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Abstract---Corporate governance is a centuries-old concept, but it has gained significant impetus in India during the last two decades. This article examines the corporate governance practises of Bangalore’s top ten information technology firms. We discover that all information technology companies adopt some form of corporate governance, at the very least as stated in their annual reports to comply with regulatory obligations. We discover that corporate governance policies have a significant impact on a company's performance. We observe that the corporations have no immediate intentions to significantly alter their corporate governance processes.
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Introduction

Corporate governance is concerned with striking a balance between economic and social goals, as well as personal and communal goals. Corporate governance is in place to improve resource efficiency while also requiring accountability for environmental stewardship. For a long period of time, both political and academic circles used the term "governance" to refer to the process of running a government or other relevant organisation. Thus, corporate governance is the process by which persons in positions of power control, oversee, and lead organisations, thereby establishing, changing, or eliminating the structures and processes that enable them to function. The primary purpose of corporate leadership is to create profit legally and ethically. This result in a high level of satisfaction for five constituencies, according to Abidin (2009): consumers, employees, investors, vendors, and the larger community.
The Importance of Corporate Governance

1) It shapes the growth and future of the economy's capital markets,
2) It assists companies in raising capital from capital markets,
3) It connects the enterprise's management to its financial reporting system,
4) It improves the enterprise's efficiency and effectiveness, as well as the economy's wealth,
5) It improves the corporate sector's international image and enables domestic companies to raise global capital, and
6) It assists management in making innovative decisions that promote the economy's prosperity.

The IT sector's contribution to the economy can be classified into two categories: growth of the IT industry and distribution of IT (Madan Mohan, 2015). The former relates to the direct contribution of IT industries, whilst the latter refers to the indirect involvement of IT in other sectors of the economy. At the moment, the primary driver is the growth of the information technology industry, although IT has permeated other sectors of the economy. IT is seeing higher turnover rates over shorter time periods while simultaneously giving employment opportunities for a huge number of workers, reshaping traditional employment trends. Its effect is so extensive that the country's several politically, culturally, and linguistically distinct regions have been prepared to embrace it, and some have already done so, in a variety of spheres of labour and for the sake of smooth and efficient governance. (Venkatram Rengan, 2013) As seen by Bangalore's Whitefield Technological Park, Mumbai's Millennium Business Park, Chennai's Tidal Park, and Hyderabad's High-Tech City, these cities (sub-cities) are occasionally referred to as "Cyber City," "Smart City," or "High Tech City." Cities like Hyderabad, Bangalore, Chennai, and Pune are transforming at a breakneck pace.

Review of Literature

According to Zingales (1998), "ownership location, capital structure, managerial incentive schemes, takeovers, board of directors, institutional investor pressure, product market competition, labour market competition, organisational structure, and so on, can all be thought of as institutions that affect the process by which quasi-rents are distributed" (p. 4). As a result, he defines "corporate governance" as "the complex set of limitations that shape ex-post negotiation over a firm's quasi-rents" (p. 4). Williamson (1970) makes a similar argument. Garvey and Swan (1994) say that "governance dictates how the firm's top decision makers (executives) actually implement such contracts" (p. 139). Additionally, they observe that governance is relevant only when such contracts are insufficient, and that as a result, executives "no longer resemble the Marshallian entrepreneur" (p. 140).

Shleifer and Vishny (1997) describe corporate governance as "the processes through which lenders of capital to firms ensure that they will receive a return on their investment" (p.737). Caramanolis-Côtelli (1995) proposes a similar notion, in which corporate governance is defined by the equity allocation between insiders (including executives, CEOs, directors, and other individual, corporate, or institutional investors associated with management) and outside investors. John and Senbet (1998) offer a more complete definition, stating that "corporate governance is concerned with the means by which shareholders exert control over corporate insiders and management in order to safeguard their interests" (p. 372).
Not only shareholders, but also debt holders and non-financial stakeholders like employees, suppliers, consumers, and other interested parties are considered stakeholders. Hart (1995) expresses a similar sentiment, stating that "corporate governance challenges occur in an organisation whenever two circumstances exist." To begin, there is an agency issue, or conflict of interest, involving members of the organisation — owners, managers, employees, or consumers. Second, because of the high transaction costs, this agency problem cannot be resolved through a contract (p. 678).

They explored whether there is a cross-sectional relationship between governance and performance of Indian enterprises using market-based measures of performance Tobin's question. Joe Duke II et al. (2011) attempted to establish a link between corporate governance and organisational performance in their article. The study discovered a substantial association between a variety of corporate governance characteristics and business performance metrics, as well as no material changes in financial reporting liability between quoted and unquoted enterprises. Additionally, the study recommended a combination of principles- and rules-based approaches for dealing with governance infractions; mandatory self-reporting of the extent to which companies adhere to governance codes in their annual reports; and the establishment of rigorous standards for non-executive and independent board members selection.

Masood Fooladi Chaghadari (2011) undertook an investigation into the relationship between corporate governance and firm performance. The study focused primarily on four board characteristics. They were independence of the board of directors, CEO duality, ownership structure, and board size. A random sample of companies listed on Bursa Malaysia was considered, and linear multiple regression was used to determine the relationship between CEO duality and firm performance (Return on Equity and Return on Asset), but there was no significant relationship between board independence, board size, and ownership structure as independent variables and firm performance as dependent variable.

Research Methodology

The research aims to address five corporate governance-related problems in the top 10 information technology corporations. The following are the five inquiries:

- Is due diligence being conducted on corporations' corporate governance practices?
- How are they going about it?
- Why are they behaving this way?
- What effect does it have on performance?
- What are your future plans?

These five questions were chosen after conducting a review of the existing research and consulting with experts. Key phrases for several corporate governance questions were chosen and used in the study based on the strategic literature. Bengaluru is India's Silicon Valley, with leading IT companies and top talent from throughout the country congregating in the city. Even in the city's emerging areas, the best enterprises have grown and established bases. This has
resulted in the creation of occupations that attract talent. From 2011 to 2020, the top ten information technology enterprises are defined by their total revenue. We chose a ten-year horizon since it is a reasonable time range for business performance. Only those organizations are selected that have continuously been listed among the top IT businesses each year, and a year's absence disqualifies an organization. Certain freshly created information technology companies were omitted from the list due to their absence during the ten-year period. A list of information technology firms is included in the annexure. This analysis is based on secondary data gleaned from annual reports and company websites of information technology companies.

Findings and Discussion

The current state of corporate governance, i.e. Are they doing it?

In today's economic environment, all IT organizations, regardless of industry, are required to practice corporate governance, at the very least as indicated in their annual reports. In our analysis of Bangalore's top ten IT firms.

How are they going about it?

- Compliance with a plethora of laws and regulations is a vital component of the corporate governance operations of an IT company.
- Certain information technology enterprises do not have a pay committee; instead, the government sets their salaries.
- SEBI has developed guidelines governing the board of directors' composition. Certain information technology businesses have directors who hold more than the maximum number of directorships permitted.
- Certain organizations in the information technology industry may not adhere to the greatest standards of transparency when it comes to board participation, disclosure, or compensation rules.
- While the majority of information technology businesses have disclosure requirements, disclosure is limited to what is essential to safeguard the information technology company's interests.
- Our review of annual reports demonstrates that Infosys and Wipro adhere to and carefully follow corporate governance rules.

Why are they committing this act?

- The major motivation for corporate governance procedures is the stakeholders' interest.
- The information technology industry receives financial incentives to adhere to good corporate governance procedures in the form of carbon credits.
- Corporate governance enables parent IT organizations to maintain correct synchronization of their various divisions and resource allocation.
- The primary benefit of corporate governance is a competitive advantage or the development of a brand's reputation.
- Generally, in business-to-business transactions, the corporate governance image plays a critical role in customer retention and acquisition.
Government banks adhere to corporate governance principles in order to be transparent and to the letter of the law.

The effect on performance

On the performance front, we see that corporate governance policies have a considerable impact on a company's success. With the exception of a few information technology firms, the impact has always been positive. Corporate governance impacts performance in the following ways:

- By utilizing transparency and other ethical business practices, we can cultivate a positive brand image among stakeholders.
- Bringing an organization's structure into order.
- Defining the company's vision and mission.
- Compliance with regulations benefits the IT firm's reputation.
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Figure 1. The Frequency of the Keywords used in different Corporate Governance Studies

Future plans

Except for a few IT businesses, where the future direction is determined by the customer, the remaining companies do not appear to have any plans to change their corporate governance methods in the near future.

Conclusion & directions for future research

We discover that all IT organizations, regardless of industry, practice some form of corporate governance, if only to meet with regulatory requirements as indicated in their annual reports. The primary reason for corporate governance practices is to protect the interests of stakeholders. According to our review of annual reports, IT firms such as Infosys and Wipro adhere to and strictly implement corporate
governance standards. Carbon credits provide financial incentives for the information technology industry to adhere to strong corporate governance practices. Corporate governance rules, we uncover, have a considerable impact on the success of information technology firms. We see that information technology corporations have no immediate plans to dramatically alter their corporate governance practices. The purpose of this study was to address five major concerns about corporate governance in Bangalore's top ten information technology firms. Additional research could delve deeper into each of the topics raised here.

The top 10 it companies in Bangalore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wipro.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infosys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accenture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognizant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capgemini.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisco.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindtree.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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