How to Cite:
Alanazi, M. M. F., Almutairi, Sarah F. M., Alarjani, Norah O., Alghaylan, Maisa Y. A., Aljawhari, Majed S. M., & Alkhulaifi, Abdulrahman A. S. (2021). Advancements in AI-driven diagnostic radiology: Enhancing accuracy and efficiency. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *8*(S1), 1402–1414.
https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v8nS1.14928

Advancements in AI-driven diagnostic radiology: Enhancing accuracy and efficiency

Maram Mohammed Fawaz Alanazi
Radiological Technology, MRI Technologist
Email: maram.mf91@gmail.com

Sarah Fahad Mohammed Almutairi
Radiological Technology, ECHO Technologist
Email: mutairi.sarah@gmail.com

Norah Owaydhah Alarjani
Nuclear Medicine, Nuclear Medicine Technologist
Email: Alarjaninoura@gmail.com

Maisa Yousef A Alghaylan
Radiological Technology, CT technologist
Email: maysaghilan@gmail.com

Majed Saleh Mohammed Aljawhari
Radiological Technology, MRI Technologist
Email: joharimajed@outlook.com

Abdulrahman Abdullah S Alkhulaifi
Radiological Technology
Email: alkhulaifidome@gmail.com

***Abstract*---**Background: Healthcare delivery has transformed significantly with the integration of clinical decision support systems (CDS) and medical imaging. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), a type of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithm, have exhibited remarkable accuracy in discerning intricate patterns and anomalies within medical images, surpassing human capability. Aim: This study aims to explore the impact of AI augmentation on diagnostic tasks, focusing on enhancing sensitivity, accuracy, and interrater agreement across various medical conditions. Additionally, it seeks to investigate how AI simplifies complex processes and integrates with existing technologies, extending its role in CDS systems beyond diagnostic accuracy. Methods: The research examines the effectiveness of AI in interpreting CT imaging and diagnosis. Furthermore, it assesses the integration of

Manuscript submitted: 09 August 2021, Manuscript revised: 18 Oct 2021, Accepted for publication: 27 Nov 2021

AI with radiology to enhance the detection of cerebral hemorrhages on head CT scans in time-pressed clinical settings. The research was performed using search engines such as google scholar and Pubmed. Results: The findings indicate that AI augmentation significantly enhances diagnostic capabilities, improves physician confidence, reduces interpretation time, and optimizes workflow efficiency. AI not only improves accuracy but also simplifies processes, thereby revolutionizing healthcare delivery. Conclusion: As artificial intelligence continues to evolve, its revolutionary potential in healthcare becomes increasingly evident. AI-driven medical imaging and CDS systems offer unprecedented opportunities for a more patient-centered, effective, and efficient healthcare system. This study underscores the critical role of AI in shaping the future of healthcare, facilitating improved patient outcomes, and transforming clinical processes.

***Keywords*---**Artificial Intelligence, Diagnosis, Radiology, Workflow, Image analysis, Clinical decisions.

Introduction

John McCarthy wisely observed in 1955 that "activities best described as self-improvement will probably be carried out by a truly intelligent machine" (1). The field of radiology has seen a rapid and notable increase in the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) to transform a variety of radiology applications in recent years, from the interpretation of medical images to the making of clinical and operational decisions (2–10). AI's ability to represent complicated multivariate data using machine learning (ML) techniques accurately and robustly has aided in this achievement. The most exciting developments in radiology have been made to imaging instruments and workflow, which have improved picture reconstruction and acquisition.

There are three main domains that are prevalent in the field of AI that automates parts of the workflow for radiologic imaging. By streamlining patient scheduling and recognizing crucial parameters that lead to delays, processing overloads, and safety occurrences, operational AI aims to improve healthcare delivery (11). Through the identification of particular discoveries, the acquisition of quantitative measures, and the creation of AI-driven reports, diagnostic AI seeks to support the interpretation of clinical pictures (7). The goal of predictive AI is to predict future events, including the development, progression, recurrence, and response to therapy of a disease (12).

The fast growing number of AI startups engaging in the healthcare sector is evidence that the commercial prospects in AI have increased dramatically (13). Nevertheless, the actual application of AI in routine radiology practice is still in its early phases (14). Deficits in a number of crucial areas are the main cause of this sluggish adoption (15, 16). Reproducibility is the first flaw. The narrow selection of data sets from which AI models are often generated limits their capacity to generalize across the wide range of radiology data. The second shortcoming is flexibility. The AI models that are in use today are unable to adapt to their ever changing settings. A third shortcoming is the lack of strong quality control systems. In the absence of these, AI systems are more vulnerable to anomalies in the data, abrupt changes in patterns, and data inaccuracies. Integration represents the fourth shortcoming. The radiology workflow is not always included in the development of AI algorithms, which makes it difficult for them to adapt to changes in the radiology data environment.

The fundamental cause of these flaws is the static character of current AI algorithms, which use models trained on data from a single point in time to duplicate legacy procedures. When data environments change, this method runs the danger of making the models unreliable and unsustainable. In order to overcome this difficulty, developers should update "locked" algorithms on a regular basis. This is usually done manually when a decrease in algorithm performance is noticed (17). By enabling computers to continuously adapt to changes in local data, continuous learning artificial intelligence (also known as continually learning AI, continual AI, or CoAI) promises to give more frequent updates automatically.

Artificial intelligence (AI) that is always learning may adapt to changes in data settings and learn from them, possibly leading to the development of better machine learning (ML) models (18–20). A subset of AI solutions called continuous learning AI is made to improve and update itself in response to changes in data, targets, and settings. By continuously interacting with their data contexts, AI models are able to improve clinical judgments, optimize healthcare delivery, and get a deeper grasp of the features of diseases and treatments. The Table is a list of some key ideas about AI's continual learning.

The concept of "self-improving machines" has been studied by AI specialists for a long time (1, 27–31). Beyond the field of medicine, continuous learning AI models have been applied in a number of other domains, especially those that demand real-time updates, like software development (37), air defense, ship location (32), robotics (33, 34), text analysis and translation (35, 36), and robotics (37). By supplementing models with ongoing training, continuous learning artificial intelligence (AI) expands upon the theoretical foundations of traditional, static AI. Large and representative training data sets, precise and thorough annotation, and a logical assessment of model performance based on well-defined clinical or operational outcomes are all necessary for continuous learning AI. Continuous learning AI models seek to produce optimal outcomes by continuously interacting with and learning from the dynamic reality of their input data, regardless of the size of changes, in contrast to static AI models, which seek to duplicate expert diagnosis based on selected historical data. This is accomplished by transversely adding fresh data from new sources and longitudinally integrating data to increase the diversity and representativeness of the continuous learning AI model. The latter involves adding the most recent data to the historical training data set.
In radiology, where imaging techniques, instruments, rules, and workflows are subject to regular changes, AI that learns continuously is especially useful. AI that learns continuously is also helpful in situations when patient profiles, data, and decision-making procedures differ greatly between facilities. Furthermore, AI that learns continuously has the potential to evolve pathologies, abnormalities,

and treatments; therefore, co-evolving tools to recognize novel diseases, phenotypes, and markers will be necessary (38). As a result, AI solutions for continuous learning are starting to appear in the operational, diagnostic, and predictive areas of radiology. In this article, we go over the key ideas and difficulties needed to apply AI for continuous learning in radiology.

There are already more than 150 AI radiology products on the market. These goods are approved for clinical use in the US and Europe, respectively, by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or have the European Conformity (CE) mark on them. Even with their wide availability, there is still a dearth of scientific data proving the effectiveness and validity of these products. According to a 2020 study, of 100 AI solutions examined, only 36 had peer-reviewed data demonstrating their effectiveness. The efficacy of scientific evidence can be classified based on the hierarchical model (Fryback and Thornbury, 1991) that assesses the use of diagnostic imaging in patient management. This model was modified for the purpose of evaluating AI-related evidence in a prior study. The product's operation and performance are described at levels 1 and 2 of the model's lower levels. Higher level evaluations (levels 3–5) concentrate on how the evaluation affects patient outcomes, therapy, and diagnosis. At the end of the day, level 6 evidence evaluates AI's effects on overall health and expenses at a macro level.

In conclusion, John McCarthy's 1955 observation about the self-improving capabilities of intelligent machines has become increasingly relevant in the context of artificial intelligence (AI) in radiology. The field has witnessed rapid advancements, particularly in imaging instruments and workflows, enhancing image acquisition and reconstruction. Despite the proliferation of over 150 AI products for radiology, significant challenges remain in their practical application. These challenges stem from issues such as reproducibility, flexibility, quality control, and integration, primarily due to the static nature of current AI algorithms. Continuous learning AI, which adapts and improves in response to evolving data environments, offers a promising solution. This dynamic approach ensures that AI systems remain accurate and sustainable, enhancing clinical decision-making and healthcare delivery. While continuous learning AI has been successfully implemented in various domains beyond medicine, its integration into radiology is particularly advantageous due to the frequent changes in imaging protocols, devices, and workflows. As the field progresses, continuous learning AI is poised to play a crucial role in advancing diagnostic, operational, and predictive radiology applications, ultimately improving patient outcomes and healthcare efficiency.

Roles of AI in Radiology

AI and Radiology Workflow:

The efficient use of scarce resources is essential given the rising global expenses of healthcare. AI has a great clinical and non-clinical potential to support this effort. To increase productivity, AI software, for example, can forecast no-shows and optimize imaging appointment scheduling. According to Chong et al., the no-show rate was lowered from 19.3% to 15.9% by training a model to identify patients who were at high risk of skipping appointments and calling them to remind them of them. These AI solutions have lesser risks and fewer regulatory obstacles before being used in a healthcare setting because they frequently concentrate on patient management as opposed to direct detection or diagnosis. Even with this potential, there are still few people using and finding access to such software, which suggests the sector has a lot of room to expand (39).

As seen by the widespread usage of AI software for tuberculosis diagnosis on chest radiographs, AI can help optimize the diagnostic process. This application is especially helpful in developing nations with scarce financial, human, and technical resources. By eliminating the need for more expensive and time-consuming microbiological testing, AI-supported tuberculosis diagnosis can function as an independent pre-screening tool (levels 2, 3, 6). This is one of the first times AI has functioned independently, essentially replacing radiologists' customary duties. Although AI for workflow optimization has not yet gained widespread acceptance in clinical practice, its promise is still being investigated in other domains. Studies have mimicked workflows, for instance, in mammography screening, where an AI risk assessment decides the number of radiologist reads needed (none, single, or double), lowering the overall reading time (level 3). Similarly, it has been suggested to employ AI to help technicians with lung nodule diagnosis on CT scans, leaving radiologists (level 3) to handle only the most important duty. These use cases demonstrate how AI is increasingly being used to improve resource efficiency and workflows in radiology (40).

AI and Diagnosis Time:

In addition to improving patient outcomes and diagnostic accuracy, AI may greatly boost staff productivity. In the UK, CT and MR imaging exams rose by 54% and 48%, respectively, between 2013 and 2018, although the number of radiologists working in the field climbed by just 19%. The amount of images that radiologists must review for each patient has significantly increased due to the rise in diagnostic imaging exams and technological developments in imaging. In addition to potentially reversing this trend, cutting the reading time for these exams may also assist pediatric radiologists avoid burnout (41).

By cutting down on reading time, computer-aided detection, or CAD, can simplify the diagnostic procedure. Studies reveal that CAD tools shorten reading times for typical situations but significantly lengthen them for abnormal cases. The workflow integration of such software is critical to its successful implementation. For instance, picture enhancement can make detection easier and reduce the amount of time needed to acquire images. According to Martini et al., reading times for lung metastatic detection in CT thorax imaging were reduced by 21% as a result of vascular suppression. Furthermore, radiologists can reduce interrater variability by offloading some of their laborious manual tasks to computerized quantification of nodules, brain volumes, or other tissues. Artificial intelligence (AI) has long been used in pediatrics to improve quantification and reading efficiency. One such example is automated bone age prediction for hand radiographs. These AI techniques can run in parallel to speed up quantification or independently, possibly cutting down on reading time to zero. In addition to enhancing productivity, this AI integration helps radiologists by handling the volume and complexity of diagnostic imaging activities that are growing in number and complexity (42).

AI and Early Detection Techniques:

For better patient outcomes, prompt diagnosis or intervention is essential, especially in critical care settings like stroke diagnostics, where the proverb "time is brain" emphasizes the value of promptness. With software that can analyze CT scans and CT angiograms and quickly notify radiologists, hub centers, or intervention teams when a big artery occlusion or cerebral bleeding is discovered, artificial intelligence has made great progress in this field. By cutting the average time from CT angiography to intervention from 281 minutes to 243 minutes and shortening hospital stays, preliminary prospective studies have shown the potential benefits of AI in stroke care (levels 4, 5). AI can help expedite report turnaround times and facilitate the early identification of significant discoveries by prioritizing worklists based on AI-identified urgent results. Retrospective chest radiographs were used in a trial at a German university hospital to mimic this strategy, which cut the turnaround time for reporting important findings from 80 minutes to 35–50 minutes. Waiting times for cerebral hemorrhage cases in the US were lowered from 16 minutes to 12 minutes per positive case thanks to a commercial algorithm. AI can help detect osteoporosis (level 2) early on by identifying incidental signs beyond urgent ones, such as lung nodules on chest radiographs and vertebral fractures on chest or belly CTs. Artificial intelligence greatly enhances the speed and accuracy of diagnostic procedures, which leads to more effective and efficient patient care—especially in situations where time is of the essence (43).

AI and Dose of Contrast:

AI tackles a critical health risk by lowering the dose of radiation and intravenous contrast chemicals, which is especially relevant for pediatric patients. For younger patients, minimizing radiation exposure is essential to lowering their heightened risk of cancer. With deep learning, image reconstruction and post-processing can be improved and completed more quickly, allowing for high-quality imaging with little to no radiation exposure. In order to assess sacroiliac joint lesions for the diagnosis of spondylarthritis, a Belgian study used commercial AI software to synthesis CT images from MRI. This maintained diagnostic accuracy and may even eliminate the necessity for CT scans (level 3) (44).

AI and Diagnosis Accuracy:

By raising the sensitivity and/or specificity of diagnostic tests, around half of the AI radiology solutions on the market aim to improve diagnostic accuracy. By reducing missed diagnoses and avoiding pointless tests or therapies, these solutions hope to improve patient outcomes. Algorithms for computer-aided detection (CAD), which exist before contemporary AI, are nevertheless essential in this context. Bounding boxes, markings, and probability scores are some of the ways that CAD tools help with diagnosis. They can also be used to view exams with radiologists or as a second read. These algorithms' performance versus radiologists or ground truth has been confirmed by numerous research, proving its usefulness in clinical practice (level 2). Nevertheless, as these products usually cannot be used independently, the radiologist's and software's combined accuracy is critical (level 3). For example, AI software greatly increased the diagnostic accuracy for two radiologists in the bone age evaluation as compared to utilizing the Greulich-Pyle atlas alone (level 3) (45).

A large number of CAD devices have been brought to market and used in clinical settings, especially for the detection of malignant tissues like lung nodules and breast tumors. In addition to CAD, quantitative analysis and picture enhancement also help to increase the accuracy of diagnosis. Studies have demonstrated that lung nodule detection (level 3) is improved by bone or vessel suppression on thoracic imaging. Automated knee assessments have been shown to enhance osteoarthritis diagnosis accuracy (level 3) and inter-physician agreement in musculoskeletal radiology (46).

Personalized Diagnosis:

By enabling tailored diagnostics and moving away from population-based methods and toward individualized risk prediction and outcome assessment, AI algorithms have the potential to completely transform the medical field. This strategy targets interventions to the people who are most likely to benefit from them, which not only improves health outcomes but also maximizes resource allocation. Artificial intelligence-generated assessments of brain volume have been applied to neurology to forecast the need for additional invasive testing in order to identify Alzheimer's disease. Due to the high diagnostic accuracy of this model, only 26% of the population (level 4) required additional biomarker testing. Similar to this, a commercially available AI tool has prospectively proved its capacity to assess malignancy risk in thyroid lesion assessment using ultrasonography, preventing needless biopsies (level 4) (47).

Another instance of individualized medicine is breast cancer screening. Women with thick breasts can receive more regular screenings or alternative modalities, such MRIs, thanks to automated breast density classification. The women who had extra MR screening (level 5) had considerably fewer interval cancers, according to a study including almost 40,000 women with extremely dense breast tissue. While imaging data is the main source of information used by current AI-based radiology software, the incorporation of additional clinical features and genomic data may improve prediction personalization even more. Still, there isn't much use of this method in commercial AI software. Comprehensive patient data integration has the potential to significantly improve patient outcomes and refine individualized diagnostics as the field develops (48).

AI Role in Image Analysis and Interpretation:

Artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed image analysis in the field of medical imaging by providing improved tools for spotting minute patterns and abnormalities that might go undetected by humans. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), in particular, are deep learning models that have demonstrated impressive performance—often outperforming human performance—in the deciphering of complex information found in medical images. Using highresolution MRI, Faster R-CNN, a CNN variation, demonstrated superiority over conventional radiological techniques in detecting positive circumferential resection margins in rectal cancer. In a similar vein, CNNs have surpassed skilled radiologists in identifying lung nodules from intricate chest CT scans. CNNs and segmentation AI algorithms have shown to be highly accurate in the diagnosis of tiny liver cancer using multimodal ultrasound pictures. Furthermore, without the need for dilatation, AI systems such as EyeArt have demonstrated potential in identifying diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration, precisely forecasting the course of the disease. The Gastrointestinal Artificial Intelligence Diagnostic System (GRAIDS) has outperformed skilled endoscopists in gastrointestinal imaging, achieving outstanding diagnostic accuracy in recognizing malignant lesions in endoscopy pictures. Furthermore, by employing endoscopic ultrasound to differentiate between gastrointestinal leiomyomas and stromal tumors, AI systems have greatly increased diagnosis accuracy (49).

AI also makes a priceless contribution to lowering human error in image interpretation. Artificial intelligence (AI) technologies provide precision and consistency, reducing problems caused by human error and weariness. Research employing artificial intelligence to assess cervical spondylotic myelopathy and distinguish breast lesions on dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging has exhibited decreased inaccuracies and heightened dependability in interpretations. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that AI-enabled quantitative coronary computed tomographic angiography can accurately diagnose atherosclerosis while reducing human error. These developments highlight how important artificial intelligence (AI) is to improve the precision and dependability of medical imaging analysis, assisting medical practitioners in reaching well-informed clinical judgments (50).

AI Role in Clinical Decisions:

Clinical Decision Support (CDS) systems are a significant factor in enhancing the quality of healthcare delivery since they offer medical practitioners critical support during the decision-making process. Two key roles in this area are Integration with Other Technologies and Support for Complex Procedures. Using AI to provide exact imaging that is essential for directing clinicians during complex medical operations is known as Assistance in Complex operations. In 2022, for example, Upton et al. carried out a multicenter study that showed AI could automate the processing of stress echocardiography, improving diagnostic precision and clinician confidence. In a similar vein, Park et al. created the HeadXNet model in 2019, demonstrating the effectiveness of AI augmentation in segmenting aneurysms in head CT angiography images, improving sensitivity and interrater agreement in the diagnosis of intracranial aneurysms (51).

The 2022 study by Qi C et al. is a prime example of the application of AI in the evaluation of picture quality; their AI-driven system performed on par with senior clinicians in the assessment of whole-body PET/CT imaging. AI can improve actionable lung nodule diagnosis in chest radiographs while preserving low false-referral rates, as demonstrated by Nam et al.'s 2023 randomized controlled experiment. Furthermore, the influence of the Intelligent Real-time Image Segmentation (IRIS) AI system on dysplasia diagnosis in Barrett's oesophagus was highlighted in Kahn et al.'s 2022 study. This approach dramatically improved detection accuracy and reduced interpretation time (52).

Moreover, Martinez-Gutierrez et al.'s 2023 experiment showed how automated interpretation of CT angiograms might optimize the workflows for in-hospital endovascular thrombectomy for stroke patients, resulting in a considerable reduction in treatment duration. Studies such as Lee et al.'s 2022 work on detecting cervical spondylotic myelopathy using lateral cervical spine radiographs and Wang et al.'s 2020 study on identifying positive circumferential resection margins in rectal cancer MRI images demonstrate how AI's impact is further amplified when it is integrated with other technologies. In summary, the incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into clinical decision support systems has been shown to improve patient outcomes and healthcare delivery by streamlining complex medical procedures and boosting diagnostic accuracy and practitioner performance (53).

Conclusion

The examination of several subjects related to the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in clinical decision support systems (CDS) and medical imaging highlights its significant influence on the provision of healthcare services. A new era of healthcare optimization has been brought about by AI's skill in improving diagnosis accuracy, simplifying difficult procedures, and integrating with current technologies. Artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms, in particular convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have proven to be more adept than humans at spotting minute patterns and abnormalities in medical images. AI augmentation has continuously increased sensitivity, accuracy, and interrater agreement in a variety of diagnostic tasks, enabling physicians to diagnose intracranial aneurysms and evaluate cervical spondylotic myelopathy.

Furthermore, by assisting with intricate operations and integrating with other technologies, AI's position in clinical decision support systems has completely transformed the healthcare industry. Research has demonstrated that AI can automate the analysis of stress echocardiograms, simplify the interpretation of CT angiograms for endovascular thrombectomy, and improve the identification of dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus. Also, better intracranial bleeding diagnosis on head CT images has resulted from AI integration with radiology, highlighting AI's potential to supplement human expertise in time-sensitive clinical settings. Finally, as demonstrated by its capacity to maximize workflow efficiency, shorten interpretation times, and boost clinician confidence, AI's influence goes beyond diagnostic accuracy. There is no denying AI's revolutionary potential in healthcare, whether it is in assisting intricate procedures or merging with current technologies. In summary, the incorporation of AI into clinical decision support systems and medical imaging signifies a paradigm shift in the provision of healthcare, presenting unmatched chances to improve patient outcomes, optimize clinical processes, and transform medicine as a whole. AI's involvement in healthcare is expected to grow as it develops and matures, influencing medical practice and opening the door to a more effective, efficient, and patient-centered healthcare system.

References

1. McCarthy J, Marvin M, Nathaniel R, Claude S. A Proposal for the Dartmouth Summer Research Project on Artificial Intelligence. Available at http://jmc. stanford.edu/articles/dartmouth/dartmouth.pdf. Published August 31, 1955.
2. Van Rijn RR, De Luca A. Three Reasons Why Artificial Intelligence Might Be the Radiologist's Best Friend. Radiology 2020;296:159–160.
3. Yala A, Schuster T, Miles R, Barzilay R, Lehman C. A Deep Learning Model to Triage Screening Mammograms: A Simulation Study. Radiology 2019;293(1):38–46.
4. Murphy K, Smits H, Knoops A, et al. COVID-19 on the Chest Radiograph: A Multi-Reader Evaluation of an AI System. Radiology 2020. 10.1148/radiol.2020201874. Published online May 8, 2020.
5. Wang F, Preininger A. AI in Health: State of the Art, Challenges, and Future Directions. Yearb Med Inform 2019;28(1):16–26.
6. Giridharadas M. A look at operational and clinical applications of AI in healthcare. MedCity. https://medcitynews.com/2019/07/operational-andclinical-applications-of-ai-in-healthcare/?rf=1. Published July 16, 2019.
7. Choy G, Khalilzadeh O, Michalski M, et al. Current Applications and Future,Impact of Machine Learning in Radiology. Radiology 2018;288(2):318–328.
8. Aerts HJWL. Data Science in Radiology: A Path Forward. Clin Cancer Res 2018;24(3):532–534.
9. Thompson RF, Valdes G, Fuller CD, et al. The Future of Artificial Intelligence in Radiation Oncology. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2018;102(2):247–248.
10. European Society of Radiology (ESR). What the radiologist should know about artificial intelligence: an ESR white paper. Insights Imaging 2019;10(1):44.
11. Curtis C, Liu C, Bollerman TJ, Pianykh OS. Machine Learning for Predicting Patient Wait Times and Appointment Delays. J Am Coll Radiol 2018;15(9):1310–1316.
12. Vogl WD, Waldstein SM, Gerendas BS, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Langs G. Predicting Macular Edema Recurrence from Spatio-Temporal Signaturesin Optical Coherence Tomography Images. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 2017;36(9):1773–1783.
13. Bloom J, Dyrda L. 100+ artificial intelligence companies to know in healthcare. Beckers Hospital Review. https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/lists/100-artificialintelligence-companies-to-know-in-healthcare-2019.html. Published July 19, 2019.
14. Bratt A. Why Radiologists Have Nothing to Fear From Deep Learning. J Am Coll Radiol 2019;16(9 Pt A):1190–1192.
15. Talby D. When models go rogue: Hard-earned lessons about using machine learning in production. O'Reilly. https://www.oreilly.com/library/view/strata-dataconference/9781491976241/video308850.html. Published May22, 2017.
16. Zech JR, Badgeley MA, Liu M, Costa AB, Titano JJ, Oermann EK. Variable generalization performance of a deep learning model to detect pneumonia in chest radiographs: A cross-sectional study. PLoS Med 2018;15(11):e1002683.

17. Diamond M. Presentation: Proposed Regulatory Framework For Modifications To Artificial Intelligence/ Machine Learning. https://www.fda.gov/media/135713/download. Published February 25, 2020.
18. Friedman AA, Letai A, Fisher DE, Flaherty KT. Precision medicine for cancer with next-generation functional diagnostics. Nat Rev Cancer 2015;15(12):747–756.
19. Gatenby RA, Grove O, Gillies RJ. Quantitative imaging in cancer evolution and ecology. Radiology 2013;269(1):8–15.
20. Kickingereder P, Bonekamp D, Nowosielski M, et al. Radiogenomics of Glioblastoma: Machine Learning-based Classification of Molecular Characteristics by Using Multiparametric and Multiregional MR Imaging Features. Radiology 2016;281(3):907–918.
21. Kirkpatrick J, Pascanu R, Rabinowitz N, et al. Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017;114(13):3521–3526.
22. Wikipedia. Catastrophic interference. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catastrophic_interference. Published August 3, 2020. Accessed August 4, 2020.
23. Yosinski J, Clune J, Bengio Y, Lipson H. How transferable are features in deep neural networks? arXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.1792. Published November 6, 2014. Accessed August 4, 2020.
24. Shin HC, Roth HR, Gao M, et al. Deep Convolutional Neural Networks for Computer-Aided Detection: CNN Architectures, Dataset Characteristics and Transfer Learning. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 2016;35(5):1285–1298.
25. Bengio Y, Louradour J, Collobert R, Weston J. Curriculum learning. In: Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning. New York, NY: Association for Computing Machinery, 2009.
26. Karani N, Baumgartner C, Donati O, Becker A, Konukoglu E. Semisupervised and Task-Driven Data Augmentation. arXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.05396. Published February 11, 2019. Accessed August 4,2020.
27. Swets JA, Millman SH, Fletcher WE, Green DM. Learning to Identify Nonverbal Sounds: An Application of a Computer as a Teaching Machine. J Acoust Soc Am 1962;34(7):928–935.
28. Schmidhuber J. Evolutionary principles in self-referential learning. http://people.idsia.ch/~juergen/diploma.html. Published May 14, 1987. AccessedAugust 4, 2020.
29. Camp B, Mandivarapu JK, Estrada R. Self-Net: Lifelong Learning via Continual Self-Modeling. ArXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.10354. Published May 25, 2018. Accessed August 4, 2020.
30. Hall JS. Self-improving AI: an Analysis. Minds Mach 2007;17(3):249–259.
31. Parisi GI, Kemker R, Part JL, Kanan C, Wermter S. Continual lifelong learning with neural networks: A review. Neural Netw 2019;113:54–71.
32. Bennett M. Real-time continuous AI systems. IEE Proc Control Theory Appl 1987;134(4):272.
33. Thrun S, Mitchell TM. Lifelong robot learning. In: The Biology and Technology of Intelligent Autonomous Agents. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1995; 165–196.
34. Koenig N, Mataric M. Robot life-long task learning from human demonstrations: a Bayesian approach. Auton Robots 2017;41(5):1173–1188.

35. Ali HA, El-Desouky AI, Saleh AI. A novel strategy for a vertical web pageclassifier based on continuous learning naïve Bayes algorithm. Int J Comput Appl 2007;29(3):259–277.
36. Turchi M, Negri M, Farajian MA, Federico M. Continuous Learning from Human Post-Edits for Neural Machine Translation. Prague Bull Math Linguist 2017;108(1):233–244.
37. Tartara M, Crespi Reghizzi S. Continuous learning of compiler heuristics. ACM Trans Arch Code Optim 2013;9(4):1–25.
38. Langs G, Röhrich S, Hofmanninger J, et al. Machine learning: from radiomics to discovery and routine. Radiologe 2018;58(Suppl 1):1–6
39. Chong LR, Tsai KT, Lee LL et al (2020) Artificial intelligence predictive analytics in the management of outpatient MRI appointment no-shows. AJR Am J Roentgenol 215:1155–1162
40. Khan FA, Majidulla A, Tavaziva G et al (2020) Chest X-ray analysis with deep learning-based software as a triage test for pulmonary tuberculosis: a prospective study of diagnostic accuracy for culture-confirmed disease. Lancet Digit Health 2:e573–e581
41. The Royal College of Radiologists (2018) Clinical radiology UK workforce census report 2018. RCR website. https://www.rcr.ac.uk/publication/clinical-radiology-uk-workforce-census-report-2018.
42. Martini K, Blüthgen C, Eberhard M et al (2020) Impact of vessel suppressed-CT on diagnostic accuracy in detection of pulmonary metastasis and reading time. Acad Radiol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2020.01.014
43. Hassan AE, Ringheanu VM, Rabah RR et al (2020) Early experience utilizing artificial intelligence shows significant reduction in transfer times and length of stay in a hub and spoke model. Interv Neuroradiol 26:615–622
44. Jans LBO, Chen M, Elewaut D et al (2020) MRI-based synthetic CT in the detection of structural lesions in patients with suspected sacroiliitis: comparison with MRI. Radiology 298:343–349
45. Alshamrani K, Offiah AC (2020) Applicability of two commonly used bone age assessment methods to twenty-first century UK children. Eur Radiol 30:504–513
46. Nehrer S, Ljuhar R, Steindl P et al (2019) Automated knee osteoarthritis assessment increases physicians' agreement rate and accuracy: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Cartilage. https://doi.org/10.1177/1947603519888793
47. Lu Y, Shi XQ, Zhao X et al (2019) Value of computer software for assisting sonographers in the diagnosis of thyroid imaging reporting and data system grade 3 and 4 thyroid space-occupying lesions. J Ultrasound Med 38:3291–3300
48. Bakker MF, de Lange SV, Pijnappel RM et al (2019) Supplemental MRI screening for women with extremely dense breast tissue. N Engl J Med 381:2091–2102Return to ref 42 in article
49. Xu, J. H., Zhou, X. M., Ma, J. L., Liu, S. S., Zhang, M. S., Zheng, X. F., ... & Wang, D. S. (2020). Application of convolutional neural network to risk evaluation of positive circumferential resection margin of rectal cancer by magnetic resonance imaging. *Zhonghua wei Chang wai ke za zhi= Chinese Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, *23*(6), 572-577.

50. Jonas, R., Earls, J., Marques, H., Chang, H. J., Choi, J. H., Doh, J. H., ... & Choi, A. D. (2021). Relationship of age, atherosclerosis and angiographic stenosis using artificial intelligence. *Open Heart*, *8*(2), e001832.
51. Upton, R., Mumith, A., Beqiri, A., Parker, A., Hawkes, W., Gao, S., ... & Leeson, P. (2022). Automated echocardiographic detection of severe coronary artery disease using artificial intelligence. *Cardiovascular Imaging*, *15*(5), 715-727.
52. Qi, C., Wang, S., Yu, H., Zhang, Y., Hu, P., Tan, H., ... & Shi, H. (2023). An artificial intelligence-driven image quality assessment system for whole-body [18F] FDG PET/CT. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, *50*(5), 1318-1328.
53. Kahn, A., McKinley, M. J., Stewart, M., Wang, K. K., Iyer, P. G., Leggett, C. L., & Trindade, A. J. (2022). Artificial intelligence-enhanced volumetric laser endomicroscopy improves dysplasia detection in Barrett's esophagus in a randomized cross-over study. *Scientific reports*, *12*(1), 16314.https://doi.org/10.1351/pac199870091863