#### How to Cite:

Karkar, M., Sharma, S., Jadhav, P. S., Singh, A., Gupta, N. C., & Nuthalapati, S. C. (2022). Evaluation of effect of early nasal layer closure on definitive repair in cleft palate patients: An original research. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(S1), 11143–11151. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS1.7676

# Evaluation of effect of early nasal layer closure on definitive repair in cleft palate patients: An original research

#### Dr. Monal Karkar

Assistant Professor, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Pravara Medical Institute, Rural Dental College, Loni. Corresponding author email: monalk81290@gmail.com

#### Dr. Shelly Sharma, M.D.S

Assistant Professor, Department of Oral and MaxilloFacial Surgery, Subharti Dental College & Hospital, Swami Vivekananda Subharti University, Meerut. doctor.shellysharma@gmail.com

#### Dr. Pradnya S Jadhav

Assistant Professor, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Government Dental College and Hospital, Aurangabad. drpradnya789@gmail.com

### Dr. Abhimanyu Singh

P.G student, Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Saraswati Dental College, Lucknow.

abhimanyusingh.2405@gmail.com

# Dr. Nitish Chandra Gupta, M.D.S

Assistant Professor, Department of Oral and MaxilloFacial Surgery, Subharti Dental College & Hospital, Swami Vivekananda Subharti University, Meerut. ngngnitish@gmail.com

### Dr. Sriram Choudary Nuthalapati

Reader, Dept of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Dental College & Hospital, Siruvachur, Chennai - Trichy national highway, Perambalur, Tamilnadu. drsriramchoudary87@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: Dr. Monal Karkar, Assistant Professor, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Pravara Medical Institute, Rural Dental College, Loni. monalk81290@gmail.com

**Abstract**---Introduction: Cleft of lip and palate are most common serial congenital anomalies to affect the orofacial region. It can occur

Manuscript submitted: 27 March 2022, Manuscript revised: 9 April 2022, Accepted for publication: 18 May 2022 11143

International Journal of Health Sciences ISSN 2550-6978 E-ISSN 2550-696X © 2022.

isolated or together in various combination and/or along with other congenital deformities particularly congenital heart diseases. Patient with oro-facial cleft deformity needs to be treated at right time and at right age to achieve functional and esthetic well being. The cleft palate is one of the most common congenital anomalies treated by plastic surgeons. The cleft width increases the tension of repair and necessitates excessive dissection that might affect maxillary growth. Decreasing the width of cleft minimize tension, dissection and may limit the impact on maxillary growth. Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of nasal layer closure of the hard palate at the time of cleft lip repair in patients with complete and incomplete cleft lip and palate, to demonstrate the efficacy of narrowing the gap and to reduce the incidence of fistulae or other complications. Material and Methods: Sixty patients less than 1 year of age were included in this prospective observational study. A superiorly based vomer flap was used to repair the nasal layer of the cleft hard palate at the time of primary cleft lip repair. 12-14 weeks after the vomer flap, the cleft soft and hard palate was definitively repaired. Alveolar and palatal gaps were recorded during the 1st and  $2^{nd}$  operations to demonstrate the reduction of the gap defect. Results: The mean reduction of the alveolar cleft width in patients who had a vomer flap in the first stage was 4.067 mm and the mean reduction of the palatal gap was 4.517 mm. Only 8 patients developed small fistula on the repaired nasal layer that was discovered and corrected during definitive palatoplasty. Conclusion: Nasal layer closure is a simple surgical technique that can be used to close the hard palate at the time of cleft lip repair. It is a valuable addition to cleft lip and palate repair that may prevent some cleft palate surgical complications.

*Keywords*---Cleft lip, Cleft palate, Nasal layer, Primary repair, Two-stage.

#### Introduction

Cleft of lip and palate are most common serial congenital anomalies to affect the orofacial region. It can occur isolated or together in various combination and/or along with other congenital deformities particularly congenital heart diseases. Patient with oro-facial cleft deformity needs to be treated at right time and at right age to achieve functional and esthetic well being. Successful management of the child born with a cleft lip and palate requires coordinated care provided by a different specialties including oral/maxillofacial number of surgery, otolarvngology, genetics/dysmorphology, speech/language pathology. orthodontics, prosthodontics, and other. Cleft lip and palate, most common congenital cranio-facial anomalies treated by plastic surgeons<sup>1</sup>. The incidence of cleft varies by race: it is estimated to be 1:750 live births in Caucasians, 1:2000 live births in patients of African descent, and 1:500 live births in those of Southeast Asian descent<sup>2</sup> (Fig 1). Treatment of cleft palate has developed over an extended time. The goal in the design of the recent palato-plasty is no longer the perfect closure of the cleft palate but rather an optimal speech outcome without

hazarding maxillofacial growth<sup>3</sup>. The outcome of repairing the cleft defect depends on several factors such as cleft morphology, operator experience, selection of operative technique, and timing and sequence of surgical repair. The timing of treatment is the most variable factor, dependent upon parental preferences, including sometimes difficult discussions, and differing judgments that are not merely medical<sup>4</sup>. The list of surgical techniques used in the palatal cleft is extensive. The repair differs depending upon whether the cleft is an isolated cleft palate or part of a unilateral or bilateral cleft lip and palate. The initial vomer flap, which was first introduced by Pichler in 1926, was defined as an inferiorly based pattern flap: an incision is created high on the septum, and the flap is reflected downward to give a single layer closure on the oral side $^{3,5,6}$ . With this technique, high percentage of maxillary retrusion, presumably from injury to the vomerpremaxillary suture, as well as a high fistula rate was noted<sup>7,8</sup>. According Hoffman et al<sup>9</sup>, a similar problem has not been found with the superiorly based vomer flaps. This procedure includes reflecting the mucosa of the septum near the cleft margin, dissecting just sufficient to close the nasal mucosa of the opposite side. In the bilateral cleft palate, this requires a midline incision along the septum, and the two flaps are reflected in each direction. This procedure produces a two-layered closure with a low fistula rate and limited impact on maxillary growth<sup>9</sup>. There are currently two common approaches to the timing of cleft palate repair: two-stage repair and single-stage repair. The dilemma of maxillary growth following cleft palate has directed some surgeons to support a two-stage repair. The general protocol, originally introduced by Schwekendiek and Doz, entailed repair of the soft palate at the same time as the cleft lip repair, around 4-6 months.

The hard palate was obturated and repaired at about 4-5 years of age. Earlier ages have subsequently been proposed for hard palate repair, usually around 18--24 months. The rationale for this approach has been that the hard palate cleft narrows during the time between procedures, requiring less dissection and thus resulting in less maxillary growth disturbances<sup>10</sup>. In this study, simultaneous repair of cleft lip and nasal layer of the hard palate by incorporating a superiorly based vomerine mucoperiosteal flap for patients who present with a complete cleft lip and palate has been adopted. The first stage was done at the time of cleft lip repair, and the second stage (which involves complete and incomplete hard and soft palate repair) was done nearly 12-14 weeks after the first operation. This study aimed to evaluate the short-term effects of this repair, to show the efficacy of narrowing the gap and to reduce the incidence of fistulae or other complications.

#### **Material and Methods**

This prospective study included 60 patients (38 males and 22 females) who presented with congenital complete unilateral cleft lip and palate who underwent surgical repair in the period between January 2015 and December 2018. A simultaneous vomer flap to repair the hard palatal defect was used at the time of lip repair. Inclusion criteria were the patients older than 3 months with complete and incomplete unilateral cleft lip and palate had no other facial anomalies, nor any previous surgery or interventions. All patients were subjected to routine preparative examinations and investigations, including, hemoglobin level,

#### 11146

bleeding profile, and virology screen. All operations were done under general anesthesia, with endotracheal intubation. Dingman's retractor was used to open the oral cavity and packing around the endotracheal tube was secured. In this study, Castroviejo Screw-Locking Caliper was used to measure the gap width preoperatively in two points, denoting the width of the gap between the alveolar ridge. Marking of the anterior and posterior edges of the vomer was done, and the junction between the vomerine mucoperiosteal flap and the oral layer of the hard palate on the non-cleft side was marked. Using methylene blue dye, incision was marked starting at the alveolar cleft on the non-cleft side passing back through the junction between the vomer and the oral mucosa of the palatal shelf till the posterior end of the vomer. A back cut was added at the posterior edge of the vomer to ease the dissection, and to allow flipping the vomerine mucoperiosteal flap across the gap. Infiltration with 1% lidocaine and 1/200,000 adrenaline was injected along the incision line and beneath the flap, to allow a bloodless dissection field, and to benefit from hydro-dissection. Using the number 15 blade, the vomer was incised at the junction with palatal shelf, and the flap was then elevated cranially by using the periosteal elevator. Dissection was extended in a cranial direction just enough to allow flipping the flap horizontally across the cleft. Then the oral and nasal mucoperiosteal flap of the palatal shelf on the cleft side was dissected free using a periosteal elevator; usually dissection here is limited to no more than 5 mm just to allow insetting the vomerine flap sandwiched between these two layers. A 4-0 polyglycolic suture is used to stitch the vomerine flap to the dissected oral and nasal flap of the cleft side. The suture is fashioned in a horizontal mattress pattern, starting at the oral mucosa of the cleft through the vomerine flap, and going out through the nasal flap. Then going back the same pathway in reverse, going in from nasal layer tacking the vomer flap and out through the oral mucoperiosteal flap. This pattern of suture allows the vomerine flap to insert sandwiched between the oral and nasal layer of the cleft side. Usually, three to four horizontal stitches are given to secure the flap. Hemostasis is secured and the Dingman's retractor removed. Finally, the cleft lip repair was completed using the Modified Millard Rotation Advancement technique. Lip repair dressing was accomplished with steri-strips.

Patients were kept on injectable antibiotics (3rd generation cephalosporins) for the first postoperative day and then discharged home on the second postoperative day on oral suspension antibiotic Cefixime 100 mg/5 mL. Parents were instructed to keep the child on a liquid diet, to feed him using a spoon followed by plain water for at least 3 weeks. On the 7th postoperative day, patients were seen for follow up, and for suture removal from the lip. The patient follow ups continue regularly every 2 weeks for the first month, then monthly until the time of definitive palatal repair, which was planned 12-14 weeks after the first surgery. During follow up, flap necrosis, bleeding, and the development of a fistula was checked. In the second and the final surgery, the definitive palatal repair was accomplished by Bardach's two-flap technique and the soft palate was repaired in a straight line with a reconstruction of the muscle sling by intravelar veloplasty. The epithelialized area on the oral surface of vomer flap was carefully dissected to remove epithelium to allow contact of raw area between flaps. Measurement of the alveolar and palatal gaps was done to compare it with the first readings and to show the reduction in the gap width after the implication of vomer flap to the defect. In each case, information about the patient was obtained in the form of a

preoperative questionnaire, included age, sex, address, mobile phone number, any family history of cleft, side of the cleft lip-palate, other associated congenital anomalies, other illness, physical findings, preoperative investigations, operative procedure, postoperative complications, and follow-up (12-14 weeks). Statistical analyses showed p-value less than 0.05 therefore it was statistically significant.

#### Results

The mean age of the patients was 6.1 months: the majority of patients were within 3 months to 6 months, 38 patients were male and 22 were female. Mean alveolar gap (AG) and palatal gap (PG) before the first operation was 9.34 and 13.05 mm, respectively. After the first operation, mean AG become 7.17 mm with a mean reduction of 5.067 mm, while the mean PG was reduced to 9.03 mm with a mean reduction of 5.024 mm. In this study, the first operation took a mean time of 70 min, but for the second operation, the mean time was 50 min. Mean total (first and second) operation time was 120 min. Postoperatively, 8 patients developed a small oronasal fistula that was detected and corrected during the second operation and 3 patient developed partial lip dehiscence. None of the patients had postoperative significant bleeding or infection.

#### Discussion

Cleft lip and cleft palate are the most commonly seen congenital malformations of the head and neck. Infants born with a cleft present to the reconstructive surgeon with a uniquely difficult surgical challenge, one that requires both an esthetic sense and technical skill to restore form and function<sup>11</sup>. According to Arosavena et al<sup>12</sup>, patients who have cleft lip or palate face significant lifelong communicative and esthetic challenges and difficulties with deglutition. Management of patients who have oro-facial cleft requires an understanding of the anatomy and pathophysiology associated with the deformity and developmental difficulties encountered by these patients. Palatal fistula and transverse growth limitation present a notable problem after palatal surgery regardless of the institution or the type of repair. The main cause of these complications is the lack of tissue, creating tension at the closure, as well as healing by secondary intention and subsequent growth restriction<sup>13</sup>. Vomerine mucosal flaps can be useful for the closure of particularly wide cleft and bilateral clefts<sup>14,15</sup>. The primary concern regarding vomer flaps for palatal closure has been their effect on facial growth<sup>15</sup>. Semb's report on the longitudinal data of the Oslo group is important for those who denounce the vomer flap<sup>16</sup>. This report demonstrated that the possible growth-retarding effect of a vomer flap has been discussed by several authors who recognized further desirable growth in patients operated without vomer flap; however, this is not a uniform observation in the comparative studies. In the opinion of the Olasoji et al<sup>2</sup>, a vomer flap provides special benefits of the initial division of the oral and nasal cavities without synthetic obturators, a low rate of symptomatic fistulae, an agreeable arch shape, and a nice base of mix dentition alveolar bone graft<sup>16</sup>. The advantages of using a vomer flap simultaneously with cleft lip repair include reducing the gap of the palate, which in turn reduces the time and effort required for definitive hard palate closure in the second stage<sup>17</sup>. In addition, it reduces the incidence of postoperative fistula formation<sup>18</sup>. In this study, 60 patients with complete and incomplete cleft lip and palate, their ages

#### 11148

ranging between 3 and 6 months, were operated simultaneously by vomer flap. The first stage included cleft lip repair done at the time of presentation, while the second stage involved complete hard and soft palate repair carried out nearly 12-14 weeks after the first surgery. Both alveolar and palatal gaps were measured preoperatively, the mean alveolar gap (AG1) was 9.34 mm and mean palatal gap (PG1) was 13.05 mm. At the second stage of operation, measurements showed that the mean alveolar gap (AG2) become 7.17 mm with a mean reduction of 5.067 mm, while the mean PG reduced to 9.03 mm with a mean reduction of 5.024 mm. The results of this study were consistent with Noor-Al Ferdous et al.<sup>14</sup> where 35 patients with complete unilateral cleft lip and palate were subjected to a simultaneous repair of the hard palate by vomer with cleft lip repair as the first stage. Their results showed that the mean alveolar gap was reduced by 5.3 mm and the mean cleft palatal gap was reduced by 4.9 mm after 12-13 weeks from the first surgery. Also, the results of the present study were consistent with Abdelmoktader et al.<sup>19</sup> who reported that after the first operation, the mean alveolar gap was reduced 4.9 mm and the mean palatal gap was reduced 4.6 mm in their study group of 30 patients with unilateral complete cleft lip and palate repaired simultaneously by vomer flap. In a comparative study by Salek et al.<sup>20</sup>, who measured changes in the alveolar gap and palatal gap between two groups of patient, 23 of them had two-stage palatoplasty and 20 patients had single stage palatoplasty, he found that reduction in the alveolar gap was significant in both groups and was more in the two-stage palatoplasty 5.30 mm and was 4.42 mm in single stage palatoplasty group. The Mean reduction in palatal gap in the twostage group was 4.95 mm while the reduction of palatal gap in the single-stage group was 2.07 mm and none of the patients had a reduction of more than 3.5 mm, they found that palatal gap reduction in the two-stage group was highly significant. In the second stage of operation, which was done 12-14 weeks after the first stage, the minimal dissection helped us in reducing operation time (average was 50 minutes); also the minimal dissection reduced the bleeding amount. A lateral relaxing incision was used in only 9 cases. Only 8 cases out of 60 patients developed an oronasal fistula. This complication occurred one patient who had a wide cleft palate gap (Fig 2) of 16-18 mm. 3 patient developed lip dehiscence following first stage surgery, exactly on the fifth day postoperatively after sustaining direct trauma. Apart from the former mentioned four cases, no major complications were encountered both after the first or the second stages of operation. Nasal layer closure by vomer flap is simple to execute without adding surgical trauma, and the quality of the tissue is very similar to that of the nasal mucosa. The flap is supple and procurable in the vicinity of the palatal cleft; however, growth pattern has not been assessed in this study, and therefore longterm follow-up should be carried out to assess the anthropometric measurement in order to exclude any deleterious effect after incorporation of the vomerine flap over maxillary growth. Another point of concern regarding vomerine flap is the risk of ischemia to the bony vomer and premaxilla in cases of bilateral cleft lip and palate.

### Conclusion

This study showed that nasal layer closure by vomer flap to repair hard palate at the time of primary cleft lip repair is effective in reducing both the time and effort of operation in the second stage repair of the cleft palate. The procedure is easy to perform and it reduces both the alveolar and palatal gaps, which facilitates complete cleft palate repair and thereby reduces the chances of oro-nasal fistula formation. This can be done for a long-term follow-up in order to exclude any harmful effects of vomerine flap dissection that could hinder the growth of the maxilla.

## References

- 1. Hopper RA, Cutting C, Grayson B. Cleft lip and palate. In: Thorne CH, Bartlett SP, Beasley RW, Aston SJ, Gurtner GC, Spear SL, editors. Grabb and Smith's plastic surgery. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007. p. 201---25.
- 2. Olasoji HO, Ukiri OE, Yahaya A. Incidence and aetiology of oral cleft: a review. Afr J Med Med Sci. 2005;34:1---7.
- 3. Leow AM, Lo LJ. Palatoplasty, evolution and controversies. Chang Gung Med J. 2008;31:335---45.
- 4. Kabous K, Zalesna KK. Timing of cleft lip and palate repair. Dev Period Med. 2014;18:79---83.
- 5. Hopper RA. Cleft lip and palate, embryology, principles, and treatment. In: Thorn CH, Chaung KC, Gosain AK, Gurtner GC, Mehrara BJ, Rubin JP, et al., editors. Grabb and Smith's plastic surgery. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins;2014. p. 173---99.
- 6. Boutros SG, Cutting C. Cleft palate. In: McCarthy JG, Galiano RD, Botrous SG, editors. Current therapy in plastic surgery. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 2006. p. 470---4.
- 7. Bill J, Proff P, Bayerlein T, Weingaertner J, Fanghanel J, Reuther J. Treatment of patients with cleft lip, alveolus and palate --- a short outline of history and current interdisciplinary treatment approach. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2006;34:17---21.
- 8. LaRossa D. The state of art in cleft palate surgery. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2000;37:225-8.
- 9. Hoffman WY, Mount D. Cleft palate repair. In: Mathes SJ, editor. Plastic surgery. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Inc.; 2006. p. 249---69.
- Hoffman WY. Cleft palate. In: Neligan PC, Warren RJ, editors. Plastic surgery. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Inc.; 2013. p.569---83.
- 11. Kirscher RE, LaRossa D. Cleft lip and palate. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2000;33:1191---215.
- 12. Arosavena OA. Cleft lip and palate. Otolaryngol Clin North Am.2007;40:27---60.
- 13. Ladani PS, Sailer HF. Application of buccal fat pad for lining of lateral defect in cleft palate repair and review of literature. J Cleft Lip Palate Craniofacial Anomalies. 2016;3:63---6.
- 14. Noor-Al Ferdous KM, Ullah MS, Shajahan M, Mitul MA, Kabirul Islam A, Das KK, et al. Simultaneous repair of cleft hard palate by vomer flap along with cleft lip in unilateral complete cleft lip and palate patients. ISRN Plast Surg. 2013:1---5.
- 15. Liao YF, Cole TJ, Mars M. Hard palate repair timing and facial growth in unilateral cleft lip and palate: a longitudinal study. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2006;43:547---56.

11150

- 16. Semb G. A study of facial growth in patients with bilateral cleft and palate treated by the Oslo Cleft Lip and Palate team. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 1991;28:22---39.
- 17. Beroweitz S. Lip and palate surgery. In: Beroweitz S, editor. Cleft lip and palate diagnosis and management. 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer; 2006. p. 315---51.
- 18. Agrawal K, Panda KN. Use of vomer flap in palatoplasty. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2006;43:31---7.
- 19. Abdelmoktader MA, Taha A, Meky MS, El-Abd IA. Simultaneous repair of clef lip and hard palate by vomer flap in unilateral complete cleft lip and palate. Eur J Pharm Med Res. 2017;4:864---9.
- 20. Salek AJ, Noor AF, Islam MK, Das BK, Khan AR, Karim MS. Repair of cleft lip and simultaneous repair of cleft hard palate with vomer flap in unilateral complete cleft lip and palate: a comparative study. Pediatr Surg Int. 2010;26:995---1000.



Fig 1: World wide distribution of cleft palate



Fig 2: Wide cleft palate