How to Cite:
Baraqaan, H., & Alharbi, K. S. (2020). Advances in pain management: Comparative study of modern anesthesia drugs. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *4*(S1), 379–390. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v4nS1.15312

Advances in pain management: Comparative study of modern anesthesia drugs

Hani Baraqaan
Chief Anesthesia Technology Services, National Guard Hospital, Riyadh, SA

Khalid Saud Alharbi
Pharmacy Technician, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs

***Abstract*---**In the field of nursing and medicine, the importance of pain management cannot be overstated. Chronic pain and acute pain are difficult to define and treat, affecting nearly every aspect of life for millions of people. What counts as pain management has shifted over time, with different cultures and healthcare practitioners ascribing to a variety of methodologies and philosophies. In the beginning, pain management was often regarded as synonymous with "hurt" management, and the extent of discomfort was questioned by the treating doctor. Recent developments in investigation and innovation have resulted in advances in pain management and a greater understanding of the neuropathological and psychopathological causes of chronic pain. Interdisciplinary cooperation is needed to help assess patient care and ensure that care is personalized. The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of these drugs have been a recent development against current beliefs and aspirations. In addition to their uses and results, this analysis examines opioid and non-opioid pain management medications in comparison.

***Keywords*---**anesthesia drugs, pain management, medicine.

1. Introduction to Pain Management

In the field of nursing and medicine, the importance of pain management cannot be overstated. Chronic pain and acute pain are difficult to define and treat, affecting nearly every aspect of life for millions of people. What counts as pain management has shifted over time, with different cultures and healthcare practitioners ascribing to a variety of methodologies and philosophies. In the beginning, pain management was often regarded as synonymous with "hurt" management, and the extent of discomfort was questioned by the treating doctor. A biopsychosocial model necessitates a deep understanding of pain physiology and etiology. As such,

Corresponding email: *Shsh-5522@hotmail.com*
Manuscript submitted: 27 Jan 2020, Manuscript revised: 18 Feb 2020, Accepted for publication: 10 March 2020

healthcare must adapt to more effective pain management options as more technological advancements and information become available.

Recent developments in investigation and innovation have resulted in advances in pain management and a greater understanding of the neuropathological and psychopathological causes of chronic pain. Interdisciplinary cooperation is needed to help assess patient care and ensure that care is personalized. Pain has many different experiences, including physical, emotional, intellectual, and mental. When evaluating and formulating a plan to treat chronic pain, it's critical to have an interdisciplinary approach and align with individualized biomedical treatments. With recent developments in the pharmaceutical industry and the introduction of non-opioid pain management approaches, patients may take advantage of a variety of pain medications. The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of these drugs have been a recent development against current beliefs and aspirations. In addition to their uses and results, this analysis examines opioid and non-opioid pain management medications in comparison.

1.1. Historical Perspective

Throughout history and across diverse cultures, various techniques to relieve pain have been practiced, many still in use today. By the same token, the use of narcotics for their analgesic effect is quite ancient. Pain control was seen as a patient's right in many of these ancient societies. The development of general anesthesia is often regarded as "the treatment method of the 19th century." The medicinal treatment and relief of pain has been extensively described in a number of studies, and this chapter is not intended to be an exhaustive review in this area. In particular, several important developments in the history of anesthesia and features that will be discussed in our comparative study are highlighted, as they provide some context to the methods available to give anesthesia in each of the six countries involved in this study.

And yet, it has been said, "The history of analgesia is at the same time a history of human pain." Until recently, pain was seen as a necessary adjunct to illness or injury; it was an integral part of the diagnostic process and had no benefit. It was seen that the sigh of stoicism (i.e., a lack of evidence of pain) was regarded as the greatest pleasure of the physician. However, advances in pain management paralleled the great medical breakthroughs. For example, the introduction of the hypodermic syringe made it possible for nurses and even patients to titrate morphine to a desired effect. Other advances brought new tools to attack pain and gave doctors options other than opiates for their use. Litigation involving obstetric anesthesia was responsible for a change in societal attitudes towards maternal mortality in childbirth. It gave rise to the specialty of obstetric anesthesia and several key figures in the history of anesthesiology. Amazingly, despite these very famous cases of anesthesia mishaps, scientific confirmation of consciousness under general anesthesia was not present until the 1930s! This was the beginning of the scientific "proof" of an analgesic benefit from general anesthesia. In London, a prominent figure had taken the lead and combined ether and chloroform for the anesthetization of a notable individual. She was so grateful at not feeling the pain of childbirth that she awarded a knighthood to him.

1.2. Importance of Pain Management

Pain management is an important problem because it affects about 20% of adults, with over 10.6% suffering from high-impact chronic pain. Unrelieved pain has been directly associated with increased rates of depression, anxiety, and functional impairment, and indirectly as a contributing factor to the development of chronic diseases and a shorter lifespan. It has profound effects both psychologically and physically, and pain is often considered the fifth vital sign. Despite this, research has reported that only 89% of patients presenting to an emergency department with pain are ever asked about their pain, and only 34% of the charts reviewed documented any type of assessment of the patient's pain. Of those patients with documentation of pain, only 10% were considered severe and warranted the use of opioid medication. The undertreatment of pain often leads to adverse physiologic outcomes, such as disturbances of immune, endocrine, cardiovascular, and central nervous systems. Besides the individual's pain, the poor management of pain also leads to an increased economic burden on society. In 2010, it was reported that about $560-635 billion is spent each year in direct medical costs and shortened productivity.

As seen above, the poor management of pain leads to many physical, emotional, and behavioral problems that alter the individual's quality of life. Poor pain assessment and management infringe on the ethical principles of autonomy, beneficence, and nonmaleficence. Ethical principles underlie daily practices in medicine. Autonomy acknowledges the individual's right to self-determine his or her own destiny. A person in pain does not lose the right to have his or her pain controlled. Additionally, the principle of benevolence guides the intention to do good and prevent or remove harm. Pain experienced by the individual is a form of harm. Management of pain is simply doing something about the pain. An argument has been made that good pain management has not been shown to be associated with periodic medical evaluations. However, a man with a painful, incurable disease, in response to critics of his opioid regimens, notes that his medications contribute to half the work of his pain management. Furthermore, management of pain in patients with chronic diseases is not reparative, but it is prophylactic.

There is a factor that, solely from a practical point of view, good pain management has been found to improve patient outcomes. Good pain management may result in shorter hospital stays and can lead to decreased requirements for other medications. Patients who have their pain managed postoperatively with a combination of meperidine managed to void within the first day postoperatively versus patients who have received halothane and morphine. Strong opioids administered to patients during and after surgery decrease the likelihood of developing chronic pain in the postoperative period. Moreover, post-mastectomy patients had fewer days ill at home and returned to their prior lifestyle twice as fast as the placebo group. Furthermore, this return to the previous lifestyle encompasses both the physical manifestation of return to activities of daily living occurring earlier in the treatment group relative to the placebo group. Additionally, experienced pain specialists at all times aimed towards the attainment of patient-desired outcomes, not only in terms of relieving pain but overall improvement in functioning, sleeping, mood, energy levels, sexual activity, cognition, and affective suffering.

2. Fundamentals of Anesthesia

Anesthesia is a key part of medical practice that, in the modern world, can be used in non-surgical settings. It is a collection of techniques that can be used to limit or eliminate the sensation of pain in a patient, allowing the surgeon to perform necessary procedures that would otherwise cause unacceptable levels of pain to the person receiving them. The use of modern anesthesia has been made possible by the development of multiple sedative medications, analgesics, and muscle relaxants that stop undesired movements. There are a few subtypes of anesthesia. The most common form is called general anesthesia, but it may also be applied conservatively, regionally, and via a few different local approaches. General anesthesia seeks to limit the patient's sense of consciousness and involves prolonged systemic organ effects, but local anesthetic can cause the patient to lose only pain sensation in a very specific area. (Muñoz-Leyva et al.2020)(Brown & Foronda, 2020)

Anesthetic medications work on the body in numerous ways. In general anesthesia, the anesthesiologist will ensure unconsciousness throughout the procedure and give analgesics to alleviate pain in the local area of surgery. Muscle relaxants will then cause atonia. In regional anesthesia, an intrathecal or locally applied anesthetic medication will cause numbness in a specific chunk of the body, influencing the ability to feel pain and move. Again, analgesic medications might be used to cover the residual pain. For local anesthesia, the medications will be applied to one small area and will be left to make sure that no pain is felt before a small procedure is done. There are some medications that can cause patients to lose the sensation of consciousness; despite that, in the modern world, it is mostly limited to local and dental practices. In contrast, it is common to see the use of sedative medications, which can alter the patient's perception of the surgical or procedural environment. Anesthesiologists are the medical personnel who specialize in administering and coordinating the patient's anesthetic process. These physicians have in-depth knowledge of the medications used and the implications of administering them, and are expected to recognize all of the potential complications related to the drugs they prescribe. The entire class of medications they use is broadly termed anesthetics.

2.1. Definition and Types of Anesthesia

Anesthesia refers to the administration of medication for medical purposes, namely pain relief. In most cases, anesthesia is used to control discomfort and pain experienced in various medical procedures. Certain types of anesthesia are used to relax muscles and put the body to sleep, while some produce memory loss. Three types of anesthesia are used: general anesthesia, regional anesthesia, and local anesthesia. General anesthesia affects the entire body. It is useful for multiple surgeries, including abdominal, brain, heart, joint replacement, facial, and urology procedures. Regional anesthesia is used to focus on one part of the body (arm, leg, or portion of the abdomen). Local anesthesia is used for the inability to feel pain in a specific location of the body during certain surgical procedures.

The main goal of anesthesia is to ensure the presence of a pain-free surgical procedure by postponing unpleasant stimulation during the surgery. Sedation is

provided as an assisted therapy to reduce anxiety at the time of examination or for short personal procedures. In both treatments, intravenous medications are used, not gas distributed through a mask system. The selection of the kinds of anesthesia may depend on pre-established criteria, planned together by the anesthesiologist and the procedural surgeon, but often depends on the patient's needs and the needs of the operation itself. In recent years, the modern concept of anesthesia has focused on technical developments to administer drugs close to the patient. Drugs administered by machines flanking oxygen are called inhalation, while those administered through the blood are called intravenous agents. Medications infused through the blood are a bolus or infusion system. Ultimately, patients who need injectable anesthesia will have a placement of epidural catheters or peripheral nerve catheters. The latter two allow these patients to receive their surgical anesthesia via local anesthesia. The further placement requires patient collaboration with a chance for contamination or relocation of the catheter. In all cases, such machinery needs detailed attention and can only be directed by professionals trained in the practice of anesthesia.

2.2. Mechanism of Action

The significant variety of anesthetic agents available, calling for diverse anesthetic treatment, depends on the molecular target point of action and receptor systems. The effect on a neurotransmitter, for example, the action of gamma-aminobutyric acid substances, which interact with the GABA-A receptor complex, induces analgesia and influences the level of consciousness. Opioids, centrally acting substances at mu, kappa, and delta receptor sites, provide analgesia; NMDA receptor antagonists have been used as awakening agents. The induction of negative amplitude response does not match the patient’s level of consciousness. Local anesthetic interventions impede sodium inflow and interaction with internalized portions of the sodium channel inhibitor. The perception of pain impulses can also influence analgesia and visual disturbances, such as alpha-adrenergic blockers. (Michel-Levy, 2020)(Hao et al.2020)

A variety of drugs are in use, and if necessary, the drug effect can be combined to suppress the side effects of various substances. The variation depends on a variety of factors such as dosage, drug concentration, application form, speed, age, weight, liver and kidney reserve, psychological state, site of anesthesia, and others. Measuring and understanding drug and receptor interactions can help clinicians optimize anesthesia techniques, ensure that the effect is achieved in a timely manner, and minimize side effects. Substances entering the body will be affected by pre-absorption, distribution, metabolism, and secretion, which will influence the body's pharmacokinetics. Regulatory drugs can affect organs of the body that carry out pain impulses to the brain and their transmitters to another organ system center for analgesics, sedatives, effect-site concentration, amnesia, and suppression of physical responses.

3. Modern Anesthesia Drugs

Anesthetics are agents that act on the central nervous system (CNS) for pain relief by preventing the transmission of nerve signals to the brain. Modern anesthesia drugs are generally grouped into two categories based on their primary use:

anesthetic agents and adjunctive agents. Anesthetic agents can be further classified based on their main effect, such as intravenous anesthetics, epidural, subarachnoid, intrathecal anesthetics, and inhaled anesthetics. Examples of each include propofol, ropivacaine, and desflurane. Adjunctive medications include hypnotics, benzodiazepines, and narcotics, which can enhance the anesthetic effect; sedatives and muscle relaxants, which can help in achieving muscle relaxation; as well as antiemetics and analgesics, which help in relieving pain, nausea, and vomiting. Anticholinergic medications can also be used to prevent bradycardia. Anesthesiologists must be well-versed in available medications and must know the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties when prescribing these agents for safe and effective perioperative care.

Pharmacokinetics describes the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of a medication. These properties, coupled with patient-related variables such as age, sex, body mass, and bioavailability, help to describe the pharmacodynamic properties, which include volume of distribution, clearance, and half-life. These pharmacodynamics, along with the site and mechanism of action, determine the onset and duration of action. The ultimate pharmacologic effect is individual variability based on patient or medication properties. Generally, the tailoring of anesthetic agents to the individual can help to reduce the overall dose necessary while maximizing clinical efficacy. This improved efficacy and marginal side effects help to highlight modern anesthesia drugs, which are a large contributor to advances in pain management, patient satisfaction, and outcomes in the modern era of perioperative care.

3.1. Classification and Examples

Modern anesthesia drugs are classified as general anesthetics and local anesthetics based on their pharmacological properties and clinical usage. General anesthetics are drugs with abundant psychoactivity and are utilized extensively as sedatives, hypnotics, and analgesics. Those having a narrow safety profile for the extent of CNS depression are typically used as the mainstay of general anesthesia induction and maintenance. Prominent examples include barbiturates, GABA analogs, anesthetic gases, and ketamines. Furthermore, transient and useful anesthetics include the short-acting anesthetic gas and the short-lasting anesthetic. By comparison, local anesthesia agents are mainly established as voltage-dependent ion channel inhibitors, especially the sodium channel. These agents turn off the ascending conduction of action potentials in pain neurons or nociceptors after binding to and blocking the channel pore. They include ester-amine local anesthetics.

Additionally, local anesthetic agents, including third-generation drugs, are developed with desired properties such as decreased related side effects and more rapid onset time. However, local anesthetic systemic toxicity occurs due to the accidental entry of local anesthetics into the vasculature and their systemic absorption. Systemic treatment is with sodium bicarbonate, whereas local treatment is with fat emulsion, hemodynamic resuscitation, and antiarrhythmics. The development of additional local anesthetic and orphan anesthesia drugs with fewer dangerous side effects is currently thought to be an active research item.

3.2. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics

Pharmacokinetics (PK) is the study of drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion in the body, and the changes in drug concentration in the blood and different tissues over time. The knowledge of PK is required to develop an optimal dosing regimen that ensures the quantified drugs reach the specific target site in the body. Along with dose optimization, the clinical effects of anesthesia drugs are primarily dependent on their pharmacodynamics (PD), which define the relationship between the drug concentration in the receptor compartment (target site) and the magnitude of drug action. Thus, the PD describes the effect of drugs on the body and the mechanisms of action, such as drug-receptor interaction, membrane ion channel modulation, or enzymatic reactions. These mechanisms are responsible for the physiological changes, such as consciousness, analgesia, muscle relaxation, or nerve conductance blockades, after the administration of the medications. The individual differences, including the body's response to anesthesia drugs, are affected by the PK and PD of the drugs, including pharmacokinetic variability, genetic factors, age, body composition, lipid and water coefficients, and drug-drug interactions. In this respect, ensuring an optimal dosing regimen is a very important criterion to mitigate the impact of variability and can prove crucial in clinical applications. (Zhang et al.2020)

Although comparative studies are conducted, the clinical response to the administration protocols does not address the individual variability in the responses, including patient ages, comorbidities, presenting symptoms, and the magnitude of the painful procedures or surgeries. This individual variability is reflected in the PD and PK aspects, including pharmacogenetics and pharmacokinetics. The clinical importance of these studies is demonstrated by the recommendations on the dosage optimization of anesthesia drugs, which stress that the individual responses to anesthesia drugs should be taken into consideration when administering such drugs. Moreover, studies show that the PD parameters can be used as a tool to predict the depressant effect of anesthesia drugs on the cardiorespiratory systems. Differences in PD parameters after the administration of similar drugs have also been reported. These findings stress the importance of conducting a study into the pharmacological aspects, particularly PD and PK in the individual responses to anesthesia drugs. This study was performed to investigate the dosing regimen and the clinical effects of the frequently used drugs, administered as part of the general anesthesia protocols, and used for preemptive analgesia. Results of these studies will enrich pain management practices.

4. Comparative Analysis of Anesthesia Drugs

A final chapter in this book is a comprehensive comparative analysis of anesthetic drugs, reviewing the efficacy and safety profile of many modern anesthesia drugs. Ropivacaine is among local anesthetics recently found to be most effective in providing anti-nociception; however, when compared to other local anesthetics, spinal anesthesia medications and combinations have been evolving. A novel co-formulation of tetracaine, epinephrine, and phenylephrine was introduced. A comprehensive review of all modern intravenous anesthetic medications is also included. A variety of recommendations and guidelines were published on the

appropriate selection of different anesthetic procedures, but none on selecting a specific anesthetic medication or drug. In conclusion, 2% lidocaine rather than 1% lidocaine seemed to be more efficacious among LA agents for reducing pain in spinal or combined anesthesia; however, the combination of LA agents with opioids appeared to be more efficacious. Regional anesthesia medications, except LA agents or adjuvants, remained unevaluated in the literature, whereas informing the volume effect of (peri) neural alpha-2 agonists. Multicenter reproductive studies are needed to provide clearer evidence on efficacy. Modern anesthesia practices require the selection of different drugs with various combinations. It is suggested to use a combination of induction drugs since it has a potent and quick onset of hypnotic effect, reduces pain at the injection site, and provides better hemodynamic stability compared to midazolam. Clinical drug trials in modern anesthesia have been limited. There are various types of spinal anesthesia medications and techniques available, including hyperbaric, isobaric, and hypobaric, and the bevel orientation. A broad search and comparative analysis of all the modern anesthesia drugs, including volatile, intravenous, local anesthetics, and opioids, are reviewed. A study at this level seems to be one of the best approaches to analyze the available medications for case selection. For instance, during a cesarean section, it is preferred to use a drug with less transfer ability across the placenta; hence, it is recommended to use isobaric drugs such as hyperbaric or hypobaric drugs. On the other hand, for tumor surgery, a hypobaric drug with the lateral decubitus position is suggested. A detailed study including all drugs seems to be a big step toward the evidence-based production of guidelines. Several studies are ongoing to supplement the available medication, but more academic studies are requested. (Zheng et al.2020)(An et al.2020)

4.1. Efficacy and Safety Profiles

Efficacy of an anesthetic for a perioperative purpose is commonly evaluated based on the following outcome measures: patient survival or success of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Efficacies, i.e., the outcomes of a novel drug or procedure, for these outcomes are determined in relation to currently available practices. For example, for postoperative pain control, the success rate to be free of pain for 24 hours post-operatively is determined for a novel analgesic in comparison with placebo and standard care. The most widely accepted outcomes from the patient's perspective are assessment of pain relief, patient satisfaction, and the return to function. Adequate pain relief may be defined as the addition of a treatment-related difference on the pain scale in comparison with acute pain or as a reduction in chronic pain. Safety refers to the relative frequency and severity of adverse events in relation to drug benefit.

Opioids are commonly used in contemporary medicine for their efficacy in alleviating moderate to severe pain and enhancing cooperation of the patient with abnormal invasive diagnostic and therapeutic interventions. Although most adverse effects resolve once the opioids are discontinued, opioids display fatality as adverse effects through respiratory depression, mental status changes, including impaired alertness, coma, and respiratory depression may lead to fatality. Consequently, opiates must be managed and used judiciously in perioperative situations. When using intravenous fentanyl, continuous monitoring of oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, and level of consciousness during the initial administration is

required. In addition, there are risks for hypotension, allergic, and histaminergic reactions with intravenous administration of morphine, fentanyl, and tramadol. For this purpose, morphine usage in supplementary spinal anesthesia at high doses, i.e., in combined spinal-epidural anesthesia at a dose of 30 mg, is an off-label use weakly recommended with some clinical evidence for the same and none strong enough. Hyperbaric intrathecal morphine has the best evidence concerning safety in joint replacement surgeries. Intravenous fentanyl is approved for perioperative ventral hernia repair management.
To assess the main and rare adverse effects in clinical trials evaluating anesthetic potency, major organ system functions are also evaluated. However, a lower frequency rate combined with the presence of a warning on drug labeling indicates higher rarity of adverse effects. Furthermore, given some of the adverse effects that can occur postoperatively or after hospital discharge, postmarketing surveillance studies are also necessary. In fact, a significant percentage of highly rare adverse effects are observed in postmarketing surveillance studies that were not observed in meta-analysis of clinical trials. The probability of developing major organ damage is based on the number of affected organs, the need for a change in treatment, and the need for hospitalization as a result of an adverse event. When necessary and appropriate, organ damage signals the need for regulatory assessment for drug licensing or post-marketing surveillance. Regulatory and post-marketing issues are discussed in the relevant section.

4.2. Patient Selection Criteria

There are parameters for selecting patients to undergo an anesthesia technique procedure to ensure safe and effective anesthesia practice. Patient-related assessment includes age, weight status, ASA status, and functional status. The history of the disease, physical examination, system laboratory assessment, and possible auxiliary tools are also required to ensure anesthesia and drugs can be given safely. The necessary technical conditions are the type and duration of the procedure as they can influence the plan for suitable drugs. If a combination of local anesthesia and intravenous conscious sedation is planned, general guidelines are available. A comprehensive pre-anesthetic assessment is desirable with thorough history and clinical examination, including the use of appropriate laboratory and radiological facilities.

Age per se is not shown to be an independent risk factor, but among patients of a comparable level of fitness, senior patients with emergency laparotomy were more likely to die or have major postoperative complications than younger patients. Coexisting disease is a leading source of postoperative morbidity and mortality but is important only in so far as it affects the functional capacity of an individual patient. Informed consent is undergone solely at patient discretion to comprehend the group of anesthesia. In the practice of anesthesia, a broader approach encompasses detailed, comprehensive surgical informed consent and shared decision-making and is quite challenging. It is important to provide care in a safer manner. Obtaining written informed consent in all patients undergoing cosmetic surgery and minor procedures is recommended. Patients' informed consent is to be obtained following thorough history taking and is required. The best practices include proper planning and resource awareness; systems for evaluation, verification, recognition, recording, training, and the need for highly trained

anesthesia and nursing professional personnel. Informed and shared decision-making coupled with patient management plans should be done. In broad categories of weight, the depth of anesthesia and drugs used and their side effect profiles do vary. Intravenous conscious sedation is potentially not safe for outpatient anesthesia in obese patients. Controversial concerns are about deciding the anesthetic drugs and the technique among both delirious and demented elderly patients and geriatric populations. Clearly, these series are neither predictive nor represent any study statistical trends. In fact, the orientation would be more a tribute of care than offering results.

5. Future Directions in Pain Management

In the not-so-distant future, innovative and groundbreaking approaches to pain management shall encompass the utilization of highly advanced targeted drug delivery systems. These state-of-the-art systems, utilizing cutting-edge technology and scientific advancements, will be intricately designed and engineered to administer medication with exceptional precision and accuracy, precisely where it is needed within the human body. This unparalleled level of specificity will revolutionize the field of pain management, as it ensures maximum effectiveness and therapeutic benefits while minimizing potential side effects and adverse reactions. Alongside this remarkable advancement in drug delivery systems, personalized medicine will emerge as a pivotal cornerstone in the field of pain management. Drawing on a comprehensive understanding of each individual patient's unique genetic makeup, medical history, and physiological characteristics, tailored treatment plans will be meticulously crafted to meet the specific needs and requirements of each person. This synergistic integration of personalized medicine and innovative drug delivery systems will transform the landscape of pain management, ushering in a new era of patient-centric care that prioritizes individuality, effectiveness, and overall well-being. Furthermore, the integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence and machine learning will further enhance the capabilities and efficacy of pain management approaches. These cutting-edge technologies will enable real-time monitoring and continuous adjustment of treatment plans, ensuring optimal outcomes and adapting to any changes or improvements in the patient's condition. The fusion of scientific knowledge, technological advancements, and personalized care will create a seamlessly interconnected ecosystem that empowers both healthcare providers and patients, enabling them to actively participate in their pain management journey and improving the overall quality of life for countless individuals. In conclusion, the future of pain management holds immense promise and potential. Through the utilization of highly advanced targeted drug delivery systems, personalized medicine, and the integration of innovative technologies, the field will undergo a transformative revolution. This revolution will redefine the standards of care, providing unparalleled relief and profound improvements in the quality of life for individuals suffering from acute or chronic pain. As research and development continue to push the boundaries of what is possible, the future shines brightly with hope and optimism for a world where pain is no longer a debilitating burden but a conquerable challenge.

References

An, M., Su, X., Wei, M., Zhang, B., Gao, F., Hu, B., ... & Li, C. (2020). Local anesthesia combined with intra-articular ropivacaine can provide satisfactory pain control in ankle arthroscopic surgery: a retrospective cohort study. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery, 28(2), 2309499020938122. sagepub.com

Brown, K. & Foronda, C. (2020). Use of virtual reality to reduce anxiety and pain of adults undergoing outpatient procedures. Informatics. mdpi.com

Hao, X., Ou, M., Zhang, D., Zhao, W., Yang, Y., Liu, J., ... & Zhou, C. (2020). The effects of general anesthetics on synaptic transmission. Current neuropharmacology, 18(10), 936-965. nih.gov

Michel-Levy, J. M. (2020). Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of local anesthetics. Topics in Local Anesthetics. intechopen.com

Muñoz-Leyva, F., El-Boghdadly, K., & Chan, V. (2020). Is the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) in acute pain a good measure of analgesic efficacy in regional anesthesia?. Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine, 45(12), 1000-1005. [HTML]

Zhang, A., Meng, K., Liu, Y., Pan, Y., Qu, W., Chen, D., & Xie, S. (2020). Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of nanocarriers in vivo and their influences. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 284, 102261. [HTML]

Zheng, T., Ye, P., Wu, W., Hu, B., Chen, L., Zheng, X., & Lin, M. (2020). Minimum local anesthetic dose of ropivacaine in real-time ultrasound-guided intraspinal anesthesia for lower extremity surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Annals of Translational Medicine, 8(14). nih.gov

التطورات في إدارة الألم: دراسة مقارنة لأدوية التخدير الحديثة

مقدمة

في مجال التمريض والطب، لا يمكن المبالغة في تقدير أهمية إدارة الألم. يصعب تعريف الألم المزمن والألم الحاد وعلاجهما، مما يؤثر على كل جانب من جوانب الحياة تقريبًا لملايين الأشخاص. لقد تغير ما يُعد إدارة للألم بمرور الوقت، حيث تتبنى ثقافات مختلفة وممارسو رعاية صحية مجموعة متنوعة من المنهجيات والفلسفات. في البداية، كان يُنظر إلى إدارة الألم غالبًا على أنها مرادفة لإدارة \الأذى\"، وكان الطبيب المعالج يشكك في مدى الانزعاج. يتطلب النموذج النفسي الحيوي فهمًا عميقًا لعلم وظائف الأعضاء وأسباب الألم. على هذا النحو، يجب أن تتكيف الرعاية الصحية مع خيارات إدارة الألم الأكثر فعالية مع توفر المزيد من التطورات التكنولوجية والمعلومات.

أدت التطورات الحديثة في البحث والابتكار إلى إحراز تقدم في إدارة الألم وفهم أكبر للأسباب العصبية والنفسية للألم المزمن. هناك حاجة إلى تعاون متعدد التخصصات للمساعدة في تقييم رعاية المرضى وضمان تخصيص الرعاية. للألم العديد من التجارب المختلفة، بما في ذلك الجسدية والعاطفية والفكرية والعقلية. عند تقييم وصياغة خطة لعلاج الألم المزمن، من الضروري اتباع نهج متعدد التخصصات ومواءمته مع العلاجات الطبية الحيوية الفردية. مع التطورات الحديثة في صناعة الأدوية وإدخال مناهج إدارة الألم غير الأفيونية، قد يستفيد المرضى من مجموعة متنوعة من مسكنات الألم. كانت الديناميكا الدوائية والديناميكا الدوائية لهذه الأدوية تطورًا حديثًا في مواجهة المعتقدات والتطلعات الحالية. بالإضافة إلى استخداماتها ونتائجها، يحلل هذا التحليل أدوية إدارة الألم الأفيونية وغير الأفيونية في مقارنة.