflammatory small-bowelCrohn's disease (p = 0.63). However, wireless capsule endoscopy exhibited a lower specificity than CT enterography (eighty-nine percent vs fifty-three percent, respectively; p = 0.02). Additionally, it is demonstrated that CT enterography is more sensitive than barium small-bowel follow-through. For diagnosis & staging of Crohn'sdisease, CTenterography in conjunction with ileocolonoscopy has taken over at some institutions. (7)

Barium small-bowel follow-through & MRenterography are two radiology imaging alternatives to CT enterography. role of CT enterography in evaluating younger studied cases has been being discussed more & more due to public & professional concerns about radiation-induced cancer resulting from medically related CT, especially in context of chronic & relapsing diseases like Crohn's that may result in multiple CT examinations in young, studied cases. There has been an essential small-bowel imaging method without ionizing radiation that has the same benefits as CT enterography, such as the capacity to visualize entire small bowel, identify transmural inflammation, categorize inflammatory severity, & identify extracolonic inflammation. It is demonstrated in several investigations that MR enterography has great sensitivity for identifying active Crohn'sdisease & does not require ionizing radiation. (8)

Consequently, MRenterography may eventually take the position of CTenterography for imaging of Crohn's disease. But reference & selection bias may greatly skew findings, making comparisons among MRenterography & CTenterography research challenging. Only one of the few studies comparing state-of-art CT enterography methods with MR enterography to identify active Crohn's disease in the same studied cases produced inconsistent findings. MRenterography outperformed CTenterography in its ability to depict mural thickness and hyperenhancement. In contrast, CT enterography outperformed MR enterography in terms of interobserver agreement & sensitivity for gut wall thickening & improvement. (9)

Both MR enterography & CT enterography had comparable interobserver agreement scores for mural hyperenhancement, however, MR enterography had somewhat worse interclass correlation coefficients for measuring wall thickness. Furthermore, we found no variation in identification of complications (fistula, phlegmon, abscess) among MR enterography & CT enterography. While mean image quality ratings for MR enterography & CTenterography had both been good, MR enterography image quality scores had been noticeably lower. According to our observations, idiosyncratic factors such as mobility, artefacts, & signal inhomogeneity can occasionally make MR enterography unreliable. Correlated ileoscopy, wireless capsule endoscopy, or CT enterography can be beneficial in certain situations (10)

Early CT enterography development & improvement feasibility studies had to employ clinically acceptable radiologic examinations as reference standards. After U.S. Food & Drug Administration accepted the use of wireless capsule endoscopy in 2001 for small bowel mucosal evaluation, it became apparent that radiologic examinations understate mucosal disease even when meticulous methods have been used. As a result, the mucosal evaluation had been incorporated into reference standards for CT enterography & MR enterography. (11)

Even though there is much-published research on CT enterography, only a few of them have used ileocolonoscopy findings (with or without mucosal biopsy) as the clinical gold standard. The same is true for research measuring how well MR enterography detects Crohn's disease studied cases. incremental value of imaging lies in its capacity to visualize tissues that are hidden during the mucosal examination, such as small intestinal walls & perienteric tissues. Our research makes unique addition in that it illustrates incremental value & how mural & perienteric cross-sectional imaging evaluation supports ileocolonoscopy's optical mucosal evaluation. (12)

Endoscopically occult inflammation may be caused by penetrating disease, inflammation close to the endoscope's scope (skipping "terminal" terminal ileum), or normal mucosa with underlying mural inflammation, as determined by mucosal biopsy or imaging. Sampling errors may happen during biopsy procedures, such as when a normal section of ileal wall is sampled from ileum that has patchy inflammation (skip lesions). Considering this, we can see how studies that had to employ ileoscopy together with or without mucosal biopsies to evaluate effectiveness of cross-sectional enterography can have underestimated sensitivity & specificity. Furthermore, selection bias from studies that excluded instances with unsuccessful intubations likely made this underestimation worse. (6)

research, cross-sectional imaging & endoscopy According to recent are complementary, which makes use of thorough clinical gold standard even more acceptable. Additionally, the opposite is true. Inflammation of the small intestine that had been invisible on cross-sectional imaging had been identified by our team & others using ileoscopy or wireless capsule endoscopy. It must be noted that while ileocolonoscopy detected studied cases with inflammation that cross-sectional enterography missed, our research demonstrates the complimentary nature of mucosal inspection by ileocolonoscopy with CT enterography & MR enterography. Additionally, a random biopsy is added to endoscopic colonoscopy, & enterography has not been designed to show colonic inflammation. (12)

While MR enterography may occasionally take role of CT enterography in clinical use, each technology has certain benefits & drawbacks. lack of ionizing radiation & possibility to examine studied cases with poor renal function are two benefits of MR enterography. studied cases with poor glomerular filtration rate who have been at risk for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis or those who have been pregnant may have an exam without IV contrast if it is necessary. examination & interpretation times, which have been longer for MR enterography than for CT enterography, have been among its drawbacks. (2)

In contrast to MR enterography, which is scheduled every forty-five minutes, CT enterography exams have been every fifteen minutes. To speed up the scanning process for MR enterography, some of the sequences might be skipped; nevertheless, further research is required to determine how accurate these shorter exams would be. MR enterography is roughly twice as expensive as CT enterography. Lastly, due to associated artefacts, CT enterography can be chosen for uncooperative individuals or studied cases who have trouble holding their breath. (8) **References**

1. Biondi, M, Bicci, E, Danti, G, Flammia, F, Chiti, G, Palumbo, P, et al. (2022). The role of magnetic resonance enterography in Crohn's disease: a review of recent literature. *Diagnostics*, *12*(5), 1236.

- 2. Momtazmanesh, S, Gholami, M, Pak, N, Sima, A. R, Montazeri, S. A, Kolahdoozan, S, et al. (2022). Clinical significance of the biliary findings in mr enterography of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Iranian Congress of Radiology*, 37(2), 35.
- 3. Wang, Y, Zhang, R, Mao, R, Li, X. (2022). Inflammatory bowel disease cross-sectional imaging: What's new? United European Gastroenterology Journal, 10(10), 1179–1193.
- 4. Sieczkowska-Golub, J, Marcinska, B, Dadalski, M, Jarzebicka, D, Jurkiewicz, E, Kierkus, J. (2021). Usefulness of Colon Assessment by Magnetic Resonance Enterography in Pediatric Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease—Retrospective Case Series. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *10*(19), 4336.
- 5. Kamel, S, Sakr, M, Hamed, W, Eltabbakh, M, Askar, S, Bassuny, A, et al. (2020). Comparative study between bowel ultrasound and magnetic resonance enterography among Egyptian inflammatory bowel disease patients. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, *26*(38), 5884.
- 6. Bellini, D, Rivosecchi, F, Panvini, N, Rengo, M, Caruso, D, Carbone, I, et al. (2019). Layered enhancement at magnetic resonance enterography in inflammatory bowel disease: A meta-analysis. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 25(31), 4555.
- 7. Cicero, G, Ascenti, G, Blandino, A, Trimarchi, R, Booz, C, Vogl, T. J, et al. (2021). Elective surgery outcomes in inflammatory bowel disease: interpretation at magnetic resonance enterography. *Japanese Journal of Radiology*, *39*, 633–641.
- 8. Hijaz, N. M, Attard, T. M, Colombo, J. M, Mardis, N. J, Friesen, C. A. (2019). Comparison of the use of wireless capsule endoscopy with magnetic resonance enterography in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 25(28), 3808.
- 9. Kim, S. J, Ratchford, T. L, Buchanan, P. M, Patel, D. R, Tao, T. Y, Teckman, J. H, et al. (2019). Diagnostic accuracy of non-contrast magnetic resonance enterography in detecting active bowel inflammation in pediatric patients with diagnosed or suspected inflammatory bowel disease to determine necessity of gadolinium-based contrast agents. *Pediatric Radiology*, *49*, 759–769.
- 10. Arif-Tiwari, H, Taylor, P, Kalb, B. T, Martin, D. R. (2019). Magnetic resonance enterography in inflammatory bowel disease. *Appl Radiol*, 48(1), 9–15.
- 11. Calavrezos, L, Bannas, P, Warncke, M, Wiegard, C, Huber, S, Manthey, C. (2022). Transabdominal ultrasound and magnetic resonance enterography in inflammatory bowel disease: results of an observational retrospective single-center study. *Ultrasound International Open*, 8(01), E22–E28.
- 12. Ali, R. M. M, El Salam, A. F. A, Anwar, I, Shehab, H, Awadallah, M. Y. (2023). Role of MR enterography versus ileo-colonoscopy in the assessment of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*, 54(1), 1–16.