Interaction of endodontic irrigants: A review
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Abstract---Root canal therapy is to eliminate or at least reduce the number of microorganisms and remove inflamed or necrotic pulpal tissue. Root canal cleaning and disinfection during chemomechanical preparation relies heavily on irrigants because of the anatomic complexities of the pulp canal system. Although endodontic irrigants have been well characterized individually, combinations of the materials are not well understood. To know the interactions of different irrigants this review throws more light in depth to understand the interactions of irritants better.
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Introduction

The aim of root canal treatment is to eliminate or at least reduce the number of microorganisms and pathogens which causes inflammation, and remove inflamed or necrotic pulpal tissue. Root canal cleaning, shaping and disinfection during chemomechanical preparation relies mainly on irrigants because of the anatomic complexities of the pulp canal system. Biomechanical cleaning and shaping of the root canal system significantly decreases or reduces the number of bacteria [1], but the anatomical complexity of the root canal system, organic and inorganic residues and bacteria cannot be completely removed and may often persist [2] for which the use of irrigants plays a vital role. Mechanical instrumentation using files usually forms a smear layer on the canal surface [3]this can be flushed out with the use of irrigants.


**Ideal Requirements Of Root Canal Irrigants[4]**

1. It should have a broad antimicrobial spectrum.
2. It should be highly efficacy against anaerobic and facultative microorganisms organized in biofilms.
3. It should have the ability to dissolve necrotic radical at soft tissue remnants.
4. It should have the ability to inactivate endotoxin.
5. It should have the ability to reduce the formation of smear layer during instrumentation and/or to dissolve the smear layer once it has been formed.
6. It should be systemically non toxic when they come in contact with vital tissues, non caustic to periodontal tissues, and with little or no potential to cause an anaphylactic reaction.

Irrigants should contain or close to the above mentioned properties such as antimicrobial and tissue-dissolution actions as well as other properties, like lubrication, demineralisation, and the ability to remove debris and the smear layer [5]. Till date no irrigation solution has been found capable of demineralising the smear layer and dissolving organic tissue simultaneously with great efficiency [6] and without causing any irritation to the surrounding tissues. Mechanical instrumentation forms a smear layer on the canal walls[3]. Thus, chemical debridement in the form of various irrigants is performed to aid in removal of residual debris, necrotic pulpal tissue, microbes and smear layer. Therefore, the adjunctive use of chelating agents such as EDTA is suggested in order to remove and prevent the formation of the smear layer associated with root canal instrumentation [4].

In general, an irrigant solution is not completely flushed out from the root canal before applying the next irrigant. As a result, endodontic irrigants routinely come into contact with each other inside the root canal and can form by products [7,8]. These by products can be solid precipitates that may occlude the dentinal tubules, forming a barrier between the filling material and dentin surface and increasing the coronal microleakage [9–11]. In addition, the by products formed can also be toxic to the periapical tissues[3]. The aim of this review article is to characterize the by-products formed by the interaction between the most commonly used irrigants in endodontic practice.

**Sodium Hypochlorite**

The most common irrigant used in root canal treatment is sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) [12–28]. Hypochlorite preparations are sporicidal and virucidal and show far greater tissue dissolving effects on necrotic than on vital tissues. This main feature lead to the use of sodium hypochlorites as gold standard irrigant as early as 1920. There has been much controversy over the concentration of hypochlorite solutions to be used in endodontics. The antibacterial effectiveness and tissue dissolution capacity of hypochlorite is based on its concentration, and so is its toxicity [4]. NaOCl is an effective tissue solvent and antimicrobial agent [12–14]. Its germicidal ability is related to the formation of hypochlorous acid when in contact with organic debris. In high concentration NaOCl is toxic and can lead to inflammation of the periapical tissues[12,13,29,30] , whereas in low
concentrations it is ineffective against specific microorganisms [12]. NaOCl is not a substantive antimicrobial agent [31]; it tends to discolor [30] and corrode surgical instruments; and it has a very unpleasant odour [31].

**Interaction of NaOCl and Chlorhexidine**

Chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX) is widely used in disinfection of root canals because of its good antimicrobial activity [32–34]. It has gained considerable popularity in endodontics as an irrigating solution and as an intracanal medicament. CHX does not possess undesired characteristics compared to sodium hypochlorite (i.e., bad smell and strong irritation to periapical tissues). However, CHX has no tissue-dissolving capability and therefore it cannot replace sodium hypochlorite. The wide range of use is due to its substantivity (i.e., continued antimicrobial effect), because CHX binds to hard tissue and remains antimicrobial. However, similar to other endodontic disinfecting solutions, the activity of CHX depends on the pH and is greatly decreased in the presence of organic matter [34]. Several studies have compared the antibacterial effect of NaOCl and 2% CHX against intracanal infection and have shown little or no difference between their antimicrobial effectiveness [29,35–37]. CHX has the capacity to kill bacteria but unable to remove biofilm and organic debris. Residual organic tissue may have a negative effect on the quality of the seal by the permanent root filling, necessitating the use of NaOCl during instrumentation. However, CHX does not cause erosion of dentin like NaOCl hence used as the final rinse after EDTA, and therefore 2% CHX may be a good choice for maximised antibacterial effect at the end of the chemomechanical preparation [38].

Kuruvilla et al. [13] said that the antimicrobial effectiveness of 2.5% NaOCl and 0.2% chlorhexidine (CHX) used in combination was greater than used individually. The reaction between NaOCl and CHX produces a carcinogenic product, parachloroaniline (PCA), the potential leakage of which into the surrounding tissues is a concern. The precipitate formed is an insoluble neutral salt, due to the acid-base reaction between NaOCl and CHX. PCA is the main product of the interaction of NaOCl and CHX, and has the molecular formula NaC6H4Cl [7]. When mixed with NaOCl, CHX molecules become hydrolyzed into smaller fragments, each forming a byproduct. The first bonds to be broken in this reaction are those between carbon and nitrogen because of the low-bond dissociation energy between these two atoms. The presence of PCA was confirmed by the Beilstein test for the presence of chlorine and the HCl solubility test for the presence of aniline. Leaching of PCA from the insoluble precipitate formed is of concern because it has been shown to be cytotoxic in rats [39] and possibly carcinogenic in humans [40–42]. This reaction coats the canal surface and significantly occludes the dentinal tubules and affects the seal of the root canal [10].

The interaction of NaOCl and CHX solution resulted in orange-brown precipitate. Several products of chlorination were confirmed by mass spectrometry from oxidising agent NaOCl [43–45]. The orange brown colour may be associated with guanidine oxidation [46]. Basrani et al. [7] in their study using X-ray photon spectroscopy (XPS) and time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS) found the presence of para-chloroaniline on combining NaOCl and CHX.
Basrani et al [47] using Gas chromatography mass spectrometer found the presence of para-chloroaniline. The orange-brown colour can be associated with the guanidine oxidation[46].

The formation of the precipitate could be explained by the acid–base reaction that occurs when NaOCl and CHX are mixed. CHX, a dicatonic acid (pH 5.5–6.0) has the ability to donate protons. NaOCl is alkaline and can accept protons from the dicatonic CHX. This proton exchange results in the formation of a neutral and insoluble substance, referred to as the “precipitate.” It is assumed that this precipitate contains PCA.

In conclusion NaOCl mixed with CHX formed PCA, and the amount of PCA directly increased with the increment in the concentration of NaOCl. Although the precipitate being insoluble raises questions about leaching of PCA, the findings may be clinically relevant because PCA has been shown to be toxic[39,48]. As an aromatic amine, the primary toxic effect is methemoglobin formation [39]. Short-term exposure of humans to PCA results in cyanosis, which is a manifestation of methemoglobin formation [39]. Toxicological studies in rats and mice have shown that the hematopoietic system is the major target for PCA[39]. Further investigations of interaction between NaOCl and CHX and its by products should emphasise the bioavailability of PCA leaching out and cytotoxicity. In clinical scenario, it would be better to minimise its formation by washing away the remaining NaOCl with alcohol or EDTA, before using CHX.

**Interaction of NaOCl and EDTA**

Complete disinfection of the root-canal system necessitate the use of irrigants that vanish organic and inorganic material. As hypochlorite is active only against the former, other substances must be used to complete the removal of the smear layer and dentin debris. EDTA effectively dissolve inorganic material, including hydroxyapatite [3,6,49,50]. EDTA is used for 2 to 3 minutes at the end of instrumentation and after NaOCl irrigation. Removal of the smear layer by EDTA improves the antibacterial effect of locally used disinfecting agents in deeper layers of dentin [51,52].

Sodium Hypochlorite and EDTA are frequently used irrigating solutions. As they have different characteristics and tasks, it has been tempting to use them as a mixture. However, EDTA instantaneously reduces the amount of chlorine when mixed with sodium hypochlorite, resulting in the loss of NaOCl activity. Thus, these solutions should not be mixed [53].

EDTA is used commonly at concentrations of 15% or 17%. Aqueous solutions are prepared by dissolving the di- or trisodium salts, resulting in a neutral to slightly alkaline pH [3,54]. At this pH, the reaction between NaOCl and EDTA is exothermic [55], and mixing NaOCl with EDTA results in a rapid and dramatic decrease of free available chlorine [53,55,56]. Gas formation has been observed from this combination [57], and low level chlorine gas emissions have been measured [3]. This interaction has been attributed to an acid/base neutralization reaction between NaOCl and EDTA, with the formation of HOCl, which then subsequently decomposes, releasing chlorine and oxygen.
A solutions of increased alkalinity can be produced by mixing the tetrasodium salt of EDTA with NaOCl. This combination results in the longer maintenance of both free available chlorine and desirable pH conditions [58], although concentrations of both EDTA and NaOCl need to be reduced to obtain this result [59]. The pH of a 1:1 mixture of 5% NaOCl and 10% Na4EDTA falls from 11.9 at 10 min after mixing to 9.0 at one hour after mixing, during which period the remaining free available chlorine declines from 90% to 62% [58].

For mixtures of EDTA and NaOCl that have a low pH, the loss of free available chlorine significantly reduces the ability of NaOCl to dissolve organic tissue [3,52]. Thus, these irrigants should neither be mixed, nor come into contact with one another. The chelating ability of EDTA [60] and the disinfecting capacity of NaOCl are, however, maintained[3,60]. A case report attributing a subcutaneous emphysema to gas formation, resulting from the interaction of RC-Prep with NaOCl, has been reported [61]. RC-Prep contains both EDTA and urea peroxide and reactions of these components with NaOCl is possible.

**Interaction of EDTA and CHX**

When CHX and EDTA interact, a precipitate is formed that contains 90% CHX and EDTA, with less than 1% of the potential decomposition product, p-chloroaniline. The high recovery indicates that CHX is not degraded by EDTA under normal conditions. The precipitate is most likely a salt formed by electrostatic neutralisation of cationic CHX by anionic EDTA. A white milky precipitate produced in the association of EDTA with CHX solution and gel was analysed by ESI(+)-MS and found to be related to the acid-base reactions. Rasimick et al[8], who analysed the precipitate formed after mixing 17% EDTA with 2% or 20% CHX by using reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography and observed that more than 90% of the precipitate mass was either EDTA or CHX salt. The clinical significance of the EDTA/CHX precipitate is largely unknown. There are no published measurements of how much precipitate adheres to the root canal dentin. Furthermore, it is unknown if any adhering precipitate interferes with the apical seal.

**Interaction of NaOCl and MTAD (Mixture of Tetracycline, an Acid, And a Detergent)**

The recent arrival of MTAD [61–69], an endodontic irrigant commercially known as BioPure MTAD (Dentsply TulsaDental, Tulsa, OK) containing 3% doxycycline hyclate, 4.25% citric acid, and 0.5% polysorbate 80 detergent[70], represents an ingenious approach in simultaneous removal of endodontic smear layers and disinfection of root canals. MTAD has been shown to be a clinically effective [62,68,69] and biocompatible[67] endodontic irrigant with potential antibacterial substantivity [63,64]. It is notable that, this doxycycline-containing irrigant was found to be effective against E. faecalis in refractory root canal infections [6]. This experimental irrigant is now commercially known as BioPure MTAD (Dentsply TulsaDental, Tulsa, OK)[70]. For unintentional or accidental erosion of the intraradicular dentin and ideal removal of endodontic smear layers, an updated clinical regime has been proposed that involves the use of an initial rinse with 1.3% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for a approximate period of 20 minutes,
followed by the use of MTAD as the final rinse for an approximate period of 5 minutes [62–69]. Using this protocol for in vitro cleaning of root canals, it has recently been reported that intrinsic staining of dentin occurred after light exposure of the NaOCl- and MTAD irrigated coronal and intraradicular dentin [71]. This phenomenon occurred irrespective of whether the root canals were filled. A similar reaction between NaOCl and MTAD with the formation of a brown solution was also reported in few studies, in the absence of light exposure, when MTAD was employed as the initial rinse followed by the use of different concentrations of NaOCl as final rinses.

Bench-top reproductions of the phenomenon revealed that the interaction between NaOCl and MTAD [71] resembled the mechanism of tetracycline tooth staining and is caused due to the redox reaction occurring between NaOCl and MTAD, and adult onset pigmentation of teeth and bone [72,73]. The cause of the observed pigmentation has been studied previously, in which a red purple degradation product is produced as a by-product product of photo-oxidation of tetracycline. This by-product has a high affinity towards hydroxyapatite [74,75]. It is known that reactive oxygen species (ROS) derived from oxygen, hydroxyl radical and hydrogen peroxide can oxidise different types of tetracycline to yield quinone derivatives [76,77], with reductions in the antimicrobial potency in this class of substituted hydronaphthacene antibiotics [78]. In the context of endodontic irrigation, as the phenomenon may be prevented by treating the NaOCl-irrigated dentin with ascorbic acid, an anti-oxidant, before the application of MTAD [78], it was hypothesised that the photo-oxidative degradation process is triggered by the NaOCl functioning in the capacity of an oxidising agent [78,79]. Iatrogenic doxycycline staining of endodontically treated teeth are usually rare. As root canals are not directly exposed to light, concern over the potential iatrogenic doxycycline staining of endodontically treated teeth should not take precedence over the well-documented advantages of the NaOCl-MTAD irrigation regime in disinfecting bacteria-infected root canals [71]. Peroxidation of tetracycline leads to partial loss of its antimicrobial potency and its clinical implications and have not been fully investigated in the field of endodontics. Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications [80–89], [90–93], [94–98][99]

**Conclusion**

The aim of root canal therapy is to eliminate or at least reduce the number of microorganisms and remove inflamed or necrotic pulpal tissue. Root canal cleaning and disinfection during chemomechanical preparation relies heavily on irrigants because of the anatomic complexities of the pulp canal system. Although endodontic irrigants have been well characterized individually, combinations of the materials are not well understood. Irrigation plays a vital role in successful endodontic treatment. Available literature and studies demonstrate advantages and limitations of each irrigant under consideration, and none of them completely satisfy the requirements of the ideal root canal irrigant. Although NaOCl is the most significant irrigating solution, no single irrigant can accomplish all the tasks required by irrigation. Understanding the interaction of various available and commonly used solutions is important for optimal irrigation.
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