How to Cite:
Sahu, V., Srivastava, S. K., & Pandey, A. (2022). Role of porosity and water holding capacity of soil in nmdc dantewada of Chhattisgarh. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, *6*(S1), 8840–8847.
https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS1.7027

Role of porosity and water holding capacity of soil in nmdc dantewada of Chhattisgarh

Vijay Sahu
Department of Physics, Dr. CV Raman University, Bilaspur 495113, India
Corresponding Author email: vijaysahuphy@gmail.com

S.K. Srivastava
Department of Physics, Govt. P.G. College, Ambilaspur 497001, India

Ashutosh Pandey
Department of Physics, Dr. CV Raman University, Bilaspur 495113, India

***Abstract*---**An examination was done to concentrate on the physical and substance properties of soils and their relationship with water-holding limits along the altitudinal slope for developed soils of South Bastar Dantewada. The geography Dantewada is located at 18.9000°N 81.3500°E. [1] It has an average elevation of 351 meters (1154 feet). Dantewada city is situated on the river banks of the Shankani and Dankini rivers. The soil surface went from sandy topsoil to earth with somewhat acidic (7.6) to profoundly acidic (5.2) in response. The natural carbon content fluctuated from 1.22 to 3.45 % with a mean worth of 2.31 %. The mass thickness, molecule thickness, porosity, volume extension and water in air-dry soils went from 1.08 to 1.54 gm/cc, 2.05 to 2.77 gm/cc, 42.44 to 61.73 per cent, 2.95 to 11.9 % and 0.68 to 4.32 %, individually. The water-holding limit (WHC) went from 33 to 73.86 % with a mean worth of 54.18 %. The outcomes uncovered that20% of the soil tests have medium to high WHC while just 80% are of low class. A huge positive relationship was seen between WHC and natural carbon, mud and porosity, while, a negative relationship was found with pH and mass thickness with sand and residue content.

***Keywords*---**Porosity, Water Holding, Soil.

Introduction

The sandy rocky landform with focused energy of downpour fall regularly causes broad soil disintegration and weighty misfortunes of plant supplements by spillover/draining. Soils framed on the upper part of a slant are washed down

Manuscript submitted: 9 March 2022, Manuscript revised: 18 April 2022, Accepted for publication: 1 May 2022

and get kept at the foundation of the incline (Das, 2011). By and large, the ranchers of Dantewada develop natural product crops in upper heights and harvests like rice, vegetables, maize, and so forth in the centre and lower rise during the stormy season. Contingent on the accessibility of lingering dampness, ranchers develop vegetables and oilseed crops during winter. The usefulness of harvests in bumpy territory relies on the accessibility of soil dampness just as supplement status of soils. The accessibility of lingering dampness to crops during the winter season relies on the water-holding limit of the soil. This is controlled fundamentally by soil surface and natural matter and other soil properties.

Soil Structure, Density and Porosity

I the f combination of sand, residue and dirt particles in the soil stayed isolated as individual particles the more modest particles of mud and sediment would relocate into the openings between the bigger sand pieces to make a thick material like found at profundity in the subsoil of ordinary soil. A similarity is taking a canister of softballs, filling the container with marbles, shaking it till no more marbles can be added, and afterwards adding SCD pellets until any longer of them can't be added. This reproduction would have little porosity and is closely resembling a thick, compacted soil. Such soil would be extremely poor for root development as it would have little air or water development and much protection from root entrance. Great turf the board requires the utilization of social practices which will assist with switching the present circumstance.

Luckily soil particles will quite often assemble into larger, semi-super durable courses of action known as totals (lumps, peds, scraps). The aftereffect of accumulation is called soil structure and its significance is that it will in general make soils which are high in earth behave like sand as far as air and water development. There are various factors impacting the capacity of a soil to have a steady soil structure. The essential variable is soil natural matter whose breakdown items make a solidifying impact, holding the mineral particles together. Calcium, iron and the sort of mud likewise have an impact. It is necessary, however, to move the particles near one another before the solidifying activity can become successful. This development is achieved through root activity, soil organic entities like worms, freezing and defrosting, and wetting and drying of the soil. Of prime significance is the obstruction of the totals to crumbling under the horrendous powers of wind, downpour, vehicles and people walking through; the last two being the powers of worry on sports fields. Stability is firmly connected with the sum and sort of natural matter present, with turf giving the best method for advancing stable soil structure. In any case, under proceeded traffic, especially when the soil is unnecessarily wet, the construction can be annihilated and the soil will compact. Soil structure is of incredible importance where the soil contains residue and dirt. Soil structure, nonetheless, isn't a variable in sports fields built on sand as sands won't shape totals. Therefore, determination of the right size circulation of sands is basic to forestall the relocation of fine sand particles into the spaces between the bigger sand grains, making a thick root zone.

The level of compaction of soil is estimated by deciding the evident (mass) thickness of the soil. The assurance is a basic system, including the inclusion of a little (5-emdiam. X2-emdeep) ring into the soil. The ring is painstakingly uncovered, managed level at the top and base and dried for48hours at 100 C. The thickness is the dry load of the soil in each cubic centimeter of volume; this volume incorporates both strong particles and the spaces between the particles - the porosity. The more compact soil the more prominent the thickness; that is, the more noteworthy the heaviness of soil particles packed into each cubic centimeter and the more modest the air spaces (Table 1).

Table 1
The relationship between compaction, apparent density and porosity

Degree of Compaction	Apparent Density (gm/cm3)	Total Porosity (%)
Low	2.4	36.67
Medium	2.57	41.67
High	2.65	45.29

Soil Porosity

The main article in this series depicts the connection between mineral and natural material, air and water. The air and water in the soil existed in the pore space in the soil, consequently, a comprehension of soil porosity is a further advance in seeing great turf the executives. The size of the pore's ranges from submicroscopic among sediment and dirt particles to the exceptionally huge pores noticeable to the eye which are shaped by nightcrawlers and rotting root channels - regularly called bio pores for this conversation they might be isolated into two general gatherings - full-scale pores, the bigger pores, and miniature pores, the more modest scope of pores. Insightful strategies exist that grant the appraisal of the general level of each gathering of pores in a soil test. The all-out porosity might be determined straightforwardly from the thickness estimations. This single worth, notwithstanding, says nothing regarding the size of the pores which is significant in water development. Different strategies, which give an appraisal of the overall size of the pores depend on how much water is held in the soil in a holder, for example, the ring depicted above, when it is set in an extraordinary mechanical assembly to which attractions can be applied. At the point when the soil is soaked with water 100 per cent of the pores are loaded up with water, along these lines, the all-out pore space approaches the volume of water in a deliberate volume of soil. If the soil is permitted to deplete uninhibitedly until all the water that will stream out because of the draw of gravity has happened the full-scale pores will be vacant. The volume of water that is taken out in this interaction from a deliberate volume of soil is the large-scale porosity. Since the full-scale porosity in addition to the miniature porosity should approach the absolute porosity the miniature porosity is acquired by deduction. Full-scale porosity (non-fine porosity, air circulation porosity) is significant in the quick seepage of overabundance water from the soil after a weighty downpour or exorbitant water system. Fast expulsion of this water permits the air fundamental for root capacity to get back to the soil**.** Miniature porosity (narrow porosity) holds the water needed for plant development. It additionally becomes loaded up with air as the plant removes the water from these fine pores. The water in these pores isn't lost by the powers of gravity. Notwithstanding, the more modest the pores, the more prominent the trouble the plant encounters in removing the water. Compaction brings about a decrease in the number, size and progression of the soil pores. For the most part the large-scale pores will be annihilated first (Table l), reducing etherate of water infiltration and the seepage capacity of the soil, the air circulation of the soil and the capacity of roots to enter the soil. The absence of oxygen further diminishes the grass attaches capacity to infiltrate the soil. The more damp a soil the more effectively it very well may be compacted in light of the fact that the water goes about as an oil permitting the particles to draw into nearer courses of action. Luckily, the grass environment is perceived as the ideal framework for advancing soil structure, henceforth decreasing the evident thickness of the soil and expanding the porosity of the soil, especially the large-scale porosity. Henceforth utilizing social practices which favor enthusiastic turf development, joined with satisfactory seepage, is the best protection framework against compaction.

Materials and Methods

The review region was situated in Dantewada is located at 18.9000°N 81.3500°E.[1] It has an average elevation of 351 meters. Longitude 21 soil tests from furrow layer (0-30 cm) were gathered from various areas of developed fields at various heights with the assistance of GPS (Gramin 76CSX) (Table.1). The gathered soil tests were independently air-dried ground and went through 2 mm size sifter for research center examination. Molecule size circulation was

Table 2
Site characteristics

Elevation	No. of soil Sample	Range of altitude (feet)
Lower	5	984-1484
Middle	11	1484-2282
Higher	5	2282-2782

Done by the standard Bouyoucos hydrometer strategy (Day, 1965) Soil not set in stone by glass anode with calomel as standard (Jakson, 1973). Natural carbon was assessed by wet assimilation technique for Walkey and Black (Jackon, 1973). Mass thickness, molecule thickness, volume development, all out porosity and water holding limit not entirely set in stone by techniques for Keen box (Piper, 1966). Connection coefficient esteems between water holding limit and every one of the relating applicable soil properties was determined keeping the guideline techniques portrayed by Gomez and Gomez (1976).

Results and Discussion

Physical and substance properties of soils: Data of water holding limit and other physical and synthetic properties of the soils of a 21 chose areas of Dantewada of Chhattisgarh are introduced in Table 2. The information show that soil surface fluctuated from sandy topsoil to mud. The majority of the examples have a place with better surface in lower rise and converse in higher height. The worth of pH ranges from 5.2 – 6.8 with a mean of 6.12in the higher height, though, a similarly higher pH esteem was recorded in center and lower rise (Table 2) The pH in center and lower rise went from 5.6-7.6 and 5.3 – 7.1 with separate mean worth of 6.19 and 6.16 The outcome demonstrates that soil corrosiveness is more in higher heights when contrasted with lower elevation (Pradhanet al.1996). This occurred because of expulsion of bases from higher height by draining. The natural carbon status of soil tests of lower zone went from 0.15-0.9 % with a mean worth of 0.48 %. With expanding in height, the natural carbon content was diminished which went from 0.3-0.45 with mean worth of 0.39 % in center and from 0.15-0.9 with mean worth of 0.66 % in higher rise. The natural carbon status of the soils in lower heights was higher on account of escalated trimming related with use of natural excrements by the ranchers during development of various harvests in their field just as transportation and draining of bases and mud particles from upper rise to bring down rise. Debnathet al. (2009) additionally announced higher measure of natural carbon in rice developing soils of south Bastar Dantewada zone where the ranchers ordinarily apply more natural fertilizer.

Table 3
Water holding capacity and physical and chemical properties of the study area

Sl. No.	pH	Org. C (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Textural Class	Bulk Density (g/cc)	Particle Density (g/cc)	Maximum Water Holding Capacity (%)
Lower Elevation									
1	5.3	0.6	60	28	12	Silty Loam	1.52	2.61	48.71
2	6.6	0.9	50	24	26	Loam	1.50	2.53	46.14
3	5.9	0.15	70	12	18	Sandy Loam	1.54	2.56	39.60
4	7.1	0.3	42	18	40	Clay Loam	1.45	2.65	54.76
5	5.9	0.45	36	34	30	Silty Clay Loam	1.45	2.65	55.26
Middle elevation									
6	5.8	0.9	40	24	36	Clay Loam	1.46	2.64	54.80
7	6.1	0.3	68	12	20	Loam	1.52	2.40	47.58
8	5.6	0.9	50	22	28	Clay Loam	1.48	2.62	52.33
9	5.7	0.45	60	20	20	Loam	1.52	2.58	45.42
10	6.1	0.9	50	16	34	Clay Loam	1.46	2.65	53.84
11	6.5	0.6	72	12	16	Sandy Loam	1.52	2.55	40.51

12	6.1	0.6	74	10	16	Sandy Loam	1.52	2.52	39.20
13	7.6	0.9	80	6	14	Sandy Loam	1.52	2.58	41.86
14	6.2	0.9	76	12	12	Loamy Sand	1.52	2.53	34.63
15	5.6	0. 1 5	72	14	14	Sandy Loam	1.52	2.52	41.20
16	6.8	0.75	82	6	12	Loamy Sand	1.52	2.51	35.23
Higher elevation									
17	5.2	0.3	56	18	26	Loam	1.52	2.60	46.05
18	6.3	0.45	52	18	30	Clay loam	1.48	2.64	53.26
19	6.5	0.3	80	9	11	Loamy Sand	1.52	2.54	39.20
20	6.8	0.45	82	8	10	Loamy Sand	1.52	2.55	38.00
21	5.8	0.45	48	16	36	Clay Loam	1.45	2.58	49.66

Dirt substance in soils impacts the water holding limit and water maintenance properties in soils. Content of dirt in the lower height went from 15-34 % with a mean worth of 24%. With an expansion in rising the mud content was diminished which went from 14 - 28.5 % with a mean worth of 21% in center height and 13 - 27 % with a mean worth of 17.12 % in upper rise. However, a converse pattern was found for sand content. The height variety uncovered that earth content step by step increments from higher rise to bring down rise. The outcome is in concurrence with prior works of Parihar (2004), Chen et al. (2003), Tiwari and Bajpai (2004) and Tahboubet al. (2008). The mass thickness, molecule thickness and porosity are generally viewed as significant factors contributing to the water holding limit of the soil. The mean mass thickness esteems in lower, center and higher heights were 1.22, 1.29 and 1.33 gm/cc, individually. There was very little variety regarding porosity which went from 42.4 60% with a mean worth of 54.3 % in various rises. In any case, the outcomes uncovered that 90% of soils having a lot of porosity which show higher water holding limit of soils. Water holding limit (WHC) of soils: Water holding limit of soil impacts crop development, attaching example and capacity to supply water to crops during dry period. The information on mean water holding limit in soils showed that the soils of lower rise have particularly higher level of water holding limit (ran between 39.6-55.26 % with the mean48.89 worth of %) (Table 2) than those of center rise (34.63-54.8 % with a mean worth of 44.23 %) and higher height (38-53.26 % with a mean worth of 45.23 %). Independent, all things considered, the scope of water holding limit was 34.63-55.26 % with a mean worth of 45.58 %. Relating the WHC with the height, it was seen that the mean level of WHC diminishes with expansion in elevation which verify with the investigation of Guo et al. (2011) and Bisht and Bhat (2010).

From an investigation, Tandel et al. (2009) revealed that the greatest improvement in water holding limit was recorded in the higher height of informative ranch, ASPEE College of Horticultural and Forestry, Navsari, Gujrat with utilization of natural matter. The soils of present review were ordered into low, medium and high WHC considering the water system planning by ranchers. The outcomes uncovered that a very huge extent of the soils was under medium to high classification of WHC. Out of 21 soils just 9 examples were in the class of low WHC (< 45%) and six examples in the classifications of medium (45 - 50 %) and rest six number of tests in the classification of high WHC (> 60%). Connection between water holding limit and soil attributes: The water holding limit was viewed as certain and fundamentally related with natural carbon (r= 0.8010* *), mud (r= 0.6236**), water in air dry soil (r= 0.5712*) and porosity (r= 0.5717*) (Table 3). Nonetheless, a negative relationship of WHC was likewise seen with pH (- 0.2037), sand (- 0.3152), sediment (- 0.2998), mass thickness (- 0.2677) as sand has lower explicit surface region when contrasted with dirt. Comparable connections were additionally announced by Upadhyaya et al. (2003) and Paudel and Sah (2003). The WHC increments with expanding the degree of natural carbon and mud (Ramesh et al. 2008). To concentrate on the impact of the physio-compound properties on the consistency of water holding limit in the soils of various heights, step savvy different relapse examination has additionally been finished. The processed relapse conditions alongside their commitment ($R^2 \times 100$) are introduced in Table 3. The extremely low expectation esteem because of pH has been noticed for the soils of various heights. Consideration of natural carbon, sand, sediment, earth content and all out porosity has expanded the consistency of water holding limit up to 91.8%. The outcomes accordingly demonstrate that the natural carbon, mud content, sand and porosity were the key soil properties for consistency of water holding limit of soil. The outcomes further uncovered that a few extra boundaries excluded from the review may be affecting the water holding limit of the researched soils.

Conclusion

The investigation of soil's physical and synthetic properties is crucial along with the different land that is known for South Bastar Dantewada. The impact of various physical and substance properties on the water-holding limit concerning elevations demonstrates that the natural carbon, the content of dirt and sand and porosity straightforwardly impact the water-holding limit of soil. In sandy land, the water-holding limit of soil diminishes with expanding elevation. which can be used to develop microwave remote sensing devices. These findings are beneficial to agricultural scientists as well as scientists who work in the field of remote sensing.

Future Scope

Soil testing is important for diversified reasons. Starting from crops growth optimization to environment protection, everywhere quality of the soil plays a leading role. Hence, the data from this study may help in future to improve the quality of the soil by implementing soil development strategies. Moreover, the presented data also may help in the further research on the same topic in the coming future that may help other researchers

References

1. Bisht, A.S. and Bhatt, A.B. (2010). Physico-chemical status of forest soils along altitudinal gradient in Western Uttarakhand Himalayas. Indian J. Ecol.37(1):65-69.
2. Chen,H., Ping, H., YanPing, S. and Ming., H.(2003). Physico-chemical properties of the main agro-soils in Jiading District, Shanghai.J. Shanghai Jiaotong Universities Agric. Sci. 21(4): 313-319.
3. Day, P.R. (1965). Particle fraction and particle-size analysis.In Methods of Soil Analysis (C.A. Black, Ed.) Agronomy No.9,PartI.American Society of Agronomy,Madison.WI,pp.545-567.
4. Das, D.K. (2011). Introductory Soil Science, KalyaniPublishers,New Delhi.
5. Debnath, P., Mahanta, M. and Ghosh, S.K. (2009).Distribution of available boron in the selected surface and subsurface soils of Terai zone of West Bengal in relation to physico-chemical properties.J. Maharastra Agric. Universities 3, 357 – 358.
6. Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1976).Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research.2nded IRRI. Los Banos. Philippines.
7. Guo, Q., Wang, D., Fang,K.andBao,J.(2011). Dependence of soil physical properties on altitudes in pinusaramandii forest ecosystem.Bioinformatics and Biomedical engineering,5th international conference.10-12th may,2011, Yangling, China
8. Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
9. Parihar, S.S. (2004). Physico-chemical properties of soil and grain yield of rice (Oryzasativa) as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nutrients.Annals of Agric. Res. 25: 408-411.
10. Paudel, S. and Sah, J.P. (2003).Physiochemical characteristics of soil in tropical sal (Shorearobusta) forest in Eastern Nepal.Himalayan Journal of Science 1:107
11. Piper, C.S.(1966). Soil and Plant Analysis, Hans Publishers, Bombay.
12. Pradhan,Y.,Sachan,R,S. and Avasthe,R,K.(1996).Relationship between altitude and status of zinc and copper in some soils of Sikkim. J. of Hill Res. 9(1): 11-17.
13. Rajeswar, M. and Khan,M.A.A.(2008).Characterization and classification of forest soils of Nizamabad district of Andhra Pradesh.Asian J. Soil Sci. 3(1): 11-16.
14. Ramesh,V., Ballol,S.S., Sharma,K.L., Kausalya, R., Korwar,G.R. and Ramakrishna,Y.S.(2008). Characterization of soil for physical properties under different land use systems. Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res. Dev. 23(1): 102-109.